,	DAVID G. SPIVAK (SBN 179684)	
1	david@spivaklaw.com	Electronically
2	MARALLE MESSRELIAN (SBN 316974)	FILED: 12/15/21
3	maralle@spivaklaw.com THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM	San Luis Obispo S By: Landrum, Marly
	16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 203	by. Landrum, Mariy
4	Encino, CA 91436	
5	Telephone: (213) 725-9094	
	Facsimile: (213) 634-2485	
6		
7	WALTER HAINES (SBN 71075)	
	whaines@uelglaw.com UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP	
8	4276 Katella Ave., #301	
9	Los Alamitos, CA 90720	
10	Telephone: (562) 256-1047	
10	Facsimile: (562) 256-1006	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
12	JOSHUA SILVA, and all others similarly situate	ed
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15	FOR THE COUNTY OF (UNLIMITED JU	
	(CIVERWITED 3C	KISDICTION
16	IOSINIA SU VA on behelf of himself and all	Casa Na : 10CV 0690
17	JOSHUA SILVA, on behalf of himself, and all others similarly situated, and as an "aggrieved	Case No.: 19CV-0689
	employee" on behalf of other "aggrieved	
18	employees" under the Labor Code Private	[PROPOSED] FINAL ORD
19	Attorneys General Act of 2004,	JUDGMENT APPROVING
20		SETTLEMENT
20	Plaintiff(s),	
21	No.	Hearing Informate Action filed: November 1
22	VS.	Hearing Date: November 1
	PAYO LABORATORIES, INC., a California	Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.
23	corporation doing business as OLD WEST	Hearing Dept: 9, Hon.
24	CINNAMON ROLLS; JOSEPH WILL	
	PARKHURST, a natural person; CINDY	
25	PARKHURST, a natural person; JILL	
26	LIENHARD, a natural person; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	
27	anough 50, metasive,	

an Luis Obispo Superior Court By: Landrum, Marlys

CALIFORNIA

OBISPO N)

1

ED| FINAL ORDER AND NT APPROVING CLASS **IENT**

Hearing Information

November 14, 2019 d: November 24, 2021 ite:

9:00 a.m. me:

ept: 9, Hon. Tana L. Coates

28 SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213)725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax

SpivakLaw.com



Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213)725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com This matter came on for hearing on November 24, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 9 of the above-captioned court on Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of a Class Action Settlement pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769, as set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims (the "Settlement") filed herewith which provides for a Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") of \$250,000 in compromise of all disputed claims on behalf of current and former non-exempt delivery service and field service employees employed by Defendants Payo Laboratories, Inc., doing business as Old West Cinnamon Rolls, Joseph Will Parkhurst, Cindy Parkhurst, and Jill Lienhard (collectively "Defendants") who worked in California during the Class Period. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement.

In accordance with the Court's prior ruling granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, Class Members have been given notice of the terms of the Settlement and the opportunity to request exclusion, comment upon or object to it or to any of its terms. Having received and considered the Settlement, the supporting papers filed by the Parties, and the evidence and argument received by the Court in conjunction with the motions for preliminary and final approval of the Settlement, the Court grants final approval of the Settlement and HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, DECREES AND MAKES THE FOLLOWING DETERMINATIONS:

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Parties to the Action, including all Class Members who did not request to be excluded from the Settlement. Pursuant to this Court's ruling granting the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement of July 23, 2021, the Class Notice was sent to each Class Member by First Class U.S. mail. The Class Notice informed Class Members of the terms of the Settlement, their right to receive their proportional share of the Settlement, their right to request exclusion, their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement, and their right to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and be heard regarding final approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures. No member of the Class presented written objections to the proposed Settlement as part of this notice process, stated an intention to appear, or actually appeared at the final approval hearing.
- 2. For purposes of this Settlement, "Class", or "Class Members" or "Settlement Class" means all individuals Defendants employed in California as hourly employees during the

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

26

27

28

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213)725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com Class Period. The "Class Period" means the period of time from November 14, 2015 through April 10, 2020.

