

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GREGORY P. WONG (SBN: 204502) ADEPT EMPLOYMENT LAW, APC

13351 Riverside Drive #560 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Telephone: (213) 505-6283 Facsimile: (213) 947-4584

Email: greg@adeptemploymentlaw.com

CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

JAN 17 2020

Sherri B. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court Patricia Flores

BRYAN J. LAZARSKI (SBN: 248349) LAZARSKI LAW PRACTICE, P.C.

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 224 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (323) 952-3200 Email: bryan@lazarskilaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVID DOUGLASS, an individual, KEVIN LARSEN, an individual, GILBERT COTA, an individual; and TRAVIS HOLLAND, an individual, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

SGB ENTERPRISES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants.

CASE NO. BC715068

Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Ann I. Jones (Dept SS11)

(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT

By Fax

Date: January 15, 2020

Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: SS11

This matter came on for hearing on January 15, 2020, at 10:00 a.m., in Department 11 of the above-captioned Court for a Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Settlement of PAGA Claim, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Service Award, and Entering of Final Judgment. Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the instant Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Settlement of PAGA Claim, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Service Award, and Entering of Final Judgment, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS AND ADJUDICATES AS FOLLOWS:

JUDGMENT

- 1. The Court hereby certifies the a Class for purposes of settlement, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as follows: all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California at any time from July 13, 2014 through July 22, 2019, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court, Rule 3.765(a).
- 2. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed to all members of the Class by first-class U.S. mail. The Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Settlement, of their right to receive their proportional Individual Settlement Payment, of their right to request exclusion from the Class and the Settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement and to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and of the date set for the Final Approval hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.
- 3. In response to the Notice, no member of the Class requested exclusion from the settlement, filed written objections to the Settlement, or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing.
- 4. Upon the funding of the Settlement by Defendant, the following release shall be binding upon Plaintiff and all Class Members pursuant to paragraph 14 et seq. of the Settlement Agreement:

25

26

27

28

staffing agencies (and those staffing agencies' workers), officials, directors, attorneys, personal representatives, executors, and shareholders, including Defendant's pension, profit sharing, savings, health, and other employee benefit plans of any nature, the successors of such plans, and those plans' respective current or former trustees and administrators, agents, employees, and fiduciaries (collectively the "Releasees" or the "Released Parties"), of and from any and all claims, rights, demands, charges, complaints, causes of action, punitive or statutory damages, penalties, liquidated damages, expenses, attorneys' fees and costs, interest, obligations or liability of any and every kind that were, or could have been, asserted in the Action or are based on or arise out of the facts alleged in any complaint filed in the Action at any time from July 13, 2014, through [July 22, 2019], including without limitation any claims for failure to pay any and all forms of wages or penalties; failure to pay straight time; failure to pay overtime, double-time and regular rate wages; failure to provide compliant meal and rest periods; failure to maintain required business records; failure to provide compliant wage statements and other failure to properly calculate compensable hours worked; waiting time penalties; reporting time pay; wage and hour claims; all record keeping, notice and posting claims; misclassification claims; liquidated damages; conversion of wages; any claims arising from the failure to implement a lawful Alternative Work Week schedule; claims arising under Labor Code sections 201, 201.3, 202, 203, 204, 206, 218, 218.5, 218.6, 221-224, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 511, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, and 1198; unfair business practices under California Business and Professions Code Section 17200; and penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code sections 2698 et seq., (collectively the "Released Claims").

Plaintiff and all Class Members "("Releasing Parties") release Defendant as well as all of its

current or former subsidiaries, affiliates, parent corporations, predecessors, insurers, agents,

employees, board members, successors, assigns, officers, owners, third-party consultants,

As to those Settlement Class Members who cash their FLSA checks only, the release will

also include all claims that reasonably could have been raised under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U. S. C. § 201 et seq. In addition to the Released Claims above, Plaintiff [has agreed to a general release of all claims, as set forth in Sections 14.3 and 14.4 [of the Settlement Agreement].

The Parties agree that the Released Claims are being released up through the date the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement. The Released Claims for the unnamed class members shall not include any claims for personal injuries, claims for retirement benefits, or claims unrelated to purported violations of federal or state wage and hour laws and any other claims that cannot be released as a matter of law."

This document shall constitute a judgment for purposes of California Rules of Court, 5. Rule 3.769(h) and incorporates the Court's Tentative Ruling made on January 15, 2020 and attached hereto as Exhibit A. In accordance with, and for the reasons stated in this Order, judgment shall be entered within the meaning and for purposes of Code of Civil Procedure sections 577, 904.1(a), and 16 Rules 3.769 and 8.104 of the California Rules of Court whereby named Plaintiff/Class Representative and all Class Members shall take nothing from Defendants except as expressly set forth in the Settlement Agreement, in conjunction with Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of the The Court pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h) shall retain Class Action Settlement. jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of the judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDICATED.

