1	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC		
2	Matthew J. Matern (SBN 159798) Tagore Subramanian (SBN 280126)		
3	Daniel J. Bass (SBN 287466)		
4	1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266		
	Tel: (310) 531-1900		
5	Facsimile: (310) 531-1901		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff EVERETT KING,		
7	individually, and on behalf of others similarly situated		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	EVERETT KING, an individual, and on behalf	CASE NO.:	
11	of others similarly situated	COMPLAINT	
12	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION:	
13	VS.	1. Failure to Provide Required Meal	
14	RUSS BASSETT CORP., a California	Periods 2. Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods	
15	corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive.	<ul><li>3. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages</li><li>4. Failure to Pay Minimum Wages</li></ul>	
16	Defendant.	<ol><li>Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees</li></ol>	
17		6. Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Earned 7. Failure to Maintain Required Records	
18		8. Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements	
19		9. Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in	
		Discharge of Duties 10. Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices	
20			
21		REPRESENTATIVE ACTION:	
22		11. Penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act	
23		COLLECTIVE ACTION:	
24		12. Failure to Pay All Wages and Overtime	
25		Compensation in Violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act	
26		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
27		DEMAND FOR JUNI I MAL	
28			

PLAINTIFF EVERETT KING ("PLAINTIFF") an individual, demanding a jury trial, on behalf of himself and other persons similarly situated, hereby alleges as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. The Superior Court of the State of California has jurisdiction in this matter because PLAINTIFF is a resident of the State of California and Defendant RUSS BASSETT CORP., a California corporation ("DEFENDANT"), is qualified to do business in California and regularly conduct business in California.
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district and the County of Los Angeles, California because PLAINTIFF, and other persons similarly situated, performed work for DEFENDANT in the County of Los Angeles, DEFENDANT maintain offices and facilities and transact business in the County of Los Angeles, and because DEFENDANT's illegal payroll policies and practices which are the subject of this action were applied, at least in part, to PLAINTIFF, and other persons similarly situated, in the County of Los Angeles.

#### <u>PLAINTIFF</u>

- 3. PLAINTIFF is a male resident of the State of California and a former non-exempt employee of DEFENDANT.
- 4. PLAINTIFF, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former non-exempt employees of DEFENDANT in the State of California at any time during the period beginning May 26, 2013 and continuing while this action is pending, brings this class action to recover, among other things, wages and penalties from unpaid wages earned and due, including but not limited to unpaid minimum wages, unpaid and illegally calculated overtime compensation, illegal meal and rest period policies, failure to timely pay employees all wages due, failure to pay all wages due to discharged and quitting employees, failure to indemnify employees for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurred in discharging their duties, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements, failure to maintain required records, and interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses.
- 5. PLAINTIFF brings this action on behalf of himself and the following similarly situated class of individuals ("CLASS MEMBERS"): all current and former non-exempt

employees of DEFENDANT in the State of California at any time within the period beginning May 26, 2013 and ending at the time this action settles or proceeds to final judgment (the "CLASS PERIOD"). PLAINTIFF reserves the right to name additional class representatives.

6. Under the tolling doctrine, which has been adopted by Courts in the State of California, the statutory limitations period for PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to bring the claims asserted in this complaint was tolled during the pendency of the actions styled *Alecia Ballin v. Russ Bassett Corp., et al,* United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01351-PA-KS and *Alecia Ballin v. Russ Bassett Corp., et al,* United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-03981-PSG-SK in which the courts did not rendered any determination regarding class certification. See, *Falk v. Children's Hospital Los Angeles* (2015) 237 Cal.App.4th 1454, 1470.

