1 2 3 4 5	Arlo Garcia Uriarte, SBN 231764 LIBERATION LAW GROUP, P.C. 2760 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 695-1000 Facsimile: (415) 695-1006 Attorneys for Plaintiff Melendez	Electronically FILED By Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo ON 02/14/2023 By /s/ Jimenez, Vanessa Deputy Clerk
6 7 8 9 10	Jeanine D. DeBacker (Bar No. 178054) MCPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP 720 University Avenue, Suite 250 Los Gatos, CA 95032 Telephone: (408) 293-1900 Facsimile: (408) 293-1999 Attorneys for Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, In	Electronically RECEIVED 2/8/2023 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT SAN MATEO COUNTY
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13		
14		
15	Almidia Esteban Melendez, as an individual and on behalf of others similarly situated,	Case no. 20-CIV-00453
16	·	CLASS ACTION - COMPLEX
17	Plaintiff, DYC	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
18	V.	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES
19	Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust,	TO THE HON. DANNY Y. CHOU,
20	Inc., and DOES 1 through 10,	DEPT 22
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Court's Order, attached hereto as <u>Exhibit "A"</u>, Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's Motion for Award of Attorney Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Fee and good cause appearing thereof, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Plaintiff Almidia Esteban Melendez and Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc. and Eat My Dust, Inc. agree that a judgment should be entered in this case pursuant to the and Amendment thereto Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") executed by the Parties, attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
- 2. Final Judgment in this matter is hereby entered in conformity with the Parties' Settlement Agreement, and this Court's Order granting: (1) Final Approval of Class Action Settlement; and granting and denying in part (2) Plaintiff's motion for Award of Attorney Fees, Costs, and Enhancement fee.
- 3. In accordance with rule 3.771, subdivision (b) of the California Rules of Court, notice of this Judgment will be given to settlement class members by displaying a copy of this Judgment and Order on the settlement website managed by the settlement administrator; and, the settlement checks provided to settlement class members will include a note stating: "Judgment was entered on [insert date]. A copy of the Judgment and notice of its entry can be found on the settlement website, www.cptgroupcaseinfo.com/genesisbuildingsettlement."
- 4. This Judgment is a final disposition of the above-captioned action in its entirety. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, this Court will retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this Action and the Parties for the purpose of supervising, administering, implementing, and enforcing the Settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DYC

		Electronically
1	1 Dated:	SIGNED
		By /s/Chou, Danny
2		02/09/2023 Hon. Danny Y. Chou
3		Judge of the Superior Court
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		

1	Arlo Garcia Uriarte, SBN 231764		
2	LIBERATION LAW GROUP, P.C. 2760 Mission Street		
3	San Francisco, CA 94110		
	Telephone: (415) 695-1000		
4	Facsimile: (415) 695-1006		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Melendez		
6	Jeanine D. DeBacker (Bar No. 178054) MCPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP		
7	720 University Avenue, Suite 250 Los Gatos, CA 95032		
8	Telephone: (408) 293-1900		
0	Facsimile: (408) 293-1999		
9	Attorneys for Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, In	20	
10	denesis building services, me., Lat my bust, m	ic.	
11			
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	COUNTY O	F SAN MATEO	
14			
15	Almidia Esteban Melendez, as an individual and on behalf of others similarly situated,	Case no. 20-CIV-00453	
16		CLASS ACTION - COMPLEX	
17	Plaintiff,		
1 /		<u>EXHIBIT "A"</u> TO [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
18	V.	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT	
19	Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, Inc., and DOES 1 through 10,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO THE HON. DANNY Y. CHOU,	
20	٥	DEPT 22	
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

2

1

3

4

5

situated:

v.

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Case No.: 20CIV00453

Assigned For All Purposes to Hon. Danny Y. Chou

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEY FEES, COSTS, AND ENHANCEMENT FEE

.

The unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement (Final Approval Motion) and the unopposed Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Costs, and Plaintiff's Enhancement (Fee Motion) came for hearing before this Court on December 15, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. and February 2, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. All parties appeared through their counsel. Having considered all papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Final Approval and Fee Motions, oral arguments of the parties, any testimony and evidence presented at the hearing, and all other pleadings and papers on file herein, the Court:

1. GRANTS the Final Approval Motion; and

ALMIDIA ESTEBAN MELENDEZ, as an

individual and on behalf of others similarly

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

GENESIS BUILDING SERVICES, INC.; EAT

MY DUST, INC., and DOES 1 through 10,

2. GRANTS IN PART and DENIES IN PART the Fee Motion.

FILED SAN MATEO COLINTY

Cierk of the XM Pries Court

4

5 6

7 8

9

12

11

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

ANALYSIS

Based on the arguments and filings of the parties, including the supplemental declarations of Plaintiff Almidia Esteban Melendez and her counsel, Arlo Uriarte, the Court grants the Final Approval Motion and grants in part and denies the Fee Motion for the reasons stated below.

A. Certification

The Court finds that the class of "All hourly, non-exempt, non-collectively bargained employees employed by Defendants at any point from January 24, 2016 through November 12, 2021" is properly certified for settlement purposes only pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382.

B. Class Action Settlement

The Court finds that the parties' settlement of the class action is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

Trial courts have broad discretion when determining whether a settlement agreement in a class action is fair, adequate, and reasonable. (Dunk v. Ford Motor Co. (1996) 48 Cal. App. 4th 1794, 1801 (Dunk), called into doubt on other grounds by Laffitte v. Robert Half Intl. Inc. (2016) 1 Cal.5th 480, 503.) "The well-recognized factors that the trial court should consider in evaluating the reasonableness of a class action settlement agreement include 'the strength of plaintiffs' case, the risk, expense, complexity and likely duration of further litigation, the risk of maintaining class action status through trial, the amount offered in settlement, the extent of discovery completed and stage of the proceedings, the experience and views of counsel, the presence of a governmental participant, and the reaction of the class members to the proposed settlement.' "(Kullar v. Foot Locker Retail, Inc. (2008) 168 Cal.App.4th 116, 128 (Kullar), quoting Dunk, at p. 1801.) This list "'is not exhaustive and should be tailored to each case.' "(Ibid.) "[A] presumption of fairness exists 'where: (1) the settlement is reached through arm'slength bargaining; (2) investigation and discovery are sufficient to allow counsel and the court to act intelligently; (3) counsel is experienced in similar litigation; and (4) the percentage of objectors is small.' " (Munoz v. BCI Coca-Cola Bottling Co. of Los Angeles (2010) 186 Cal.App.4th 399, 408.) Nonetheless, the trial court must still independently satisfy itself "that the consideration being received for the release of the class members' claims is reasonable in light of the strengths and weaknesses of the claims and the risks of the particular litigation." (*Ibid.*)

