Michael R. Crosner (Bar No. 41299) Zachary M. Crosner (Bar No. 272295) J. Kirk Donnelly (Bar No. 179401) CROSNER LEGAL, PC 433 N. Camden Dr., Ste. 400 Beverly Hills, CA 90210 Tel: (310) 496-5818 Fax: (310) 510-6429 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff ALEJANDRO VALENCIA 7 (additional counsel listed on the next page) 8 9 10

FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT

FEB 2 4 2021

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

ALEJANDRO VALENCIA, JOSE LUISE ORTEGA, as individuals, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs.

15 VS.

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

THE ORIGINAL MOWBRAY'S TREE SERVICE, INCORPORATED, a California Corporation, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: CIVDS1825518

(Consolidated for all purposes with Case No. CIVDS1916818)

Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. David Cohn Dept. S-26

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT

Date: February 23, 2021

Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: S-26

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4	Sahag Majarian (Bar No. 146621) LAW OFFICES OF SAHAG MAJARIAN, II 18250 Ventura Blvd. Tarzana, CA 91356 Tel: (818) 609-0807 Fax: (818) 609-0892
5	Nazo Koulloukian (Bar No. 263809) KOUL LAW FIRM
6	3425 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1710 Los Angeles, CA 90010
7 8	Tel: (213) 761-5484 Fax: (818) 561-3938
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSE LUIS ORTEGA
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- 1 -[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

The Court, having read the papers filed regarding Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, and having heard argument regarding the Motion, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release ("Joint Stipulation") attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Zachary M. Crosner in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed on or about December 7, 2020, is within the range of possible recovery and, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing described below, is preliminarily approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate;
- For purposes of settlement only, the Court provisionally and conditionally certifies the following class: All individuals employed by defendant The Original Mowbray's Tree Service, Incorporated ("Mowbray's") as a non-exempt employee performing tree trimming related activities in the State of California at any time from October 1, 2014 through November 30, 2020;
- 3. The Court finds the Settlement Class, consisting of at least 1,944 members, is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, and that the Settlement Class is ascertainable by reference to the business records of defendant Mowbray's.
- 4. The Court finds further there are questions of law and fact common to the entire Settlement Class, which common questions predominate over any individualized questions of law or fact. These common questions include, without limitation: (1) whether Mowbray's paid Settlement Class Members for all hours worked, (2) whether Mowbray's provided Settlement Class Members with all required meal and rest periods, and (3) whether Mowbray's provided Settlement Class Members with proper itemized wage statements.
- 5. The Court finds further the claims of named Plaintiffs Alejandro Valencia and Jose Luis Ortega are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, and that they will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court appoints Alejandro Valencia and Jose Luis Ortega as the Class Representatives, and appoints their counsel of record, Zachary M. Crosner, Michael Crosner, and J. Kirk Donnelly and Crosner Legal, P.C., Sahag Majarian and Law Offices of Sahag Majarian, II, and Nazo Koulloukian and Koul Law Firm, as Class Counsel.

- 6. The Court finds further that certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 7. The Court finds further that, in the present case, the proposed method of providing notice of the Settlement to the Settlement Class via First Class U.S. Mail to each Settlement Class Member's last known address, is reasonably calculated to notify the Settlement Class Members of the proposed Settlement and provides the best notice possible under the circumstances. The Court also finds the Notice of Class Action Settlement form, a copy of which is attached to the Stipulation as Exhibit A and which be issued in both English and Spanish, is sufficient to inform the Settlement Class Members of the terms of the Settlement and their rights thereunder, including the right to object to the Settlement or any part thereof and the procedure for doing so, their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement and the procedure for doing so, their right to obtain a portion of the Settlement proceeds, and the date, time and location of the Final Approval Hearing. The proposed Notice of Class Action Settlement and the procedure for providing Notice set forth in the Stipulation, are approved by the Court.
- 8. Under the terms of the Stipulation, the Court approves the Parties' selection of CPT, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator is ordered to mail the Class Notice to the Settlement Class Members via First-Class U.S. Mail as specified in the Stipulation, in both English and Spanish, and to otherwise carry out all other duties set forth in the Stipulation. The Parties are ordered to carry out and comply with all terms of this Order and the Stipulation, and particularly with respect to providing the Settlement Administrator all information necessary to perform its duties under the Stipulation.
- 9. Any member of the Settlement Class who wishes to comment on or object to the Settlement or any term thereof, including any proposed award of attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel or any proposed representative enhancement to the Class Representative, shall have forty-five (45) days from the mailing of the Class Notice to submit his or her comments and/or objection to the Settlement Administrator, as set forth in the Stipulation and Class Notice.
- 10. A Final Approval Hearing is hereby set for June 15, 2021, at 10:00 a.m. in Department S-26 of the San Bernardino County Superior Court, to consider any objections to the

Settlement, determine if the proposed Settlement should be found fair, adequate and reasonable and given full and final approval by the Court, and to determine the amount of attorney's fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel, the amount of any representative enhancement awards to the Class Representatives, and to approve the fees and costs payable to the Settlement Administrator. All legal memoranda, affidavits, declarations, or other evidence in support of the request for final approval, the award of attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel, the enhancement awards to the Class Representatives, and the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, shall be filed no later than sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing. The Court reserves the right to continue the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class Members.

11. Provided he or she has not submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion, any Settlement Class Member may appear, personally or through his or her own counsel, and be heard at the Final Approval Hearing regardless of whether he or she has submitted a written objection.

Dated: 224.H

CAND COH

Judge of the Superior Court