-	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3 Fill E 06/29/2023 at 04:09:36 PM 4 JUL 2 1 2023 Offerk of the Superior Court 5 By: J. Virissimo, Deputy			
	8	8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO			
	9				
GRAHAM HOLLIS APC 3555 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92103	 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	aggrieved employees of Defendants, Plaintiff, v. CORONADO BREWING COMPANY, INC.; and DOES 1 THROUGH 50, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No.: 37-2019-00054937-CU-OE-CTL (PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND PAGA ACTION SETTLEMENT [IMAGED FILE] Date: July 21, 2023 Time: 10:30 a.m. Dept: C-64 Judge: Hon. Loren G. Freestone Complaint filed: October 16, 2019 Trial Date: None set		
	21 22	Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Prelimi	nary Approval of Class and PAGA Action Settlement		
	23	came before this Court on July 21, 2023. The Court, having considered the proposed Stipulation for Class			
	24	and PAGA Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement"), Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for			
	25	Preliminary Approval, and all papers filed in support, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:			
	26	1. This Order incorporates by referen	ce the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all		
	27	terms defined therein shall have the same meaning in this Order as set forth in the Settlement Agreement,			
	28	which is attached to the Declaration of Graham Ho	ollis as Exhibit 1 .		

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL 1 2. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the terms of the Settlement Agreement 2 are fair, adequate, and reasonable. It appears to the Court that investigation and research have been 3 conducted such that counsel for the Parties are at this time able to reasonably evaluate their respective 4 positions. It further appears to the Court that settlement, at this time, will avoid substantial additional 5 costs by all Parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution 6 of the Action. It further appears that the Settlement Agreement has been reached as the result of intensive, 7 serious and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.

3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement Agreement appear to be
within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this
Court. Indeed, the Court has reviewed the monetary recovery that is being granted as part of the Settlement
and preliminarily finds that the monetary settlement awards made available to all Class Members are fair,
adequate, and reasonable when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to
liability and damages issues.

14 4. The Court hereby conditionally certifies the Settlement Classes for settlement purposes
15 only.

5. The Court grants conditional certification of the following Settlement Classes:

The Non-Exempt Class: All current and former non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant at any time from October 16, 2015 to September 4, 2022.

Waiting Time Penalty Subclass: All members of the Non-Exempt Class, as defined above, whose employment with Defendant ended at any time from October 16, 2016 to September 4, 2022.

22 6. The Court preliminarily approves the appointment of Plaintiff Kenneth Andrew as Class
23 Representative.

7. The Court preliminarily approves the appointment of Plaintiff's Counsel Graham S.P.
Hollis, Erik A. Dos Santos, Hali M. Anderson of GrahamHollis APC, as Class Counsel.

8. The Court preliminarily approves the definition and disposition of the Gross Settlement
 Amount of \$650,000.00, on a non-reversionary basis, which is inclusive of: (1) all payments to the
 Settlement Class Members; (2) the Class Representative Payment of \$7,500 to Plaintiff; (3) Class

[RROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

16

17

18

19

20

21

Counsel's attorney's fees in the amount of \$216,666.67 (or 33 1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount) and
 actual litigation costs not to exceed \$24,000; (4) all Settlement Administration Costs not to exceed
 \$23,000; and (5) the PAGA Payment of \$30,000.00, of which \$22,500.00 (or 75%) will be paid to the
 California Labor and Workforce Development Agency and the remainder will be allocated to the PAGA
 Employees, which are those Class Members who worked for Defendant from August 12, 2018 to
 September 4, 2022.

9. The Court approves the form and content of the Notice of Class Action Settlement, in
substantially the form attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A, and finds that the proposed
method of disseminating the Class Notice to the Class meets all the due process requirements, provides
the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitutes due and sufficient notice to all Class
Members.

12 10. The Court approves the retention of CPT Group, Inc., ("CPT") as the Settlement 13 Administrator and hereby directs CPT to provide the approved Class Notice to Class Members and 14 administer the Settlement in accordance with the procedures described in the Settlement Agreement and 15 the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the 16 11. Settlement Agreement, or the Settlement is not finally approved by this Court, is not approved in whole 17 or in part by the Court or any appellate court and/or other court of review, is terminated, cancelled or fails 18 19 to become effective for any reason, this Order shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions as of the commencement of the litigation, and the 20Settlement Agreement and the fact that it was entered into shall not be offered, received, or construed as 21 an admission or as evidence for any purposes, including but not limited to an admission by any Party of 22 23 liability or non-liability or of the certifiability of a litigation class or the appropriateness of maintaining a 24 representative action.

- 25 ///
- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28 ///

12. The Court orders the following implementation schedule for further proceedings:

.

2	EVENT	DEADLINE		
3	Preliminary Approval Date	TBD		
4	Deadline for Defendant to provide the	No later than ten (10) business days after the Court		
5	Settlement Administrator with the Class	grants Preliminary Approval		
6	Data and the information necessary to calculate the Compensable Workweeks			
7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
, 8	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to Class Members.	No later than ten (10) calendar days after receiving the Class Data from Defendant.		
9	mair the Class Notice to Class Members.	Class Data Itom Detendant.		
10	Deadline for Class Members to submit any	Sixty (60) calendar days from the initial mailing of the		
11	objection to the Settlement Agreement or request exclusion from the Settlement Class.	Notice to the Class Members, except the deadline will be extended by ten (10) calendar days for any Class Member		
12	("Response Deadline")	who is re-mailed the Notice.		
13				
14 15	Deadline for the Settlement Administrator to provide a declaration attesting the completion of the Notice process and	No later than ten (10) calendar days before Plaintiff's deadline to file the Motion for Final Approval.		
16	number valid requests for exclusions.			
17	Final Assessed Haaving			
18	Final Approval Hearing			
19				
20				
21	13. The Court hereby sets a hearing	date for Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class and		
22	PAGA Action Settlement and Award of Attorn	ney's Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Service Award		
23	on <u>15/23</u> at 18:30 an in Department C-64 of this Court.			
24	The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all			
25	dates provided for in the Settlement Agreement without further notice to Class Members and retains			
26	jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.			
27	///			
28	///			
		4		
	[PROPUSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF	'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL		

GRAHAMHOLLIS APC 3555 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 200 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92103 1

	1	IT IS SO ORDERED.
	2	Dated: 7/21/23
	3	MA
	4	Honorable Loren G. Freestone JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
	5	JUDGE OF THE BUT ENON COUNT
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
330	11	
A 92103	12	
HOLLIS AF VENUE SUT ALIFORNIA	13	
340	14	
FIFTH A	15	
ΥΩ ΩΩ	16	
35 SAI	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25 25	
	26 27	
	27	
	28	5
		5 [REPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL