

AARON N. COLBY (State Bar No. 247339)  
aaron@colbylegal.com  
ZOE YUZNA (State Bar No. 268496)  
zoe@colbylegal.com  
**COLBY LAW FIRM, PC**  
13263 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 203  
Studio City, California 91604  
Telephone: (818) 253-1599  
Fax: (818) 475-1981

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
MELANIE ROBLES

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

MELANIE ROBLES, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WORLD TECH TOYS, INC., a corporation;  
TOYRRIFIC LLC, a limited liability  
corporation; WORLD TRADING 23, INC., a  
corporation; KEVORK KOUYOUMJIAN, an  
individual; and DOES 1 through 10 inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. **22STCV11683**

*[Assigned for All Purposes to the  
Hon. Samantha P. Jessner, Dept. 7]*

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL  
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND  
PAGA SETTLEMENT**

**[Filed concurrently with Notice of Motion,  
Memorandum of Points and Authorities,  
Declaration of Zoe Yuzna, Declaration of  
Plaintiff, and Administrator Declarations]**

Date: May 30, 2025  
Time: 10:00 a.m.  
Dept.: 7

Complaint Filed: April 6, 2022  
Trial Date: Unassigned

1           **TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2           After reviewing the proposed Class Action and Private Attorney General Act Settlement and  
3 considering the Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and Private Attorney General Act Settlement,  
4 **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and Private Attorney General  
5 Act Settlement is approved.

6           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that:

7           1.       Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of (1) Class Action Settlement; (2) Attorneys' Fees  
8 and Costs; and (3) Class Representative Enhancement Award is hereby granted.

9           2.       Pursuant to this Court's Preliminary Approval Order of January 17, 2024, and pursuant to  
10 the subsequent orders regarding supplemental mailings, the Notice of Class Action Settlement (the "Class  
11 Notice") was sent to the Class, which was previously certified in this Court's Preliminary Approval Order,  
12 in the manner specified by the parties Joint Stipulation of Class & PAGA Action Settlement and Release  
13 ("Settlement Agreement."). The Class Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Settlement, their  
14 right to receive their proportional share of the Settlement by not opting out, their right to request exclusion,  
15 and their right to object to the Settlement. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these  
16 procedures.

17          3.       Out of a total of 452 Class Members who were sent notice, no one objected to or opted out  
18 of the Settlement.

19          4.       The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to  
20 Class Members and fully and accurately informed the class of all material aspects of the settlement. The  
21 Court finds that the notice provided in this case was the best notice practicable, and satisfies the  
22 requirements of law and due process.

23          5.       The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable  
24 and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member. The Class Members who have not expressly sent a  
25 request for exclusion ("Opt-Out") will be bound by the Settlement. All PAGA Members will be bound  
26 by the Settlement. The Settlement is ordered finally approved, and that all terms and provisions of the  
27 Settlement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.

28       ///

1           6.       The Court finds and determines that the Settlement sums to be paid to the Class Members  
2 as provided for by the Settlement are fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and  
3 orders the payment of those amounts be made to the Class Members in accordance with the terms of the  
4 Settlement.

5           7.       The Court finds the payment of \$37,500 (75% share of \$50,000.00 PAGA allocation) to  
6 the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) in settlement of the LWDA’s share of the  
7 penalties alleged by Plaintiff and compromised under the Settlement is fair and reasonable.

8           8.       The Court finds and determines that the Class Representative Enhancement Payment to  
9 Plaintiff in the sum of \$10,000.00, in consideration for Plaintiff’s service as the Class Representative, is  
10 fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to and orders that the payment of the  
11 Enhancement be paid as provided by the Settlement Agreement.

12           9.       The Court finds and determines that the total payment to the Settlement Administrator,  
13 CPT Group Inc., in the sum of \$12,000.00 for claims administration expenses in completing its duties  
14 pursuant to the terms of the Settlement is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby gives final approval to  
15 and orders that the payment of said amount be paid as provided for by the Settlement Agreement.

16           10.      The Court finds and determines that the payment of \$226,500.00 as Class Counsel’s  
17 Attorney’s Fees and request for \$14,554.11 for costs of litigation, is fair and reasonable. The Court hereby  
18 gives final approval to those total amounts.

19           11.      All “Released Claims” against Defendants by the “Class Members” (as these terms are  
20 defined the parties’ Settlement Agreement) that have been, or might have been, asserted by any Class  
21 Member who did not timely submit a Request for Exclusion, in accordance with the terms of  
22 the Settlement Agreement, are hereby released, and all such Class Members shall be forever barred from  
23 pursuing any of the Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement against the Released Parties.

24           12.      Accordingly, the Court enters this judgment consistent with the above and the parties’  
25 Settlement Agreement.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1           13. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 3.769(h), this Court retains jurisdiction over the  
2 parties to enforce this judgment.

3           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 Dated: 07/01/2025



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Samantha Jessner", is written over the seal.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Samantha Jessner / Judge  
HON. SAMANTHA P. JESSNER  
Judge of the Superior Court

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2 *Melanie Robles v. World Tech Toys, Inc., et al.*  
3 Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 22STCV11683

4 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not  
5 a party to the above-entitled action. My business address is COLBY LAW FIRM, PC, 13263 Ventura  
6 Boulevard, Suite 203, Studio City, California 91604.

7 On May 12, 2025, I served the following document(s) on the interested parties below:

8 **Document(s) Served**

- 9 • **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL  
10 OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT**

11 **Recipient(s)**

- 12 • Jeffrey Thurrell (jthurrell@fisherphillips.com)  
13 • Victor Xu (vxu@fisherphillips.com)  
14 • Kristina Buan (kbuan@fisherphillips.com)  
15 • Nichole Simpson (nsimpson@fisherphillips.com)  
16 • Paula Sanchez (psanchez@fisherphillips.com)  
17 • Dorothy Wang (dwang@fisherphillips.com)  
18 • Aaron McKown (aaron@mckownbailey.com)

19 **VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL** – I served a true and correct copy of the above document(s) by  
20 electronic delivery pursuant to C.C.P. 1010.6, calling for agreement to accept service by electronic  
21 delivery, to the interested parties in this action as indicated above.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is  
23 true and correct.

24 Executed on May 12, 2025 at Los Angeles, California.

25  
26 ZOE YUZNA

27 Print Name

28 

Signature