FILED Superior Court of California

		County of Los Angeles	
1	Joseph Lavi, Esq. (State Bar No. 209776)	09/11/2023	
	jlavi@lelawfirm.com Jordan D. Bello, Esq. (State Bar No. 243190) jbello@lelawfirm.com LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP 8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court	
2		By: R. Aspiras Deputy	
3			
4	Beverly Hills, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 432-0000 Facsimile: (310) 432-0001		
5			
6	Sahag Majarian II, Esq. (State Bar No. 146621) sahagii@aol.com Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II		
7	18250 Ventura Boulevard Tarzana, California 91356		
8	Telephone: (818) 609-0807 Facsimile: (818) 609-0892		
9	Attorneys for PLAINTIFF		
10	JUAN NAVARRO on behalf of himself and others similarly situated.	3	
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THI	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANCELES	
14	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES	
15	JUAN NAVARRO on behalf of himself and others similarly situated.	Case No.: BC683876	
16	,	Assigned for all purposes to Hon. William	
17	PLAINTIFF,	Highberger, Dept. 10	
18	VS.	<del>[PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
19	L.A. SOUTHPARK HIGH-RISE, LP, a limited partnership; and DOES 1 to 100, Inclusive.	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
20	DEFENDANTS.	Hearing Information:	
21		Date: August 25, 2023 JEFFECH Time: 1:30 p.m.	
22		Dept: 10	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came before the Court on August 25, 2023. INFEREN

Having considered the proposed settlement agreement and class notice; the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement filed by Plaintiff; and good cause appearing, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

The Court grants preliminary approval of the settlement and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at the final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the settlement, the Court finds that the proposed settlement class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following "Class Members" defined as: all persons who leased residential units from L.A. Southpark High-Rise, LP in California except those who were evicted, at any time during the period of November 17, 2013, to December 16, 2019 who paid a security deposit to L.A. Southpark High-Rise, LP for a rental agreement for residential property in California and had any portion of their security deposit withheld by L.A. Southpark High-Rise, LP for cleaning, repair work, and/or late charges for rent.

- 1. For purposes of the settlement, the Court further designates named Plaintiff Juan Navarro as Class Representative, and Joseph Lavi and Jordan D. Bello of Lavi & Ebrahimian LLP, and Sahag Majarian II of the Law Offices of Sahag Majarian II as Class Counsel.
  - 2. The Court confirms CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 3. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Settlement Class is scheduled in Department 10 of this Court, located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on FFGG ; 2023 at FFAGE È . The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all dates provided for in this Order without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

- 4. At the final fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the class; (b) whether a judgment granting approval of the settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiff's application for an award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and class representative enhancement should be granted.
- The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice attached to the Settlement
  Agreement.
- 6. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the members of the Class on or before the deadline to mail the notice packet pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency of the action and of this settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Class Members to constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class. The notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 8. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to participate in, opt out of, or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the settlement agreement and class notice. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the fairness hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members.
- 9. Pending the Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement and this Order, are stayed. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, this Court hereby enjoins Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings regarding claims released by the settlement unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator in this case.

- 10. Counsel for the parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
  - 11. The Court orders the following schedule for further proceedings:

Event	Timing
Preliminary Approval	August 25, 2023 JEFFEDH
Last Day for Defendant to provide Class	<del>September 11</del> , 2023 (the first non-holiday 15
Member data to the Settlement Administrator	calendar days after preliminary approval.)
	J <del>RO3</del> <del>RO3</del> H
Last day for Settlement Administrator to mail	September 21, 2023 (10 calendar days after
the Class Notice	deadline to provide class data) சூற்று
Response Deadline, i.e., the Deadline for	November 6, 2023 (the first non-holiday 45
Objections, Exclusion Requests, and Disputes	calendar days after the deadline to mail Class
	Notice.) FFEEDH
Extended Response Deadline	November 20, 2023 (or remailings, the
FCD EQH	Response Deadline is extended by 14 days)
Deadline to file motion for final approval and	16 court days prior to the motion hearing date
supporting documents	
Hearing on final approval of class action	Set forth in paragraph 3 above
settlement	

12. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the Settlement Fairness Hearing and all dates provided for in the Stipulation without further notice to the Class, and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the Stipulation.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

09/11/2023 Dated:

Judge of while Supperion Countries of Judge