| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Kevin R. Allen, SBN 237994 ALLEN ATTORNEY GROUP PC 2121 North California Avenue, Suite 290 Walnut Creek, California 94596 Tel. (925) 695-4913 Fax (925) 334-7477 <u>kevin@allenattorneygroup.com</u> Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff Rose Prove and the Plaintiff Class <i>Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page</i> SUPERIOR COURT OF TH | ncio E STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 9 | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO | | |
| 10 | (UNLIMITED JURISDICTION) | | |
| 11 | COORDINATED PROCEEDINGS SPECIAL | Judicial Counsel Coordinated Proceeding | |
| 12 | TITLE [RULE 3.550] | No. 4911 | |
| 13 | SEPHORA WAGE AND HOUR CASES | CLASS ACTION | |
| 14 | Included actions: | DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF ROSE PROVENVIO IN SUPPORT OF | |
| 15 | <i>Burnthorne-Martinez v. SEPHORA USA, Inc.</i> (San Francisco OGC-16-55-894) | COORDINATED PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND | |
| 16 17 | Provencio v. SEPHORA USA, Inc. (Santa Clara 16CV294112) | SERVICE AWARDS Date: April 6, 2022 Time: 2:00 p.m. | |
| 18 | Hernandez et al. v. SEPHORA USA, Inc. (San Francisco OGC-17-557031) | Judge: Hon. Andrew Y.S. Chang Dept.: 613 | |
| 19 | Duran v. Sephora USA, Inc. | 1 | |
| 20 | (San Francisco CGC-17-561452) | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 24 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 20 | | - | |
| 28 | | | |
| | -1- | | |
| | DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF ROSE PROVENVIO IN SUPPORT OF COORDINATED PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS | | |

| 1 | Shaun Setareh, SBN 204514 | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Thomas Segal, SBN 222791 SETAREH LAW GROUP | | |
| 3 | 9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907 Beverly Hills, California 90212 | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (310) 888-7771 Facsimile: (310) 888-0109 | | |
| 5 | shaun@setarehlaw.com thomas@setarehlaw.com | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff Alyssa Burnthorne-Martinez and the Plaintiff Class | | |
| 7 | Alejandro P. Gutierrez, SBN 107688 | | |
| 8 | THE HATHAWAY LAW FIRM, LLP ¹ 200 Hathaway Building | | |
| 9 | 5450 Telegraph Road, Suite 200 Post Office Box 3577 | | |
| - | Ventura, CA 93006-3577 Telephone: (805) 644-7111 | | |
| 10 | Facsimile: (805) 644-8296 | | |
| 11 | E-mail: <u>agutierrez@hathawaylawfirm.com</u> Daniel J. Palay, SBN 159348 | | |
| 12 | Brian D. Hefelfinger, SBN 253054 PALAY HEFELFINGER, APC | | |
| 13 | 1484 E. Main Street | | |
| 14 | Suite 105-B Ventura, CA 93001 | | |
| 15 | Telephone: (805) 628-8220 Facsimile: (805) 765-8600 | | |
| 16 | E-mail: <u>djp@calemploymentcounsel.com</u> | | |
| 17 | Attorneys for Representative Plaintiff JESSICA DURAN and the Plaintiff Class | | |
| 18 | John Matthew Norton (SBN 158937) MATTHEW NORTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C. | | |
| 19 | 5855 E. Naples Plaza, Suite 112 Long Beach, CA 90803 | | |
| 20 | Telephone: (562) 433-3208 E-mail: Matt@Matthew-Norton.com | | |
| 21 | Matthew F. Archbold (CA SBN 210369) | | |
| 22 | e-mail: matthew@yourlaborlawyers.com David D. Deason (SBN 207733) | | |
| 23 | e-mail: david@yourlaborlawyers.com DEASON & ARCHBOLD | | |
| 24 | 17011 Beach Blvd., Suite 900 Huntington Beach, CA 92647 | | |
| 25 | Telephone: (949) 794-9560 | | |
| 26 | Attorneys for Representative Plaintiffs Lacey Hernandez, Brenda Morales and the Plaintiff Class | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | ¹ Formally known as HATHAWAY, PERRETT, WEBSTER, POWERS, CHRISMAN & GUTIERREZ, APC. | | |
| | -2- DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF ROSE PROVENVIO IN SUPPORT OF COORDINATED PLAINTIFFS' | | |
| | MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND SERVICE AWARDS | | |

I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration. If called as a
 witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated in this declaration.

2. I am one of the representative Plaintiffs in the above-referenced wage and hour
class action against Defendant Sephora USA, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Sephora"). I make this
declaration in support of Coordinated Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Service
Awards (the "Motion"). I understand they will be filing a Motion for Final Approval in March
2022.