C. Notice

18

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Here, there is a presumption of fairness because: (1) the parties reached an arms-length settlement with the assistance of neutral and experienced mediators (Oct. 3, 2022 Uriarte Decl.., ¶ 8); (2) Plaintiff's counsel conducted a sufficient investigation before filing suit, and the parties voluntarily exchanged sufficient information to allow counsel and the Court to act intelligently (id., \P 4, 7, 11, 20-23, 24); (3) counsel is experienced in this type of litigation (id., \P 15, 26-33); and (4) no class members objected or asked to be excluded (Cofinco Decl., ¶¶ 12, 14). The Court further finds that the consideration received for the release of the class members' claims—which represents approximately 37.5% of their maximum potential recovery as estimated by counsel and will result in an average individual settlement payment of \$857.52 to employees who earn an average of \$18.66 per hour (Final Approval MPA, at p. 13)—is reasonable in light of the strengths and weaknesses of the class claims and the risks of litigation (see id., at pp. 8-11, 13-14). The Court therefore finds that the class action settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

The Court finds that the distribution of the Amended Notice of Class Action Settlement (Amended Notice) has been completed in conformity with the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and the Amendment to the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (collectively, the Settlement Agreement) and the Preliminary Approval Order. (See Cofinco Decl., ¶¶ 4-11.) The Court also finds that the Amended Notice provided adequate notice of the proceedings and the case, including the proposed settlement terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, and that, as executed, the Amended Notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

D. Class Representative Service Award

The Court finds that the requested service award of \$1,500 is appropriate to compensate Plaintiff for bringing and participating as the class representative in this action.

E. Administrator Costs

The Court approves the settlement administrator fees of \$14,500—which is consistent with the estimate in Plaintiff's preliminary approval motion.

5

6

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Based on its experience in class action and other common fund cases as an attorney and judge, the Court concludes that a fee of \$181,500 or 30% of the Gross Settlement Amount is the proper award of attorney fees in this case. (See Reynolds v. Ford Motor Co. (2020) 47 Cal.App.5th 1105, 1113-1114.) Plaintiff did not have to conduct any discovery or engage in any motion practice in order to resolve this case. A cross-check of the lodestar further confirms the reasonableness of a \$181,500 fee award. Plaintiff's counsel states that the lodestar amount is \$210,100. But at least some of that lodestar amount is based on hourly rates that exceed the reasonable hourly rates of counsel and staff in similar wage and hour cases that have settled under similar circumstances in San Mateo County. After adjusting the lodestar amount based on hourly rates that are reasonable for this County, the Court finds that a fee of \$181,500 is roughly commensurate with the lodestar amount. In any event, even if the lodestar amount given by Plaintiff is used, the Court finds that awarding over 86% of Plaintiff's claimed lodestar amount is appropriate. Finally, the Court notes that "[t]he Ninth Circuit uses 25 percent of the fund 'benchmark' for awarding fees." (Dixon v. Cushman & Wakefield Western, Inc. (N.D.Cal. Apr. 21, 2022) 2022 WL 1189883, *10.) Applying this benchmark, federal district courts in the bay area and southern California regularly award class counsel 30% of the settlement fund or less under similar circumstances. (See, e,g., id. at p. *11 [30%]; Madrid v. teleNetwork Partners, Ltd. (N.D.Cal. July 23, 2019) 2019 WL 3302812, *6 [30%]; Selk, supra, 159 F.Supp.3d at p. 1180 [24%].)

G. Costs

The Court finds that the payment of \$16,161.50 for costs is appropriate.

H. Cy Pres Recipient

The Court approves the proposed distribution of uncashed settlement checks pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement to the Legal Aid Society of San Mateo. Code of Civil Procedure section 384, subdivision (b) provides for the payment of unclaimed settlement funds "to nonprofit organizations or foundations to support projects that will benefit the class or similarly situated persons, or that promote the law consistent with the objectives and purposes of the underlying cause of action, to child advocacy programs, or to nonprofit organizations providing civil legal services to the indigent." The Court finds that payment of unclaimed settlement funds to the Legal Aid Society of San Mateo will

benefit the class or similarly situated persons. The Court therefore approves the payment of funds covering any uncashed settlement checks to the Legal Aid Society of San Mateo as the *cy pres* recipient in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

I. PAGA Allocation and Settlement

The Court finds that the portion of the settlement (\$25,000) allocated to the settlement of the PAGA claim (PAGA settlement) is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the settlement class, especially in light of the benefits provided to the settlement class, the strength of Plaintiff's claims and Defendants' defenses, the complexity, expense and probable duration of further litigation, and the risk and delay inherent in possible appeals. In doing so, the Court finds that the PAGA settlement serves the purposes of PAGA. (See *Moniz v. Adecco USA, Inc.* (2021) 72 Cal.App.5th 56, 77.) The Court further finds that the PAGA settlement is the result of arm's length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of the settlement class and Defendants, following a thorough and adequate factual and legal investigation. Finally, the Court finds that the allocation of \$25,000 of the total settlement amount (\$605,000) to the PAGA claim to be fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the settlement class and to serve the purposes of PAGA. (*Ibid.*) In doing so, the Court notes that the Labor Workforce Development Agency raised no objections to the PAGA settlement.

Accordingly, the Court approves the PAGA settlement, and the settlement administrator shall distribute the PAGA settlement amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

ORDER

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Final Approval Motion is GRANTED and the settlement of the class action and PAGA claims pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement is APPROVED.
- 2. The Court's Preliminary Approval Order and the Settlement Agreement are incorporated herein, and the Settlement Agreement has the full force and effect of an Order of the Court.
- 3. The Fee Motion is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART. Payment of class counsel's attorney fees in the amount of \$181,500 and costs in the amount of \$16,161.50 is APPROVED. Payment of a class representative enhancement award of \$1,500 to Plaintiff is APPROVED. Payment of administrator costs in the amount of \$14,500 to CPT Group, Inc. is APPROVED.

- 4. The parties are ORDERED to follow the procedures for settlement administration outlined in the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. A Final Compliance Hearing is scheduled for March 21, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. Zoom appearances are permitted but not required. The parties are ORDERED to file a Status Report—which must include a declaration from the settlement administrator describing the distribution of the settlement proceeds—on or by March 7, 2024.
- 6. The parties are ORDERED to prepare and file a proposed judgment consistent with this Order. The proposed judgment shall attach this Order and the entire Settlement Agreement and shall specify how notice of the judgment is to be given to class members in accordance with rule 3.771, subdivision (b) of the California Rules of Court.