#### **DEFENDANT**

- 7. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that RUSS BASSETT CORP. is, and at all times relevant hereto was, a California corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California. PLAINTIFF is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that RUSS BASSETT CORP. is authorized to conduct business in the State of California, and does conduct business in the State of California. Specifically, RUSS BASSETT CORP. maintains offices and facilities and conducts business in, and engages in illegal payroll practices or policies in, the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 8. At all relevant times herein, DEFENDANT and DOES were the joint employers of PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that at all times material to this complaint DEFENDANT and DOES were the alter egos, divisions, affiliates, integrated enterprises, joint employers, subsidiaries, parents, principals, related entities, co-conspirators, authorized agents, partners, joint venturers, and/or guarantors, actual or ostensible, of each other. Each Defendant was completely dominated by his, her or its co-Defendant, and each was the alter ego of the other.
- 9. At all relevant times herein, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed by DEFENDANT under employment agreements that were partly written, partly oral, and partly

BEACH, CA 90266

15 16 17

18

13

14

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

implied. In perpetrating the acts and omissions alleged herein, DEFENDANT, acted pursuant to, and in furtherance of, their policies and practices of not paying PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS all wages earned and due, through methods and schemes which include, but are not limited to, failing to pay overtime premiums; failing to provide rest and meal periods; failing to properly maintain records; failing to provide accurate itemized statements for each pay period; failing to properly compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures; and requiring, permitting or suffering the employees to work off the clock, in violation of the California Labor Code and the applicable Welfare Commission ("IWC") Orders.

- 10. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon allege, that each and every one of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all defendants, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control of, each of the other defendants, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and control.
- As a direct and proximate result of the unlawful actions of DEFENDANT, 11. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, from loss of earnings in amounts as yet unascertained, but subject to proof at trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.

#### CLASS ACTION DESIGNATION

- 12. This action is appropriately suited for a Class Action because:
- The potential class is a significant number. Joinder of all current and former employees individually would be impractical.
- b. This action involves common questions of law and fact to the potential class because the action focuses on DEFENDANT's systematic course of illegal payroll practices and policies, which was applied to all non-exempt employees in violation of the Labor Code, the applicable IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code which prohibits unfair business practices arising from such violations.

1	c. The claims of PLAINTIFF are typical of the class because DEFENDANT
2	subjected all non-exempt employees to identical violations of the Labor Code, the applicable
3	IWC wage order, and the Business and Professions Code.
4	d. PLAINTIFF is able to fairly and adequately protect the interests of all
5	members of the class because it is in his best interests to prosecute the claims alleged herein to
6	obtain full compensation due to them for all services rendered and hours worked.
7	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
8	Failure to Provide Required Meal Periods
9	[Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 512, 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 11]
10	(Against DEFENDANT)
11	13. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the
12	allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
13	14. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANT's illegal payroll policies
14	and practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANT
15	required, permitted or otherwise suffered PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to take less than
16	the 30-minute meal period, or to work through them, and have failed to otherwise provide the
17	required meal periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS pursuant to California Labor Code
18	§ 226.7, 512 and IWC Order No. 1-2001, § 11.
19	15. DEFENDANT further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7 and IWC Wage
20	Order No. 1-2001, § 11 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were
21	not provided with a meal period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional
22	hour of compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a meal period
23	was not provided.
24	16. DEFENDANT further violated California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 510, 1194, 1197,
25	and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001 by failing to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS
26	for all hours worked during their meal periods.
27	17. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS
28	MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages
OUP	CLASS ACTION REPRESENTATIVE ACTION

earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit. 1 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 2 Failure to Provide Required Rest Periods 3 [Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 12] 4 (Against DEFENDANT) 5 18. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 6 allegations in the preceding paragraphs. 7 19. At all times relevant herein, as part of DEFENDANT's illegal payroll policies and 8 practices to deprive their non-exempt employees all wages earned and due, DEFENDANT failed 9 to provide rest periods to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS as required under California 10 Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 12. 11 20. DEFENDANT further violated California Labor Code § 226.7 and IWC Wage 12 Order No. 1-2001, § 12 by failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS who were not 13 provided with a rest period, in accordance with the applicable wage order, one additional hour of 14 compensation at each employee's regular rate of pay for each workday that a rest period was not 15 provided. 16 17 21. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages 18 earned and due, interest, penalties, expenses, and costs of suit. 19 THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION 20 Failure to Pay Overtime Wages 21 22 [Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3] (Against DEFENDANT) 23 22. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 24 allegations in the preceding paragraphs. 25 23. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-26 2001, § 3, DEFENDANT is required to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all 27 overtime, which is calculated at one and one-half (1 ½) times the regular rate of pay for all hours 28

worked in excess of eight (8) hours per day and/or forty (40) hours per week, and for the first eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive workday, with double time for all hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in any workday and for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours on the seventh consecutive day of work in any workweek.

