Raul Perez (SBN 174687) 1 Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Mark A. Ozzello (SBN 116595) 2 ALAMEDA COUNTY Mark.Ozzello@capstonelawyers.com 3 CAPSTONE LAW APC JUL 1 1 2023 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 4 CLERKOF THE SUPERIOR COURT Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff Walter Fine 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 WALTER FINE, individually, and on behalf of Case No. RG21110152 other members of the general public similarly 11 situated, Assigned to the Hon. Evelio Grillo 12 Plaintiff. [PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT **GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL** 13 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION VS. SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR CONSERVATION SOCIETY OF ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND 14 CALIFORNIA, a California corporation, and **EXPENSES, AND A CLASS** 15 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT **PAYMENT** 16 Defendants. July 11, 2023 Date: 17 Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Department 21 18 Complaint Filed: August 20, 2021 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

ORDER

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Expenses, and a Class Representative Enhancement Payment (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having reviewed the Motions, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.
- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation

conducted by Plaintiff's Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendant or by any other Released Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or any other Released Party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, waiver of defenses, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 10. With the exception of the one individual who opted out of the Settlement Class, final approval shall be with respect to: All current or former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees employed by the Defendant in the State of California at any time from August 20, 2017 through December 5, 2022.
 - 11. Plaintiff Walter Fine is an adequate and suitable representative and is hereby appointed

the Class Representative for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiff's investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that his interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.

- 12. The Court hereby awards Plaintiff a Class Representative Enhancement Payment of \$10,000 for his service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to a general release of all claims arising out of his employment with Defendant.
- 13. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC have the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Class Counsel, and hereby appoints Capstone Law APC as counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 14. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$20,000 is hereby approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$15,000, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$5,000, will be paid to PAGA Members.
- The Court hereby awards \$233,393 in attorneys' fees and \$20,000 in costs and expenses to Capstone Law APC. The Court finds that the requested award of attorneys' fees is reasonable for a contingency fee in a class action such as this; i.e., one third of the common fund created by the settlement. Counsel have also established the reasonableness of the requested award of attorneys' fees via their lodestar crosscheck, and the Court finds that the rates, hours billed, and risk multiplier are fair and reasonable.
- 16. The Court approves settlement administration costs and expenses in the amount of \$10,750 to CPT Group, Inc.
- Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard.

 Members of the Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement and the class. Accordingly, the terms of the Settlement Agreement and of the Court's Order and Judgment shall be forever binding on all Participating Class Members. These Participating Class Members have released and forever discharged the Released Parties for any and all