Dated: Feb. 2, 2023

Danny Y. Chou

Judge of the Superior Court

1 2 3 4 5	Arlo Garcia Uriarte, SBN 231764 LIBERATION LAW GROUP, P.C. 2760 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: (415) 695-1000 Facsimile: (415) 695-1006 Attorneys for Plaintiff Melendez	
6 7 8 9 10	Jeanine D. DeBacker (Bar No. 178054) MCPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP 720 University Avenue, Suite 250 Los Gatos, CA 95032 Telephone: (408) 293-1900 Facsimile: (408) 293-1999 Attorneys for Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, In	1C.
12		HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA F SAN MATEO
13	00011110	
14 15 16 17	Almidia Esteban Melendez, as an individual and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v.	Case no. 20-CIV-00453 CLASS ACTION - COMPLEX EXHIBIT "B" TO [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
19 20 21 22	Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, Inc., and DOES 1 through 10, Defendants.	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO THE HON. DANNY Y. CHOU, DEPT 22
23		I
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	JOINT STIPULATION OF CLA CASE NO. 20	ASS ACTION SETTLEMENT D-CIV-00453	
	IODUT CAMPA A MANA		
28			
27			
26			
25			
24			
23			
22			
21		the task of our meaning of the	
20	Defendants.	ab los appetent a marganismos des	
19	Inc., and DOES 1 through 10,	o nechal secondary acceptable VI	
18	Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust,	JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
17	filmials I v. bereign a salmak pi z sieksze a sam a	members of Walsh Japanese VI	
16	Plaintiff,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO HON DANNY Y. CHOU, DEPARTMENT 22	
15	and on behalf of others similarly situated,	CASE NO. 20-CIV-00453	
14	Almidia Esteban Melendez, as an individual	LCAST NO. 20 CW 45 1-2	
13	COUNTY OF SAN MATEO		
12	SUPEDIOD COURT OF THE	VE COLUMN DE CASA DE C	
11	Dust,	INC.	
	Attorneys for Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, Inc.		
10	Facsimile: (408)293-1999		
9	San Jose, CA 95113 Telephone: (408) 293-1900		
8	Jeanine D. DeBacker (Bar No. 178054) 160 West Santa Clara Street, Suite 625		
7	MCPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS I I P		
6	Almidia Esteban Melendez		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
4	Telephone: (415) 695-1000 Facsimile: (415) 695-1006		
3	2760 Mission Street San Francisco, CA. 94110		
2	Ario Garcia Uriarte (Bar No. 231764) E-Mail: arlo@liberationlawgroup.com		
1	The state of the s		

This Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release ("Stipulation of Settlement" or "Settlement") is made and entered into by and between Plaintiff Almidia Esteban Melendez individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiffs" or "Class Representative") and Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc. and Eat My Dust, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants"). Subject to the terms and conditions hereof and the approval of the Court, this Settlement shall be binding on the Class Representatives and those persons they represent, and on Defendants and their present and former parent companies, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers, successors, and assigns.

THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs and Defendants are collectively referred to herein as "the Parties."
- 2. On January 24, 2020, Plaintiff Melendez filed a Class Action Complaint ("the Action") in San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. 20-CIV-00453, captioned *Melendez*, et al. v. Genesis Building Services, Inc., et al., for several alleged wage and hour violations and for an individual claim of wrongful termination. The Complaint includes claims for unpaid wages and overtime, meal period violations, rest period violations, waiting time penalties, record keeping violations, unlawful business practices, unfair business practices, and Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA") violations as well as Plaintiff Melendez's individual claim for wrongful termination. The Complaint seeks recovery of compensatory damages, penalties, restitution, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 3. The Parties and their counsel of record participated in mediation sessions on two occasions—on May 18, 2021 with the Honorable Jamie Jacobs May, (Ret.) and on November 12, 2021 with the Hon. Ernest Goldsmith, (Ret.) The discussions at each mediation were vigorous and conducted at arm's length. The Parties reached an agreement at the close of the second mediation on November 12, 2021.
- 4. The Parties hereby stipulate and agree that, for purposes of the Settlement, to the following: "Class Members," "Plaintiff Class," and "Settlement Class" mean the following:

"All hourly, non-exempt, non-collectively bargained employees employed by Defendants at any point from January 24, 2016 through November 12, 2021."

- 5. Defendants deny any liability or wrongdoing of any kind whatsoever associated with the claims alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint. With respect to Plaintiffs' claims, Defendants contend, among other things, that they have complied with all applicable state, federal, and local laws affecting Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class regarding hours worked, unpaid wages, unpaid overtime, unpaid minimum wages, meal and rest periods, record-keeping violations, wage statements, and as to all claims alleged in the Action.
- 6. It is the intention of the Parties that this Stipulation of Settlement shall constitute a full and complete settlement and release of all class claims arising from or related to the allegations of this class action case against Defendants, which release includes in its effect all present and former parent companies, subsidiaries, related or affiliated companies, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers, and successors and assigns of Defendants, and any individual or entity that could be jointly liable with Defendants. The Parties acknowledge that this Stipulation of Settlement shall not be construed as an admission of liability whatsoever by any Party, or by any officers, directors, agents, or employees of Defendants. After the Parties agreed to terms on which to settle the Class claims against Defendants, the Parties separately negotiated a resolution to Plaintiff Melendez's wrongful termination claim, which shall be subject to a separate settlement agreement.
- 7. Counsel for the Plaintiff Class have conducted a thorough investigation into the facts of this Action, including a review of relevant documents and data, and have diligently pursued an investigation of Class Members' claims against Defendants. Based on their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel are of the opinion that the Settlement with Defendants for the consideration and on the terms set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interest of the Plaintiff Class in light of all known facts and circumstances, including the risk of significant delay, the risks of demonstrating liability and damages at trial, defenses asserted by Defendants, and numerous

potential appellate issues. Defendants and Defendants' counsel also agree that the Settlement is fair and reasonable in light of the circumstances of the case.

- 8. The Parties agree to cooperate and to take all steps necessary and appropriate to consummate this Settlement and to dismiss this Action with prejudice after all Settlement sums have been paid out in accordance with this Stipulation of Settlement.
 - 9. This Stipulated Settlement Agreement has the following requirements:
 - a. Defendants will pay a total of six hundred and five thousand dollars (\$605,000), the "Total Settlement Amount." As described in further detail below, this amount will be paid through an initial lump-sum payment of \$205,000 to be paid no later than December 15, 2021 (which at the time of this Settlement Agreement, has been deposited with the administrator by Defendants); \$200,000 to be paid no later than August 15, 2022; and \$200,000 due no later than September 30, 2023.
 - b. It is further understood and agreed that Defendants shall have no obligation to pay any person, entity, or organization more than the Total Settlement Amount
 - c. The Total Settlement Amount shall be used to make all payments of class settlement awards, enhancement awards to the Class Representatives, all fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator, all attorneys' fees and costs, employer's share of payroll taxes and PAGA payments.
- 10. This Settlement provides that two installment check payments shall be made to each Class Member. Settlement Checks will go directly to all Class Members without the need to file a claim form. In other words, no Class Member will have to fill out and submit a claim form in order to receive a payment under this Settlement. The amount in each Settlement Check will be calculated using the specified workweek formula described in paragraph 11.f(1), below.

TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT

11. NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, promises, and agreements set forth herein, the Parties agree, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

a. It is agreed by and among Plaintiffs and Defendants that the Action, and
any class action claims, damages, or causes of action arising out of the facts, circumstances and
disputes which are the subject of this Action, be fully and finally settled and compromised as
between the Plaintiffs and Defendants, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in this
Stipulation of Settlement and the approval of the Superior Court of California, San Mateo
County.

Effective Date: The Settlement embodied in this Stipulation of Settlement shall become effective when all of the following events have occurred: (1) this Stipulation of Settlement has been executed by all Parties and by counsel for the Plaintiff Class and Defendants; (2) the Court has given preliminary approval to the Settlement; (3) notice has been given to the Class Members, as provided herein; (4) the Court has held a Final Fairness Hearing and entered a final order approving this Settlement Agreement, entering a nonmonetary judgment which allows the Court to retain jurisdiction over the parties and the case to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, as necessary; (5) all payments are made to the Settlement Fund by Defendant as described in Paragraph 11.d, below; and (6) the following event occurs: (a) the order containing the Court's Final Approval of this settlement ("Final Approval Order") is filed, if no objections by Class Members have been filed or if any objections by Class Members have been withdrawn in writing prior to, or on the record at the Final Fairness Hearing, (b) the time for appeal expires, if an objection has been filed and not withdrawn, or (c) the final resolution of any appeal of objections occurs, if an appeal has been filed and not dismissed. In this regard, it is the Parties' intention that the Settlement shall not become effective until the Court issues its Final Approval Order, and there is no further recourse by an appellant or objector who seeks to contest the Settlement.

c. <u>Total Settlement Amount</u>: To implement the terms of this Settlement,
Defendant agrees to pay Six Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$605,000), the "Total
Settlement Amount." Defendants represent that 412 persons worked as hourly, non-exempt,
non-collectively bargained employees of Defendants in the State of California from January 24,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2016 through November 12, 2021; if further information reveals that the number of Class Members employed by Defendants in California between from January 24, 2016 through November 12, 2021, is greater than 10% more than 412, the Parties agree that the Total Settlement Amount will be increased accordingly by the percentage that is greater than 10 percent.

Settlement Consideration: Subject to the provisions hereof, the following sets forth the settlement consideration. The parties acknowledge and agree that before December 15, 2021, Defendants deposited \$205,000 with the settlement administrator that shall be held in escrow pending preliminary approval (the "First Payment") No later than August 15, 2022, Defendants shall deposit \$200,000 with the settlement administrator (the "Second Payment") No later than December 15, 2023, Defendants shall deposit \$200,000 with the settlement administrator as a final payment (the "Final Payment"). These monies will be made by wire transfer to the Settlement Administrator using wire instructions provided by the Settlement Administrator and into an interest-bearing account held and administered by the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for any tax filings required under the law and will make any required tax payments out of the Settlement Fund. In the event that Defendants do not make a payment on the scheduled date, the Settlement Administrator will give notice to the Parties and their respective counsel within five (5) days. Plaintiffs will have the option to file a Stipulation of Judgment with the Court if Defendants have failed to make the timely payment within 30 days and after Plaintiffs have given 14 days' written notice to Defendants of the default.

e. <u>Net Settlement Amount and Settlement Payments</u>: All settlement checks, all attorneys' fees and costs, any enhancement awards to the Class Representatives, the fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator, and any other payments provided by this Settlement shall be paid out of the Total Settlement Amount. The Net Settlement Amount ("Net Settlement Amount") shall be calculated by deducting from the Total Settlement Amount all attorneys' fees and litigation costs, as approved by the Court, the enhancement awards to the Class

25

Representatives, in an amount to be decided by the Court, but which shall not exceed \$1,500 (One Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars) for Plaintiff Melendez, and the fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator (estimated to be \$14,500 (Fourteen Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars).).

- f. The amounts in the Class Members' settlement payments will be calculated by the Settlement Administrator and paid out of the Net Settlement Amount, as set forth below. Ninety (90) days after the second set of Settlement Checks have been issued and dispersed, any uncashed Settlement Checks will be cancelled, and the unclaimed sum will be distributed as described below in Paragraph 24. Thirty days prior to the 90-day deadline, the Settlement Administrator will send a reminder postcard to all Class Members who have not yet cashed their settlement checks, reminding them of the deadline for doing so.
- Members will be disbursed by the Settlement Administrator based on the number of weeks worked by the individual Class Member for Defendants in a covered position during the Class Period. The settlement amount shall be paid from the "Net Settlement Amount" according to the following formula: a pro rata share of the Net Settlement Amount shall be paid to each Class Member, based on the total number of work weeks actually worked during the Class Period (the time period between January 24, 2016 through November 12, 2021) by each Class Member for Defendants in a covered position divided by the total number of weeks actually worked during the Class Period by all Class Members. In other words, each Class Member's pro rata share of the Net Settlement Amount is a fraction, with the individual Class Member's actual weeks worked as the numerator and the total number of weeks actually worked by all Class Members as the denominator.

The number of weeks actually worked by individual Class Members during the Class Period will be calculated by reference to Defendants' records, which will be presumed to be correct unless the Class Member timely disputes those records telephonically or in writing to the Settlement Administrator. The amount of time worked will be rounded to the nearest

workweek. Class Members who worked at least one day will be treated as working one workweek for purposes of their settlement share calculation. The Settlement Administrator will determine both the number of weeks actually worked by the individual Class Members and the estimated individual settlement awards to be paid to each Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will provide these initial estimates to the Class in the Class Member Information Form ("Information Form"), which will be included as a part of the proposed Notice Proposed Settlement, and Final Fairness Hearing Date for Court Approval ("Class Notice" or "Notice").