- employees entitled to the protections of California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT failed to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all overtime hours worked as required under the foregoing provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Order by, among other things: failing to pay overtime at one and one-half (1 ½) or double the regular rate of pay as provided by California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest breaks but not compensating them for this time and failing to include this time in their hours worked; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF for each pay period; and other methods to be discovered.
- 25. In violation of California law, DEFENDANT has knowingly and willfully refused to perform their obligations to compensate PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all wages earned and all hours worked. As a proximate result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have suffered, and continue to suffer, substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, lost interest on such wages, and expenses and attorneys' fees in seeking to compel DEFENDANT to fully perform their obligations under state law, all to their respective damages in amounts according to proof at time of trial, and within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 26. DEFENDANT's conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1198 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 3. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the California Labor

Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the 1 unpaid balance of wages owed to them by DEFENDANT, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, 2 expenses, and costs of suit. 3 4 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION **Failure to Pay Minimum Wages** 5 [Cal Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 4] 6 7 (Against DEFENDANT) 27. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 8 allegations in the preceding paragraphs. 9 28. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-10 2001, § 4, payment to an employee of less than the applicable minimum wage for all hours 11 12 worked in a payroll period is unlawful. 29. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT failed to pay PLAINTIFF and 13 CLASS MEMBERS minimum wages for all hours worked by, among other things: requiring, 14 permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, 15 permitting or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest 16 17 breaks; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; failing to 18 provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay 19 period; and other methods to be discovered. 20 30. DEFENDANT's conduct described herein violates California Labor Code §§ 21 22 1194, 1197, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 4. As a proximate result of the aforementioned violations, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to 23 24 proof at trial. Therefore, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 200, 203, 226, 558, 1194, 1197.1, and other applicable provisions under the Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders, PLAINTIFF and 25 26 CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to recover the unpaid balance of wages owed to them by 27 DEFENDANT, plus interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit. // 28

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

# Failure to Pay All Wages Due to Discharged and Quitting Employees [Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203]

#### (Against DEFENDANT)

- 31. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
- 32. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 201, 202, and 203, DEFENDANT is required to pay all earned and unpaid wages to an employee who is discharged. California Labor Code § 201 mandates that if an employer discharges an employee, the employee's wages accrued and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately.
- 33. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 202, DEFENDANT is required to pay all accrued wages due to an employee no later than 72 hours after the employee quits his or her employment, unless the employee provided 72 hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or wages at the time of quitting.
- 34. California Labor Code § 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the employer is liable for waiting time penalties in the form of continued compensation to the employee at the same rate for up to 30 workdays.
- 35. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT has willfully failed to pay accrued wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance with California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202.
- 36. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including the waiting time penalties provided in California Labor Code § 203, together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies.
- 37. As a proximate result of DEFENDANT's unlawful actions and omissions,
  PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount
  according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are
  entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant

to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 2699. 1 SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION 2 Failure to Timely Pay All Wages Earned 3 [Cal. Labor Code § 204] 4 (Against DEFENDANT) 5 38. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 6 allegations in the preceding paragraphs. 7 39. Pursuant to California Labor Code § 204, DEFENDANT is required to pay 8 employees at least twice a month for all wages earned during the preceding pay period. 9 10 California Labor Code § 204 mandates that labor performed between the 1st and 15th days, inclusive, of any calendar month shall be paid for between the 16th and the 26th day of the month 11 12 during which the labor was performed, and labor performed between the 16th and the last day, inclusive, of any calendar month, shall be paid for between the 1st and 10th day of the following 13 month. 14 40. Furthermore, pursuant to California Labor Code § 204, if DEFENDANT utilizes 15 an alternate payday schedule, DEFENDANT is required to pay wages within seven calendar days 16 17 of the end of the payroll period in which the wages were earned. 41. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT has willfully failed to timely pay 18 accrued wages and other compensation to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS in accordance 19 with California Labor Code § 204. 20 42. As a result, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available 21 22 statutory penalties, together with interest thereon, as well as other available remedies. 43. As a proximate result of DEFENDANT's unlawful actions and omissions, 23 24 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been deprived of compensation in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the jurisdiction of this Court, and are 25 26 entitled to recovery of such amounts, plus interest thereon, and attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant 27 to California Labor Code §§ 1194 and 2699. // 28