- 3. The Court finds and determines that the notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding final approval of the Settlement based on the responses of Class Members. The Court finds and determines that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process as to all persons entitled to such notice.
- 4. **Release by Plaintiff and Class Members.** As of the date of the Judgment, the Settlement will release any further attempt, by lawsuit, administrative claim or action, arbitration, demand, or other action of any kind by each, and all of the Settlement Class Members (including participation to any extent in any representative or collective action), against Defendants and all of those entities' and individual's past, present and future agents, employees, servants, officers, directors, partners, trustees, representatives, shareholders, stockholders, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries, equity sponsors, related corporations, divisions, joint venturers, assigns, predecessors, successors, service providers, insurers, consultants, subcontractors, joint employers, employee benefit plans and fiduciaries thereof, affiliated organizations, and all persons acting under, by, through or in concert with any of them, and each of them, arising during the period November 14, 2015 through April 10, 2020, and arising from, could have been asserted, or related in any way to the claims asserted in the Action against Defendants. The Settlement Class Members will release and discharge Defendants, and any of their former and present parents, subsidiaries, owners, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, affiliates, successors, assigns, agents, attorneys, legal representatives ("Released Parties").
- 5. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable and adequate, that the Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement, including the release of claims contained therein, should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. As of the Effective Date of Settlement, and for the duration of the Class Period, all Class Members are hereby deemed to have waived and released all Released Claims and are forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims against the Releasees as fully set forth in the Settlement. No objections were received by the Parties or the Court through the date of this Final Order and Judgment. The Court finds that no Class Member

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

2526

27

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 6530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213)725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com submitted a request for exclusion from the Settlement as determined by the Settlement Administrator and therefore is/are not in the Settlement Class.

- 6. The Court finds and determines that (a) the Settlement Shares to be paid to Participating Class Members and (b) the \$3,000 payment to the LWDA for the PAGA penalty under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, as amended, California Labor Code sections 2699 et seq., as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby grants final approval to, and orders the payment of, those amounts be made to the Participating Class Members and to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency, in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 7. The Court further grants final approval to and orders that the following payments be made in accordance with the terms of the Settlement:
- a. A Class Counsel Fees Payment in the amount of \$83,333.33 for attorney's fees and a Class Counsel Litigation Expenses Payment in the amount of \$4,766.08 to Class Counsel;
- b. \$15,000.00 as a Class Representative Payment payable to Plaintiff Joshua Silva for his service as the class representative; and
- c. \$5,000.00 in Settlement Administrator's fees payable to CPT Group, Inc. for its services as the Settlement Administrator.
- d. Payment of \$3,000 (75% of the \$4,000 PAGA penalty) to the LWDA; and
 - e. Employer-side payroll taxes in excess of \$5,000.
- 8. The settlement administration shall proceed as directed in the Settlement, and no payments pursuant to the Settlement shall be distributed until after the date the Effective Date. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of this Final Order and Judgment and the Settlement pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h).
- 9. Within 30 calendar days of the Effective Date, Defendants shall deposit the Settlement proceeds in an account designated by the Settlement Administrator: (i) the total amount of all Settlement Shares to Participating Class Members, (ii) the Court approved Class Counsel fees & costs, (iii) the Court-approved Class Representative Payment, (iv) the Court-

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213)725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax SpivakLaw.com

SPIVAK LAW

approved costs of the Settlement Administrator, (v) the payment to the LWDA, and (vi) Defendants' employer-side payroll taxes that exceed \$5,000. Defendants shall also pay their share of the employer-side payroll taxes up to \$5,000.00.