3. I ask that the Court grant final approval of the proposed class action settlement with
Defendant, grant Class Counsels' request in the motion for payment of attorneys' fees and
litigation costs, and also grant my request for a reasonable service award for the risks and efforts
undertaken by me on behalf of Class Members that resulted in what I believe is a very good
settlement.

I was employed with Sephora, USA Inc. as an hourly employee in various in-store
positions during the time period covered by this lawsuit. I was a cashier until about January 2013. I
was a color consultant from about January 2013 to August 2014. I was a personal beauty
consultant from late 2014 to the end of my employment. I was always paid by the hour.

I retained Kevin R. Allen as my attorney in February 2016 and we filed my class 5. 17 action complaint on March 29, 2016.² My understanding of the lawsuit comes primarily from the 18 documents I reviewed and conversations with my attorneys. Our complaint sought damages and 19 penalties associated with allegations that Defendant did not compensate us for time spent in 20 security checks when entering and exiting the stores. We were clocked out for these security 21 inspections and believed we should have been compensated since we were subject to Sephora's 22 control during this time. I also brought class claims for meal and rest break violations since these 23 same security inspections applied to breaks when I left the store and resulted in short or interrupted 24 breaks. I was also required on occasion to show up to work for a shift only to be sent home without 25 being paid all of the reporting time I am informed I was owed. My class complaint also alleged 26

27

28

At the time Kevin Allen was of counsel to a firm called Velton Zegelman, PC ("VZ"). I understand that VZ shut down and that Kevin Allen has worked for his own firm – Allen Attorney Group PC – since August 2013.

⁻³⁻

claims arising from Sephora's use of a pay card; in my experience I could never withdrawal of my
 wages without being charged a fee of some sort. My complaint was later coordinated with three
 other class action complaints filed by other employees who had similar grievances against Sephora.

3

6. I was informed at the start that it could have been quicker and easier for me to 4 pursue my individual wage claim through the DLSE's wage claim process. I understood Class 5 actions can take many years to litigate because of the need to get a class certified and the resources 6 a company like Sephora have to throw into the defense of the litigation. I brought this lawsuit as a 7 class action because I wanted to help my coworkers, many of whom were either too afraid to 8 complain or unaware of the labor laws. I am very proud of the benefit I secured for so many of my 9 coworkers at Sephora. I am informed that this lawsuit could not have gone forward as a class 10 action if the other class representatives and I had not agreed to act as plaintiffs. 11

7. I understood I owed the class certain duties as a class representative that I would not 12 have had to worry about if I had pursued my case individually or through the DLSE. I had to make 13 14 sure my decisions were made with their best interest in mind, not mine or my attorney's. I had to make sure I knew what was going on the case and what claims we were pursuing. I had help out 15 whatever way was needed when it came to discovery and mediation and trial. My role was also to 16 make sure my attorneys, who I trust, were not acting in their own self-interest and that there were 17 no conflicts between myself, my attorneys and the other plaintiffs and their attorneys. I knew at the 18 19 end of the day I could end up with nothing, could have to pay Defendant's costs or, if we won, could end up receiving the same proportional share of the settlement proceeds as any other class 20 member who worked the same number of days/shifts for Sephora that I did. I understood that I 21 could be eligible for a small extra payment, called a service award, but it was made very clear to 22 me that this would be subject to court approval based on the time I spent and risks I undertook. I 23 was also told that judges are free to reduce awards and that there are even a few who refuse to 24 approve incentive awards in any amount as a matter of principal. 25

8. I understood that there were certain risks and drawbacks to bringing this case as a
class action. In deciding to serve as a named plaintiff and, at that time, a "proposed" class

28

representative, I understood my name would be publicly attached to this lawsuit and my work 1 2 experiences would be set forth in a public court file. Future employers who "google" my name could see that I Sephora and what I sued them for and could be reluctant to hire me out of fear I 3 would sue them too. I also understood that I would need to invest a considerable amount of time 4 assisting in the prosecution of this case. I was ultimately responsible for my litigation costs and, if 5 we lost, I could have been required to pay Defendant's litigation costs as well. I accepted all of 6 these risks. Knowing all of this, I decided to serve as a named plaintiff and proposed class 7 representative without promise of any recovery or compensation. 8

9. My attorneys asked me to estimate how much time I spent prosecuting this case 9 since its inception over five years ago. I estimate that I spent at least 90 hours working on this case. 10 This time includes over a hundred conversations with my lawyer Kevin Allen; time spent 11 12 reviewing the retainer, pleadings, and written discovery requests that my attorney propounded on the Defendant; time spent reviewing discovery responses from Sephora and searching for 13 responsive documents; time spent preparing for deposition and being deposed; time spent helping 14 my attorney prepare for mediation including reviewing the different drafts of the mediation brief, 15 damages analysis, and answering questions about working conditions; time spent participating in 16 the mediation; time spent following the negotiations after the mediation (which seemed to last 17 forever) and discussing strategy with my attorney; time spent reviewing and discussing the 18 settlement agreement paperwork and notice of settlement, as well as each of the revisions of those 19 documents; and time spent reviewing the preliminary approval motion the supplemental brief in 20 support of that motion; and, finally, preparing this declaration in support of the final approval 21 motions. 22