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 1 Failure to Maintain Required Records 2 [Cal. Labor Code §§ 226; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7] 3 (Against DEFENDANT) 4 44. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 5 allegations in the preceding paragraphs. 6 7 45. During the CLASS PERIOD, as part of DEFENDANT's illegal payroll policies and practices to deprive PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS of all wages earned and due, 8 DEFENDANT knowingly and intentionally failed to maintain records as required under 9 California Labor Code §§ 226, 1174, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7, including but not 10 limited to the following records: total daily hours worked by each employee; applicable rates of 11 12 pay; all deductions; meal periods; time records showing when each employee begins and ends 13 each work period; and accurate itemized statements. 46. As a proximate result of DEFENDANT's unlawful actions and omissions, 14 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at 15 trial, and are entitled to all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, 16 17 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, 18 and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those 19 provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies. 20 EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION 21 22 Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements [Cal. Labor Code §§ 226, 1174; IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7] 23 (Against DEFENDANT) 24 47. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the 25 allegations in the preceding paragraphs. 26 48. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT routinely failed to provide 27 PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in 28

writing showing each employee's gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, the name and address of the legal entity or entities employing PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, and all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate, in violation of California Labor Code § 226 and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 7.

- 49. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT knowingly and intentionally failed to provide PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS with timely, accurate, and itemized wage statements in accordance with California Labor Code § 226(a).
- 50. As a proximate result of DEFENDANT's unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek all wages earned and due, plus interest thereon. Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties, including but not limited to civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 226(e), 226.3, and 1174.5, and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including but not limited to those provided in California Labor Code § 226(e), as well as other available remedies.

#### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### Failure to Indemnify Employees for Necessary Expenditures Incurred in Discharge of Duties

## [Cal. Labor Code § 2802] (Against DEFENDANT)

- 51. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
- 52. California Labor Code § 2802(a) requires an employer to indemnify an employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of her his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer.
- 53. During the CLASS PERIOD, DEFENDANT knowingly and willfully failed to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for all business expenses and/or losses incurred in direct consequence of the discharge of their duties while working under the direction of

DEFENDANT, including but not limited to expenses for uniforms, cell phone usage, and other employment-related expenses, in violation of California Labor Code § 2802.

54. As a proximate result of DEFENDANT's unlawful actions and omissions, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS have been damaged in an amount according to proof at trial, and seek reimbursement of all necessary expenditures, plus interest thereon pursuant to California Labor Code § 2802(b). Additionally, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to all available statutory penalties and an award of costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees, including those provided in California Labor Code § 2802(c), as well as other available remedies.

#### **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **Unfair and Unlawful Business Practices**

[Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.]

#### (Against DEFENDANT)

- 55. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference, as though fully set forth, the allegations in the preceding paragraphs.
- California Labor Code and/or the applicable IWC Wage Order as alleged herein, including but not limited to DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to provide required meal periods, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to provide required rest periods, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to pay overtime compensation, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to pay minimum wages, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to timely pay all wages due, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to pay all wages due to discharged or quitting employees, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to maintain required records, DEFENDANT's failure and refusal to indemnify PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for necessary expenditures and/or losses incurring in discharging their duties, constitutes an unfair and unlawful business practice under California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.