Following receipt of the Class Notices and Information Forms, Class Members may review the initial workweeks and Settlement Check amount estimates and send any proposed corrections back to the Settlement Administrator. Class Members may either postmark their corrected Information Forms and/or place a telephone call to the Settlement Administrator with their proposed correction within sixty (60) calendar days after the mailing of the Class Notices and Information Forms. Class Members may—but are not required to—submit evidence to the Settlement Administrator demonstrating that the Class Members' corrections are accurate. All disputes regarding weeks worked will be resolved and decided by the Settlement Administrator, and the Settlement Administrator's decision on all such disputes will be final, binding, and non-appealable.

settlement award (divided into two installments) based on the formula identified in the paragraph above. The individual Settlement Awards shall be paid from the Net Settlement Amount, with no more due and owing from Defendants, and payable to eligible Class Members according to the following allocation: 70% of the Class Members' settlement payments will be characterized as penalties, for which the Settlement Administrator will issue IRS Forms 1099, 10% of the Class Members' settlement payments will be characterized as wages, for which the Settlement Administrator will issue IRS Forms W-2, and 20% of the Class Members' settlement payments will be characterized as interest, for which the Settlement Administrator will issue IRS Forms W-2, and 20% of the Class Members' settlement payments will be characterized as interest, for which the Settlement Administrator will issue IRS Forms 1099.

g. Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs: Subject to Court approval and/or modification, Defendant agrees not to object to an award to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, up to a maximum of 33.33% of the Total Settlement Amount, and an award of costs up to a maximum of Twenty-Five Thousand dollars (\$25,000). These fees and costs will be paid out by the Settlement Administrator from Total Settlement Amount. Should the Court approve attorneys' fees and costs payments in an amount less than that set forth above, the difference between the lesser amount approved by the Court and the requested amounts shall be included within the Net Settlement Amount. Provided that all required payments have been made to the Total Settlement Fund, the attorneys' fees and costs approved by the Court shall be paid from the Total Settlement Fund by the Settlement Administrator to Class Counsel within seven (7) days after the Effective Date, as described in Paragraph 11.

h. Class Representative's Enhancement Awards: Subject to Court approval, Defendants further agree to pay the Named Plaintiff an enhancement award ("Enhancement Award") from the Total Settlement Amount for her service as Class Representative, up to a maximum of \$1,500 (One Thousand, Five Hundred dollars) for Plaintiff Melendez. This enhancement award shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount. Defendants will not object to Class Counsel's application for Court approval of these enhancement awards to the Named Plaintiff. It is understood that the Enhancement Award payments are in addition to any claimed individual Settlement Award to which the Named Plaintiff is entitled as a Class Member and in addition to any payment Defendants make to Plaintiff Melendez in settlement of her individual wrongful termination claim. The Settlement Administrator will issue an IRS Form 1099 for the enhancement award payment to the Named Plaintiff for her service as Class Representative. Should the Court approve the enhancement award payment to the Named Plaintiff in an amount less than that set forth above, the difference between the lesser amount approved by the Court and the enhancement awards set forth above shall be included within the Net Settlement Amount.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

25

26

27

28

Right of Class Members to Object or Request Exclusion: Class Members will have sixty (60) calendar days from the mailing of the Class Notice and Information Form within which to postmark an objection to the Settlement or to request exclusion ("opt out") from the Class. Only Class Members who have not opted out may object. To object, a Class Member must mail a letter to the Settlement Administrator stating that he or she objects to the Settlement. This letter must include the Class Member's name, address, telephone number, signature, and the reasons for the objection to the Settlement. To opt out, a Class Member must mail a letter to the Settlement Administrator stating that he or she wants to "opt out" or be excluded from this lawsuit. The Class Member requesting to opt out must include his or her name, address, telephone number, and signature on this letter. The Parties agree that upon receipt of a letter objecting to the Settlement or a letter requesting exclusion or opt out from the lawsuit or a letter stating a Class Member's intent to appear at the Final Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall contact Plaintiffs' and Defendant's Counsel and provide them with a copy of the letter. The Settlement Administrator shall contact Counsel within two (2) business days of receipt of such a letter. If a Class Member submits both an objection and a request to opt out, the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel may contact the Class Member to clarify what the Class Member wishes to do with regard to the Settlement.

k. <u>No Reversion to Defendant</u>: The Parties agree that no amount from any uncashed Settlement Checks or any other portion of the Total Settlement Fund will revert to Defendants.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

12. The Parties have mutually agreed to seek Court appointment of CPT Group as the Settlement Administrator to perform the customary duties of the Settlement Administrator. The fees of the Settlement Administrator for work done shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount, is estimated to be \$14,500 (Fourteen Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars) and shall not exceed \$15,000.00 (Fifteen Thousand Dollars). The Settlement Administrator will send out to the Class Members the Notice and the Information Form within twenty (20) calendar days after

the date the Court issues its order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement. The Settlement Administrator will independently review the Information Forms and documentation, based on Defendants' records, as to the number of weeks worked by the Class Members and will calculate the amounts due to Class Members in accordance with this Stipulation of Settlement. Defendants shall grant the Settlement Administrator reasonable access to Defendants' records in order to perform its duties. The Settlement Administrator shall report, in summary or narrative form, the substance of its findings. The Settlement Administrator shall maintain the confidentiality of the Class Members.

Fund, the Settlement Administrator will issue and send out Settlement award checks to Class Members as described below in the "Settlement Award Process." The Settlement Administrator shall make all required tax withholdings and deposits. and timely prepare and file all required payroll tax paperwork. Tax treatment of the Settlement awards will be as set forth herein and in accordance with state and federal tax laws. All disputes relating to the Settlement Administrator's performance of its duties shall be referred to the Court, if necessary, which will have continuing jurisdiction over the terms and conditions of this Stipulation of Settlement until all payments and obligations contemplated by this Stipulation of Settlement have been fully carried out.

NOTICE TO THE SETTLEMENT CLASS

14. Within ten (10) calendar days of preliminary approval of this Settlement by the Court, Defendants shall provide to the Settlement Administrator all of the following information about each Class Member in a format requested by the Settlement Administrator: (1) Class Member's name, (2) last-known address, (3) last-known telephone number, (4) Social Security Number, and (5) dates of employment with Defendants as hourly, non-exempt, non-collectively bargained employees of Defendants in the State of California during the Class Period. This database shall be based on Defendants' payroll and other business records. The Settlement Administrator will maintain this database and all data contained within the database,

as private and confidential and shall not disclose such data to any persons or entities. If, as described above, a Class Member files both an objection and a request to opt out of the Settlement, the Settlement Administrator may provide Plaintiffs' Counsel the Class Member's name and contact information. Prior to any mailing, the Settlement Administrator will run a check of the Class Members' addresses against those on file with the U.S. Postal Service's National Change of Address List. Within twenty (20) calendar days of preliminary approval of this Settlement, the Settlement Administrator will mail the Notice and the Information Form to the Class Members.