I am very happy that I invested this time into the case. However, this case was very
stressful for me. I had a new baby to deal with during the litigation and other personal/professional
issues that I would prefer not to go into here. Each hour I spent on the case was one less I had to
spent with my family or performing other work I could have gotten paid for doing. I devoted
myself to this case on behalf of other workers I felt were similarly wronged by Defendant, making

28

myself available to my attorney. If the case had gone to trial I understood that I would have needed 1 2 to miss many weeks or even months of work so I could attend trial in person every day which would have required me to arrange for daycare and to miss work, all at a great personal cost to 3 myself. I understand that even if we had won Sephora would likely have appealed every order and 4 judgment they could and tied the case up for many years. I believe I have been diligent in pursuing 5 this case and I have acted in manner expected of a named plaintiff and proposed class 6 representative. I believe I have maintained the best interests of the Class. I have done so to date and 7 will continue to do so. 8

11. I have reviewed the proposed settlement and, based my involvement in this case, 9 and the issues and risks involved in this case, I believe the proposed Settlement of \$12,750,000.00 10 is a very good result. Pursuant to the Settlement we are applying for a service award of \$20,000.00. 11 This is a lot of money to me but the process has also been very difficult, stressful and time 12 consuming. I am informed this is paid to me in light of the time I spent on the case and risks I 13 undertook. I believe that the requested service award is fair and reasonable for a variety of reasons, 14 especially in light of the work I have and will continue to perform on behalf of the Class. This 15 lawsuit resulted in a valuable benefit to the Class Members who will receive a significant money 16 payout from this Settlement because of my involvement. This lawsuit is not providing coupons or 17 pennies on the dollar to Class Members - it is providing a significant recovery of wages and 18 penalty payments owed to them by law, which may help them in their lives especially at this 19 difficult time. 20

12. I signed a general release for any and all claims related to my employment with
Defendant. I decided to pursue my claims against Defendant as a class action because of the
greater impact it could have by helping former co-workers who dealt with the same wage and hour
issues I did.

I am aware that my attorney and the other attorneys/firms for the class
representatives are applying for \$4,250,000.00 in attorney's fees and that they invested almost
\$300,000.00 in litigation costs into this case which they are asking to be reimbursed for. My

28

-6-

| 1 | attorney informed that the firms have agreed to split whatever fees are collected with 38.25% going | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | to my counsel Kevin R. Allen, 38.25% to the firms representing Alyssa Burthorne-Martinez, 10% | | |
| 3 | to the firms representing Jessica Duran, and 13.5% to the firms representing Lacey Hernandez and | | |
| 4 | Brenda Morales. I provided my signed consent in writing and approve the fee split. I believe the | | |
| 5 | fee split is fair and that the total amount being requested as a fee award is fair and reasonable in | | |
| 6 | light of the successful outcome of the case and the risks they took in prosecuting it for so many | | |
| 7 | years. | | |
| 8 | 14. I participated in this settlement by not requesting exclusion from the settlement. I | | |
| 9 | also have not objected to the settlement. I support the settlement fully. | | |
| 10 | 15. Based on the time, service, risk, stress, potential stigma, loss of benefits and | | |
| 11 | excellent outcome of this case, I believe that the requested service award for me is fair and | | |
| 12 | reasonable. | | |
| 13 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States and the State of | | |
| 14 | California that the foregoing is true and correct. | | |
| 15 | Executed February 17, 2022 at San Jose, California. | | |
| 16 | Ro (Feb 17, 2022 13:22 PST) | | |
| 17 | Rose Provencio | | |

-7-

220217 DECL of Rose Provencio iso MFA

Final Audit Report

2022-02-17

| Created: | 2022-02-17 |
|-----------------|--|
| By: | Kevin Allen (kralaw@gmail.com) |
| Status: | Signed |
| Transaction ID: | CBJCHBCAABAANt0q3mKer-uys7-O9mG50RTXCXKiF6Pw |

"220217 DECL of Rose Provencio iso MFA" History

- Document created by Kevin Allen (kralaw@gmail.com) 2022-02-17 - 9:00:25 PM GMT- IP address: 71.63.158.220
- Socument emailed to Rose Provencio (roseprovencio13@gmail.com) for signature 2022-02-17 - 9:00:57 PM GMT
- Email viewed by Rose Provencio (roseprovencio13@gmail.com) 2022-02-17 - 9:12:07 PM GMT- IP address: 66.249.84.67
- Document e-signed by Rose Provencio (roseprovencio13@gmail.com) Signature Date: 2022-02-17 - 9:22:17 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 174.85.92.14

Agreement completed. 2022-02-17 - 9:22:17 PM GMT