//

1	57. DEFENDANT's violations of California wage and hour laws constitute a business	
2	practice because DEFENDANT's aforementioned acts and omissions were done repeatedly over	
3	a significant period of time, and in a systematic manner, to the detriment of PLAINTIFF and	
4	CLASS MEMBERS.	
5	58. DEFENDANT has avoided payment of wages, overtime wages, meal periods, rest	
6	periods, and other benefits as required by the California Labor Code, the California Code of	
7	Regulations, and the applicable IWC Wage Order. Further, DEFENDANT has failed to record	
8	report, and pay the correct sums of assessment to the state authorities under the California Labor	
9	Code and other applicable regulations.	
10	59. As a result of DEFENDANT's unfair and unlawful business practices,	
11	DEFENDANT has reaped unfair and illegal profits during the CLASS PERIOD at the expense of	
12	PLAINTIFF, CLASS MEMBERS, and members of the public. DEFENDANT should be made to	
13	disgorge its ill-gotten gains and to restore them to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.	
14	60. DEFENDANT's unfair and unlawful business practices entitle PLAINTIFF and	
15	CLASS MEMBERS to seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, including but not	
16	limited to orders that DEFENDANT accounts for, disgorge, and restore to PLAINTIFF and	
17	CLASS MEMBERS the wages and other compensation unlawfully withheld from them.	
18	PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are entitled to restitution of all monies to be disgorged	
19	from DEFENDANT in an amount according to proof at the time of trial, but in excess of the	
20	jurisdiction of this Court.	
21	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
22	Representative Action for Civil Penalties	
23	[Cal. Labor Code §§ 2698–2699.5]	
24	(Against DEFENDANT)	
25	62. PLAINTIFF incorporates herein by specific reference as though fully set forth, the	
26	allegations in the preceding paragraphs, with exception of the allegations in paragraph 12 and the	
27	subparagraphs thereto.	
28	//	

1	63. PLAINTIFF is an "aggrieved employee" within the meaning of California Labor
2	Code § 2699(c), and a proper representative to bring a civil action on behalf of himself and other
3	current and former employees of DEFENDANT pursuant to the procedures specified in
4	California Labor Code § 2699.3, because PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS were employed
5	by DEFENDANT and the alleged violations of the California Labor Code were committed
6	against PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS.
7	64. Pursuant to the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"),
8	Labor Code §§ 2698-2699.5, PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS seeks to recover civil
9	penalties, including but not limited to penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2699, 210,
10	226.3, 558, 1174.5, 1197.1, and IWC Wage Order No. 1-2001, § 20, from DEFENDANT in a
11	representative action for the violations set forth above, including but not limited to violations of
12	California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 226, 226.7, 510, 512, 1174, 1194, 1197, 1198, and
13	2802. PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS are also entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys'
14	fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code § 2699(g)(1).
15	65. Pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 2699.3, PLAINTIFF gave written notice by
16	certified mail to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and
17	DEFENDANT of the specific provisions of the California Labor Code and IWC Wage Orders
18	alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the alleged violations.
19	Within sixty-five (65) calendar days of the postmark date of PLAINTIFF's notice letter, the
20	LWDA did not provide notice to PLAINTIFF that it intends to investigate the alleged violations.
21	66. Therefore, PLAINTIFF has complied with all of the requirements set forth in
22	California Labor Code § 2699.3 to commence a representative action under PAGA.
23	COLLECTIVE ACTION
24	TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
25	Failure to Pay All Wages and Overtime Compensation in Violation of the Fair Labor
26	Standards Act
27	[29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.]
28	(Against DEFENDANT)

- 67. PLAINTIFF incorporate herein by specific reference as though fully set forth the allegations in all preceding paragraphs, with exception of the allegations in paragraph 12 and the subparagraphs thereto.
- 68. The FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq., provides that an employee must be compensated for all hours worked, including all straight time compensation and overtime compensation.
- 69. The FLSA further provides that employees shall not be employed more than forty (40) hours in any workweek, unless they receive additional compensation beyond their regular wages in amounts specified by law.
- 70. The FLSA requires, among other things, that employers whose employees are engaged in interstate commerce, engaged in the production of goods for commerce, or employed in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce must pay employees the minimum wage for all time worked and overtime pay at their regular rate of pay. 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a)(l) and 207(a)(l). DEFENDANT is subject to the requirements of the FLSA because they are an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce and their employees are engaged in commerce.
- 71. PLAINTIFF and all similarly situated employees (the "COLLECTIVE CLASS") are victims of a uniform and company-wide compensation policy which, in violation of the FLSA, has been applied to all non-exempt employees who worked for DEFENDANT in the State of California.
- 72. DEFENDANT has a uniform policy and practice of failing to pay PLAINTIFF and the COLLECTIVE CLASS wages and overtime compensation for all hours worked; requiring, permitting, or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work off the clock; requiring, permitting, or suffering PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS to work through meal and rest periods; illegally and inaccurately recording time in which PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS worked; failing to properly maintain PLAINTIFF's and CLASS MEMBERS' records; and failing to provide accurate itemized wage statements to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS for each pay period.