- Administrator to the Class Members by first class mail. The Class Notice will be in English on one side of the Notice and in Spanish on the other. Accompanying the Notice will be an Information Form as approved by the Court. The front of each envelope mailed to Class Members shall prominently display the following language in English and Spanish: "Court-Ordered Genesis Building Services, Inc. and Eat My Dust, Inc. Class Action Notice and Class Member Information Form Inside. Please Open Immediately. You May be Entitled to Recover Money in this Class Action Settlement."
- 16. Additionally, the Parties agree that a Notice of Settlement shall be posted in English and Spanish at Defendants' headquarters, as approved by the Court. The Notice shall alert Class Members to look for the envelope and the Notice shall make explicit that there will be no retaliation against Class Members regardless of whether Class Members stay in the class settlement or decide to opt out. The same non-retaliation notice shall be included at the bottom of the first page of the mailed notice.
- 17. Any Notices and Information Forms returned to the Settlement Administrator as non-delivered during the ninety (90) calendar day period for returning corrected Information Forms shall be resent to the forwarding address, if any, on the returned envelope. The Settlement Administrator shall use all reasonably available means, such as NCOA searches and skip traces, to find Class Members. The Settlement Administrator will also collaborate with

Plaintiffs' Counsel to find former-employee Class Members. Upon completion of these steps by the Settlement Administrator, the Parties and the Settlement Administrator shall be deemed to have satisfied their obligations to provide reasonable Notice to the members of the Class. The affected members of the Class (that is, Class Members who do not validly request to be excluded from the Class) shall remain members of the Class and shall be bound by all the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement and the Court's Final Approval Order and Final Judgment.

18. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall provide to the Court, at least twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the Final Fairness Hearing, a declaration by the Settlement Administrator of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to the mailing of the Class Notice and the Information Form.

SETTLEMENT AWARD PROCESS

- 19. Defendants shall transfer the Total Settlement Amount to the Settlement Administrator in separate installments—the first installment of \$205,000 was paid into the CPT Escrow Account on or before December 15, 2021 (the First Payment); the Second Payment will be in the amount of \$200,000 made no later than August 15, 2022; the Final Payment shall be made no later than September 30, 2023 in the amount of \$200,000. All these monies will be paid into an interest-bearing escrow account, held and administered by the Settlement Administrator.
- 20. Within fourteen (14) days after the Second Payment (to take place on or about August 15, 2022), the Settlement Administrator will mail the first of two installment payments to Settlement Class Members, amounting to approximately 35% of their individual settlement payments. Within 14 days after the Final Payment is made to the Settlement Administrator (to take place on or about September 30, 2023), the Settlement Administrator will mail the second of two installment payments to the Settlement Class Members, amounting to approximately 65% of their Individual Settlement Payment.
- 21. Within fourteen (14) days after the Second Payment by Defendants (to take place on or about August 15, 2022), the Settlement Administrator will also transfer approximately 35% of any attorneys' fees and costs approved by the Court to Class Counsel and mail approximately 35% of any Enhancement Award approved by the Court to the Class

Representative. Within 14 days after the Final Payment by Defendants, the Settlement Administrator will transfer the remaining fees and costs approved by the Court to Class Counsel and mail the remaining amount of any Enhancement Award approved by the Court to the Class Representative.

- 22. Within fourteen (14) days after the Second Payment by Defendants (to take place on or about August 15, 2022), the Settlement Administrator will mail approximately 35% of the PAGA penalties payment to the LWDA. Within 14 days after the after the Final Payment by Defendants is made to the Settlement Administrator, the Settlement Administrator will transfer the remaining PAGA penalty amount to the LWDA.
- 23. If a Settlement Check sent to a Class Member is returned with a forwarding address provided by the United States Postal Service, it shall be re-mailed to the forwarding address provided. If a Settlement Check sent to a Class Member is returned as undeliverable by the United States Postal Service or is otherwise designated by the United States Postal Service as having been sent to an invalid address and the Class Member did not provide the Settlement Administrator with additional address information after the mailing of the Settlement Check, the Settlement Administrator shall contact Plaintiffs' Counsel to attempt to locate the Class Member. Similar to the process for finding former employee Class Members in order to provide Notice, the Settlement Administrator should use all reasonably available and accessible means, such as skip traces, to find updated and current addresses.
- 24. Checks issued to Class Members pursuant to this Settlement shall remain negotiable for a period of 90 calendar days from the date of the mailing of the second installment payment. Class Members who fail to negotiate (*i.e.*, cash or deposit) their check(s) in a timely fashion shall remain subject to the terms of this Settlement. Thirty days before the end of the 90-day period, the Settlement Administrator will send a reminder email and/or post card to those Class Members whose checks (one or both) remain uncashed, reminding them of the stale date of the checks. Settlement payments not negotiated within the 90-day period shall be distributed as follows:
 - (a) If the total amount of Class Member settlement checks negotiated within 90

days of mailing of the second payment does not equal or exceed 90% of the Net Settlement Fund, then the difference between the total of the unnegotiated Settlement payments and the total of the negotiated Settlement payments shall revert to the Net Settlement Fund and shall be paid to the participating Settlement Class Members on a pro rata basis pursuant to the formula set forth in paragraph 11, above.

(b) If the total amount of Class Member settlement checks negotiated within 90 days of mailing of the second payment equals or exceeds 90%, but is less than 100%, of the Net Settlement Fund, the remainder sum will be put in a cy pres fund. If this occurs, subject to Court approval, the Parties agree to distribute the money to the Legal Aid Society of San Mateo, a non-profit organization.

PAGA PENALTIES

25. Plaintiffs' complaint alleges potential claims for penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), California Labor Code sections 2698 et seq. The Parties agree that all such claims for PAGA penalties have been settled in this Joint Stipulation, and shall be paid from the Total Settlement Amount, in the amount of \$25,000, subject to approval by the Court. The PAGA penalties shall be allocated as follows, to be paid out by the Settlement Administrator: 75% (\$18,750) shall be paid to the LWDA, and the remaining 25% (\$6,250) shall be distributed to the Settlement Class Members.

RELEASE BY THE CLASS

26. Upon final approval by the Court of this Settlement, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Stipulation of Settlement, Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and as Class Representatives, and all Class Members ("Releasing Parties") shall release and discharge Defendants and their present and former owners, parent companies, subsidiaries, related or affiliated companies, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, attorneys, accountants, insurers, successors and assigns, and any other person acting on their behalf

("Released Parties"), from any and all causes of action, claims, rights, damages, punitive or statutory damages, penalties, liabilities, attorneys' fees, expenses, and losses and issues of any kind or nature whatsoever that were alleged in the Complaint or that reasonably arise from the facts alleged in the Complaint.