DATED: 1-17-20

Honorable/Ann I. Jones Judge of the Superior Court

28

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27



11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

GREGORY P. WONG (SBN: 204502) ADEPT EMPLOYMENT LAW, APC

13351 Riverside Drive #560 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Telephone: (213) 505-6283 Facsimile: (213) 947-4584

Email: greg@adeptemploymentlaw.com

CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

JAN 17 2020

Sherri B. Carrer, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court

By Patricia Flores

Deputy

BRYAN J. LAZARSKI (SBN: 248349) LAZARSKI LAW PRACTICE, P.C.

1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 224 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (323) 952-3200 Email: bryan@lazarskilaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DAVID DOUGLASS, an individual, KEVIN LARSEN, an individual, GILBERT COTA, an individual; and TRAVIS HOLLAND, an individual, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SGB ENTERPRISES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants.

CASE NO. BC715068

Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Ann I. Jones (Dept SS11)

[AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL APPROVAL ORDER

By Fax

Date: January 15, 2020

Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: SS11

[PROPOSED] ORDER

11

19

20

18

212223

24

25

26 27

28

This matter came on for hearing on January 15, 2020, at 10:00 a.m., in Department 11 of the above-captioned Court for a Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Settlement of PAGA Claim, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Service Award, and Entering of Final Judgment. Having received and considered the Settlement Agreement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and the instant Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Settlement of PAGA Claim, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Service Award, and Entering of Final Judgment, the Court grants final approval **FOLLOWING MAKES** THE HEREBY **ORDERS** AND Settlement and **DETERMINATIONS:**

- 1. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed to all members of the Class by first-class U.S. mail. The Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Settlement, of their right to receive their proportional Individual Settlement Payment, of their right to request exclusion from the Class and the Settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement and to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and of the date set for the Final Approval hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.
- 2. In response to the Notice, no member of the Class has requested exclusion from the settlement, filed written objections to the Settlement, or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing.
- 3. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' response. The Court finds and determines that the Notice provided in the Action was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 4. The Court hereby certifies the a Class for purposes of settlement, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as follows: all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California at any time from July 13, 2014 through July 22,

15

14

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22

232425

26 27

28

2019, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court, Rule 3.765(a).

- The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, 5. reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member and that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement should be and hereby The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement are ordered to be consummated. Payments provided for by the terms of the Settlement to be paid to the Class are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class. The Court's findings in its January 15, 2020 Tentative Ruling are hereby incorporated by reference and attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 6. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders the payment of those amounts be made to the participating members of the Class in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 7. The Court hereby confirms Lazarski Law Practice, P.C. and Adept Employment Law, APC as Class Counsel in this action. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence, and argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the sum of \$100,000.00 and litigation costs of \$9,347.66. The Court finds such amounts to be fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement 6.

- 8. The Court hereby confirms the Plaintiff Gilbert Cota as the Class Representative in this action. The Court finds and determines the Class Representative Award/General Release Payment in the sum of \$10,000.00 to Plaintiff Cota is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment to the Plaintiff/Class Representative in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. Plaintiff is bound by the releases as stated in the Settlement Agreement including but not limited to a general release and California Civil Code Section 1542 waiver.
- 9. The Court finds and determines that the payment to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, CPT Group, Inc. in the sum of \$8,500.00 for its fee and expenses incurred is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby orders the Administrator to make this payment to itself in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 10. The Court finds and determines that payment to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency of \$7,500.00 as its share of the settlement of civil penalties in this case is fair, reasonable, and appropriate. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of that amount be paid in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
 - 11. The Court approves payment of \$5,833.03 for Defendant's share of payroll taxes.
- 12. Neither Defendants nor any related persons or entities shall have any further liability for costs, expenses, interest, attorneys' fees, or for any other charge, expense, or liability, except as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or pursuant to this Order, including the requirement that Defendants make payments to Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement and that all Class Members are bound by the release set forth in the Settlement Agreement (see paragraph 14 et seq.).
- 14. The Court finds and determines that nothing in the Settlement Agreement or this Order, or the Judgment (1) is intended or will be construed as an admission of liability or wrongdoing by Defendants or (2) may be offered or admitted in evidence against Defendants (other than solely in connection with this Settlement).

- 15. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided for by the Settlement Agreement and this Court's Order Granting Final Approval.
- 16. Class Counsel is ordered to file by no later than June 30, 2020 a Final Report containing a declaration from the Claims Administrator stating the distribution of the Settlement Funds.
- stipulation and proposed order and Proposed Amended Judgment. The proposed order shall include the amount of the unpaid cash residue, and unclaimed or abandoned funds to the non-party, the accrued interest on that sum and any other information required to be set forth pursuant to Section 68520 of the Government Code, as incorporated into C.C.P. Section 384.5. The Stipulation shall be signed by counsel for the class, defendant's counsel, and counsel for (or an authorized representative of) the non-party ("cy pres") recipient. The stipulation shall include a statement to the effect that all interested persons are in accord with the amended judgment and have no objection to the entry of an amended judgment. If there are objections by any party, class counsel shall immediately notify the court and the matter will be set for further hearing. Pursuant to C.C.P. Section 348.5, a conformed copy of the stipulation and order and amended judgment (once signed by the Court) shall be forwarded by class counsel to the Judicial Council.
- 18. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 1-17-20

ANN I. JONES

Honorable Ann I. Jones Judge of the Superior Court