- 73. DEFENDANT has a class-wide policy and practice of failing to pay PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS overtime wages at their regular rate of pay. DEFENDANT's method of calculating the regular rate of pay for overtime purposes is unlawful under California and federal law.
- 74. DEFENDANT has a uniform policy and practice of not paying PLAINTIFF and the COLLECTIVE CLASS for all hours worked, including straight time and overtime compensation, and requiring employees to work passed their scheduled shift without compensation.
- 75. 29 U.S.C. § 255 provides that a three-year statute of limitations applies to willful violations of the FLSA. DEFENDANT's unlawful conduct, as described herein, has been willful and intentional. DEFENDANT was aware or should have been aware that its practices were unlawful.
- 76. DEFENDANT has acted neither in good faith nor with reasonable grounds to believe that its actions and omissions were not a violation of the FLSA, and as a result thereof, PLAINTIFFS and the COLLECTIVE CLASS are entitled to recover an award of liquidated damages in an amount equal to the amount of unpaid wages and overtime pay, and/or prejudgment interest at the applicable rate. 29 U.S.C. §216(b).
- 77. By virtue of DEFENDANT's unlawful failure to pay PLAINTIFF and members of the COLLECTIVE CLASS for all hours worked, including overtime, PLAINTIFF and the COLLECTIVE CLASS have suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages in amounts which are presently unknown to PLAINTIFF and members of the COLLECTIVE CLASS, but which will be ascertained according to proof at trial. Accordingly, DEFENDANT is liable for unpaid wages, together with an amount equal as liquidated damages, attorney's fees and costs of this action.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, respectfully prays for relief against DEFENDANT and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and each of them, as follows:

1. For compensatory damages in an amount to be ascertained at trial;

1	2.	For restitution of all monies due to PLAINTIFF and CLASS MEMBERS, as well
2	as disgorged	profits from DEFENDANT's unfair and unlawful business practices;
3	3.	For meal and rest period compensation pursuant to California Labor Code § 226.7
4	and IWC Wa	nge Order No. 1-2001;
5	4.	For liquidated damages pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 1194.2 and 1197.1;
6	5.	For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief enjoining DEFENDANT from
7	violating the	relevant provisions of the California Labor Code and the IWC Wage Orders, and
8	from engagin	ng in the unlawful business practices complained of herein;
9	6.	For waiting time penalties pursuant to California Labor Code § 203;
10	7.	For statutory and civil penalties according to proof, including but not limited to al
11	penalties aut	horized by the California Labor Code §§ 226(e) and §§ 2698–2699.5;
12	8.	For interest on the unpaid wages at 10% per annum pursuant to California Labor
13	Code §§ 218.6, 1194, 2802, California Civil Code §§ 3287, 3288, and/or any other applicab	
14	provision providing for pre-judgment interest;	
15	9.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to California Labor Code
16	§§ 1194, 26	99, 2802, California Civil Code § 1021.5, and any other applicable provisions
17	providing for	r attorneys' fees and costs;
18	10.	For declaratory relief;
19	11.	For an order requiring and certifying the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth
20	Seventh, Eig	hth, Ninth, and Tenth Causes of Action as a class action;
21	12.	For an order certifying that the collective action pursuant to the FLSA and prompt
22	issuance of r	notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
23	13.	For an order appointing PLAINTIFF as class representative, and PLAINTIFF's
24	counsel as cl	ass counsel; and
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	

1	14. For such further relief that the	he Court may deem just and proper.
2	DATED: June 2010	
3	DATED: June, 2019	espectfully submitted,
4	N	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
5		
6	By:	
7		Matthew J. Matern
8		agore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
9	A	attorneys for Plaintiff EVERETT KING
10		
11	DEMANI	FOR JURY TRIAL
12	PLAINTIFF hereby demands a jury	trial with respect to all issues triable of right by jury.
13	DATED: June, 2019	Respectfully submitted,
14		
	]	MATERN LAW CROUP PC
15		MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
15 16	By:	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC
16 17	By:	
16 17 18	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam
16 17 18 19	By:	Matthew J. Matern
16 17 18 19 20	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	By:	Matthew J. Matern Tagore Subramaniam Daniel J. Bass