27. In addition, except for Plaintiff Melendez's individual wrongful termination claim (the resolution of which is discussed below), the Named Plaintiff understands and expressly agrees that in exchange for receiving an enhancement awards of up to one thousand, five hundred dollars (\$1,500) for Plaintiff Melendez, as approved by the Court, this Agreement extends to all claims of every nature and kind whatsoever, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, past or present, which the Named Plaintiff have or may have against the Released Parties, and all rights under section 1542 of the California Civil Code are hereby expressly waived. Such section reads as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

As noted above, the release of Plaintiff Melendez's individual wrongful termination claim is the subject of a separate settlement agreement to be entered into by Defendants and Plaintiff Melendez. Additionally, the Releasing Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, they have released claims for unpaid wages, overtime and penalties in this Agreement. Releasing Parties further acknowledge that Defendant contested these claims on a factual basis and that the settlement reached herein is a compromised resolution of those disputed claims.

DUTIES OF THE PARTIES PRIOR TO COURT APPROVAL

28. The Parties shall promptly submit this Stipulation of Settlement to the San Mateo County Superior Court of California in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval and determination by the Court as to the Settlement's fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness. Promptly upon execution of this Stipulation of Settlement, the Parties shall

30. In the event that (a) the Court declines to enter final approval of the Settlement or to enter the Judgment or any part thereof as provided for herein, or the Parties hereto fail to consent to the entry of alternative forms of Judgment, in lieu thereof, or after such consent the Court declines to enter such alternate form of Judgment; or (b) any conditions to the Settlement are not satisfied; or (c) the Court disapproves this Settlement, including any amendments hereto, and such disapproval becomes final by reason of being affirmed on appeal or lapse of time or otherwise; or (d) the Court approves this Settlement, including any amendments hereto, but any such judgment and approval is finally reversed on appeal; in any such event ((a) through (d)), this Settlement shall be void, and the Preliminary Approval Order and the Final Approval Order and Judgment, if any, shall be vacated upon application to the Court. In such event, (1) this Stipulation and the Settlement shall be terminated and become void, (2) any actions taken or to be taken in connection with this Stipulation and the Settlement shall become void and of no effect; and (3) all pretrial proceedings, including discovery, shall resume 30 (thirty) days thereafter as if this Settlement had not been proposed for approval of the Court.

PARTIES' AUTHORITY

31. The signatories hereto hereby represent that they are fully authorized to enter into this Stipulation of Settlement and bind the Parties hereto to the terms and conditions thereof.

MUTUAL FULL COOPERATION

32. The Parties agree to fully cooperate with each other to accomplish the terms of this Stipulation of Settlement, including but not limited to, execution of such documents and taking such other actions as reasonably may be necessary to implement the terms of this Stipulation of Settlement. The Parties to this Stipulation of Settlement shall use their best efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Stipulation of Settlement and any other efforts that may become necessary by order of the Court, or otherwise, to effectuate this Stipulation of

Settlement and the terms set forth herein. As soon as practicable after execution of this Stipulation of Settlement, Plaintiffs' Counsel shall, with the assistance and cooperation of Defendants and their counsel, take all necessary steps to secure the Court's final approval of this Stipulation of Settlement.

33. The Parties agree that they will not attempt to encourage or discourage Class Members from submitting Requests for Exclusion and will not discourage Class Members from participating in the Settlement.

NO PRIOR ASSIGNMENTS

34. The Parties represent, covenant, and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered, or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action or right herein released and discharged except as set forth herein.

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

35. In the event that one or more of the Parties to this Stipulation of Settlement institutes any legal action or other proceeding against any other Party or Parties to enforce the provisions of this Stipulation of Settlement or to declare rights and/or obligations under this Stipulation of Settlement, the successful Party or Parties shall be entitled to recover from the unsuccessful Party or Parties reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, including expert witness fees incurred in connection with any enforcement actions.

CONSTRUCTION

36. The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation of Settlement are the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Parties, and this Stipulation of Settlement shall not be construed in favor of or against any Party by reason of the extent to which any Party or his, her or its counsel participated in the drafting of this Stipulation of Settlement.

37. Paragraph titles or captions contained herein are inserted as a matter of convenience and for reference, and in no way define, limit, extend, or describe the scope of this Stipulation of Settlement or any provision hereof. Each term of this Stipulation of Settlement is contractual and not merely a recital.

MODIFICATION

38. This Stipulation of Settlement may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing and signed by the Parties hereto, and approved by the Court. This Stipulation of Settlement may not be discharged except by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing signed by the Parties hereto.

INTEGRATION CLAUSE

39. This Stipulation of Settlement contains the entire agreement between the Parties relating to the Settlement and transaction contemplated hereby, and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, whether oral or written and whether by a Party or such Party's legal counsel, are merged herein. No rights hereunder may be waived except in writing.

BINDING ON ASSIGNS

40. This Stipulation of Settlement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.

CLASS MEMBER SIGNATORIES

41. It is agreed that because the members of the Class are so numerous, it is impossible or impractical to have each member of the Class execute this Stipulation of Settlement. The Class Notice will advise all Class Members of the binding nature of the release.

3 4 5

42. This Stipulation of Settlement may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or electronically-scanned signatures, and when each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart shall be deemed an original, and, when taken together with other signed counterparts, shall constitute one Stipulation of Settlement, which shall be binding upon and effective as to all Parties.

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

43. As part of this Settlement Agreement and to secure Defendants' obligations under this Agreement, the Parties shall execute a Stipulation for Entry of Judgment in the form attached as Exhibit A, in the amount of six hundred and-five thousand dollars (\$605,000) less any amounts paid into Escrow at CPT pursuant to this Agreement, plus reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in enforcing the Settlement Agreement and collecting the settlement sums. The Stipulation shall be held in trust by Plaintiffs' Counsel and shall only be filed in the event of Defendants' default. In the event that Defendants fail to make timely payments of any payment called for above for thirty days, and Plaintiffs' Counsel gives Defendants fourteen days' written notice of the default, and Defendants fail to correct the default, Plaintiffs will have the option to file the Stipulation with the Court and may have judgment entered against Defendants and may enforce this judgment without further notice to Defendants and without further hearing before the Court, the rights to which are waived by Defendants.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto knowingly and voluntarily executed this Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release as of the dates set forth below:

1 2	Dated: March 🔀 2022	Almidia Esteban Melendez Plaintiff
3		
4		
5	Dated: March , 2022	LIBERATION LAW GROUP PC
6		
7		By: Arlo Uriarte
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class
9		
10		
11	Dated: March \mathcal{L} , 2022	-41-01
12		Genesis Building Services, Inc. Defendant
13		/_ /
14	Dated: March $\stackrel{\mathbb{Z}}{\underline{\smile}}$, 2022	-ATV
15		Eat My Dust, Inc. Defendant
16		
17	DATED: March 3, 2022	McPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP
18		Janish
19 20		JEANINE DeBACKER
21		Attorneys for Defendants
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		-21-
	IOINT CTIN	TH ATION OF CLASS ACTION PETTI IN TENT