- 10. Defendants' payment of such sums shall be the sole financial obligation of Defendants under the Settlement, and shall be in full satisfaction of all claims released herein, including, without limitation, all claims for wages, penalties, interest, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses.
- 11. Pursuant to CCP 384 and the Settlement, Participating Class Members shall have one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date of the check's issuance to cash their Settlement Share check. After the expiration of the 180-day period, on Defendants' behalf, the Settlement Administrator shall remit any amounts from Voided Settlement Checks and otherwise unclaimed (the "Residue"), plus interest on the Residue at the legal rate of interest from the date of entry of the initial judgement, as follows: Legal Aid At Work, or alternatively the California Unclaimed Property Fund. Legal Aid At Work is referred to hereafter as the "Cy Pres Recipient."
- 12. The Parties shall file a final accounting report from the Settlement Administrator. A status conference re submission of a final report is scheduled for **October 24, 2022 at 9:00** a.m. in **Department D9**. The Parties shall also prepare and file a stipulation and proposed order and proposed Amended Final Order and Judgment which includes the amount of distribution of unpaid cash Residue, and unclaimed or abandoned funds to the non-party, the accrued interest on that sum and any other information required to be set forth pursuant to Section 68520 of the Government Code, as incorporated into CCP Section 384.5. The stipulation shall be signed by counsel for the class, defense counsel, and counsel for (or an authorized representative of) the Cy Pres Recipient in accord with the proposed Amended Final Order and Judgment. If there are objections by any party or non-party, class counsel shall immediately notify the Court and the matter will be set for further hearing. Pursuant to Section CCP 384.5, a conformed copy of the stipulation and order and Amended Final Order and Judgment (once signed by the Court) shall be forwarded by Class Counsel to the Judicial Council.
- 13. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations under the Settlement or hereunder, including the requirement that Defendants deposit funds for distribution by the Settlement Administrator to participating Class

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

28

26 27

SPIVAK LAW

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203 Encino, CA 91436 (213)725-9094 Tel (213) 634-2485 Fax Spiyakl aw com Members in accordance with the Settlement.

- 14. The Court hereby enters final Judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and this Final Order and Judgment.
 - 15. The Parties are hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the Settlement.
- 16. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided by the Settlement and this Final Order and Judgment.
- 17. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants nor is this Final Order and Judgment a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendants. Furthermore, the Settlement is not a concession by Defendants and shall not be used as an admission of any fault, omission, or wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither this Final Order and Judgment, Settlement, any document referred to herein, any exhibit to any document referred to herein, any action taken to carry out the Settlement, nor any negotiations or proceedings related to the Settlement are to be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, or an admission or concession with regard to, the denials or defenses of Defendants, and shall not be offered in evidence in any proceeding against the Parties hereto in any Court, administrative agency, or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Order and Judgment. This Final Order and Judgment, the Settlement and exhibits thereto, and any other papers and records on file in the Action may be filed in this Court or in any other litigation as evidence of the settlement by Defendants to support a defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Release Class Claims and the Released PAGA Claims.
- 18. This document shall constitute a Judgment for purposes of California Rule of Court 3.769(h).

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Date: 12/15/2021

Hon. Tana L. Coates

Judge of the Superior Court

2.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

State of California, County of Los Angeles

- 1. I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 203, Encino, California 91436.
- 2. I am familiar with the practice of The Spivak Law Firm, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. It is the practice that correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day it is submitted for mailing.

On Tuesday, December 14, 2021, I caused to have electronically served the following document described as [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS SETTLEMENT on interested parties with the email listed below:

Elizabeth A. Culley, Esq. Andre, Morris & Buttery 1102 Laurel Lane San Luis Obispo, CA 93406 eculley@amblaw.com

 $\overline{\text{XXXX}}$ (BY EMAIL) I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above from my electronic service address $\underline{\text{breck@spivaklaw.com}}$ or $\underline{\text{boyama@spivaklaw.com}}$.

EXECUTED on Tuesday, December 14, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.

 $\overline{\text{XXXX}}$ (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

____ (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

BRECK OYAMA