1 2 3 4	LIBERATION LAW GROUP, P.C. Arlo Garcia Uriarte (Bar No. 231764) E-Mail: arlo@liberationlawgroup.com 2760 Mission Street San Francisco, CA. 94110 Telephone: (415) 695-1000 Facsimile: (415) 695-1006	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Attorneys for Plaintiff Almidia Esteban Melendez MCPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLI Jeanine D. DeBacker (Bar No. 178054) 720 University Avenue, Suite 250B Los Gatos, CA 95032 Telephone: (408) 293-1900 Facsimile: (408)293-1999 Attorneys for Defendants	
11 12 13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Almidia Esteban Melendez, as an individual and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. Genesis Building Services, Inc., Eat My Dust, Inc., and DOES 1 through 10, Defendants.	Case no. 20-CIV-00453 CLASS ACTION – COMPLEX AMENDMENT TO JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO HON. DANNY Y. CHOU, DEPARTMENT 22
28	_	1- Case No. 20-CIV-00453

AMENDMENT TO JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

This Amendment to the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement (the "Agreement") is entered in the above-captioned action (the "Action") between and among the Plaintiff Almidia Esteban Melendez ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and the Settlement Class (as defined in the Agreement), and Defendants Genesis Building Services, Inc. and Eat My Dust, Inc. ("Defendants") (collectively, the "Parties"). The Parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

RECITALS

- 1. WHEREAS, the Parties entered into the Agreement in good faith in March 2022.
- 2. WHEREAS, Plaintiff submitted her motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement on March 15, 2022.
- 3. WHEREAS, the Court entered a tentative ruling in response to Plaintiff's motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement on May 18, 2022, identifying two issues of concerns regarding: (1) the size of the Settlement Class; and (2) the formula used to calculate individual settlement payments for the Settlement Class.
- 4. WHEREAS, the Court held a hearing on Plaintiff's motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement on May 19, 2022, wherein the Settlement Class size issue was sorted out and wherein the Court directed the Parties to adjust the formula used to calculate individual settlement payments for the Settlement Class.
- 5. NOW THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree to the following Amendment to the Agreement:

AMENDMENT TO AGREEMENT

1. Paragraph 11(c) of the Agreement shall now read as follows:

Total Settlement Amount: To implement the terms of this Settlement, Defendant agrees to pay Six Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$605,000), the "Total Settlement Amount." Defendants represent that 412 persons worked as hourly, non-exempt, non-collectively bargained employees of Defendants in the State of California from January 24, 2016 through November 12, 2021; if further information reveals that the number of Class Members employed by Defendants in California between from January 24, 2016 through November 12, 2021, is greater than 10% more than 412, the Parties agree that the Total Settlement Amount will be increased accordingly by the Case No. 20-CIV-00453

28

percentage that is greater than 10 percent. If Class Members with Estimated Individual Settlement Payments accounting for more than 10% of the Net Settlement Amount submit valid requests to be excluded from the Settlement by the Class Members' Response Deadline, to be determined by the date the Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement, then Defendants shall have the unilateral right to void this Agreement (but for any fees for services rendered by the Settlement Administrator). Defendants may do so by giving notice to Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator within 7 days of the Response Deadline.

2. Paragraph 11(f)(1) of the Agreement shall now read as follows:

"Settlement Awards to Class Members: Settlement Awards to Class Members will be disbursed by the Settlement Administrator based on the number of weeks worked by the individual Class Member for Defendants in a covered position during the Class Period. The settlement amount shall be paid from the "Net Settlement Amount" according to the following formula: (1) Eighty Percent (80%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members who were employed by Defendants in a Covered Position from January 24, 2016, through June 30, 2019. Individual payments will be calculated on a pro rata basis based on the total number of work weeks actually worked by Settlement Class Members during the period of January 24, 2016, through June 30, 2019. Individual work weeks will be divided by the total number of weeks. In other words, each Class Member's pro rata share of the Net Settlement Amount is a fraction, with the individual Class Member's actual weeks worked as the numerator and the total number of weeks actually worked by all Class Members as the denominator. (2) Twenty Percent (20%) of the Net Settlement Amount shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members who were employed by Defendants in a Covered Position from July 1, 2019, through November 12, 2021. Individual payments will be calculated on a pro rata basis based on the total number of work weeks actually worked by Settlement Class Members during the period of July 1, 2019, through November 12, 2021. Individual work weeks will be divided by the total number of weeks in the same manner described directly above.

The number of weeks actually worked by individual Class Members during the Class Period will be calculated by reference to Defendants' records, which will be presumed to be correct unless the Class Member timely disputes those records telephonically or in writing to the Settlement

-3
Case No. 20-CIV-00453

Administrator. The amount of time worked will be rounded to the nearest workweek. Class Members who worked at least one day will be treated as working one workweek for purposes of their settlement share calculation. The Settlement Administrator will determine both the number of weeks actually worked by the individual Class Members and the estimated individual settlement awards to be paid to each Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will provide these initial estimates to the Class in the Class Member Information Form ("Information Form"), which will be included as a part of the proposed Notice Proposed Settlement, and Final Fairness Hearing Date for Court Approval ("Class Notice" or "Notice").

Following receipt of the Class Notices and Information Forms, Class Members may review the initial workweeks and Settlement Check amount estimates and send any proposed corrections back to the Settlement Administrator. Class Members may either postmark their corrected Information Forms and/or place a telephone call to the Settlement Administrator with their proposed correction within sixty (60) calendar days after the mailing of the Class Notices and Information Forms. Class Members may—but are not required to—submit evidence to the Settlement Administrator demonstrating that the Class Members' corrections are accurate. All disputes regarding weeks worked will be resolved and decided by the Settlement Administrator, and the Settlement Administrator's decision on all such disputes will be final, binding, and non-appealable."

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Stipulation to be executed, by their duly authorized attorneys as of May 26, 2022.

Case No. 20-CIV-00453

1	Dated: June 3, 2022	LIBERATION LAW GROUP PC
2		
3		Arlo Uriarte
4		
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Settlement Class
6		
7	Dated: June 3, 2022	McPHARLIN SPRINKLES & THOMAS LLP
8	Dated. Julie 3, 2022	O OI
9		Maningla
10		JEANINE DEBACKER
11		Attorneys for Defendants
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
20 27		
21		

Case No. 20-CIV-00453