ENDORSED 1 FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY 2 JUN 0 3 2020 3 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By JHALISA CASTANEDA 4 Deputy 5 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 KAMADA MCDANIEL; individually and on behalf Case No.: RG19001661 11 of other members of the general public similarly situated, 12 Honorable Brad Seligman Department 23 13 Plaintiff. **CLASS ACTION** 14 V. [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER 15 ROYAL CUP, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and APPROVING CLASS ACTION DOES 1 through 100, inclusive., 16 SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT 17 Defendants. [Reservation ID: R-2157165] 18 Hearing Date: June 2, 2020 Hearing Time: 3:00 p.m. 19 Hearing Place: Department 23 20 Complaint Filed: January 8, 2019 21 Jury Trial: None Set 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

consistent with the Court's Preliminary Approval Order ("Preliminary Approval Order"), filed and entered January 29, 2020, and as set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class and PAGA Settlement and Release and the Exhibits attached thereto (hereafter collectively, the "Settlement Agreement") in the Action, and due and adequate notice having been given to all Class Members as required in the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed and good cause appearing therefore,

The above-referenced class action ("Action") having come before the Court on June 2,

2020, for a hearing and Final Order Approving Class Settlement and Judgment ("Final Order"),

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For purposes of this Final Order, the Court incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, and all defined terms herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Consistent with the definitions in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Class is defined as all current and former California-based salaried or exempt Territory Managers and/or similarly situated positions that worked for Defendant in the State of California during the period from January 7, 2015 to January 28, 2020. The Settlement Class, however, shall not include any person who opts out by submitting a timely and valid Request for Exclusion as provided in this Settlement. For purposes of the Settlement and this Final Order, "Released Parties" shall mean: Defendant (along with any of its past, present, and future parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, franchisees, divisions, joint ventures, predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each of its respective and former officers, directors, board members, trustees, shareholders, members, employees, agents, attorneys, auditors, accountants, advisors, benefits administrators or third-party administrators, consultants, pension and welfare benefit plans, plan fiduciaries, administrators, trustees, experts, contractors, stockholders, representatives, partners, agents, insurers, reinsurers, and other persons acting on its behalf).
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all Parties to this Action, including all Class Members.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.

- Distribution of the Class Notice directed to the Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and the other matters set forth therein has been completed in conformity with the Preliminary Approval Order, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all persons entitled to such Class Notice, and the Class Notice fully satisfied the requirements of due process. All Class Members and all Released Claims are covered by and included within the Settlement and this Final Order.
- The Court finds that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and that 5. Plaintiffs have satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of this class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382.
- 6. The Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arms-length negotiations. The Court further finds that the Parties have conducted investigation and research, and counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. The Court has reviewed the benefits that are being granted as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value to the Class Members. The Court also finds that the Class is properly certified as a class for settlement purposes only.

111

Upon the Effective Date and conditioned upon full satisfaction of the payment 7. obligations in this Settlement, all Participating Class Members will be deemed to have released waived and forever discharged the Released Claims, and will be barred and enjoined from

bringing or prosecuting any of the Released Claims against the Released Parties.

The Court hereby approves the limited scope of the release as set forth in the 8. Specifically, following entry of this Final Order and Judgment, on behalf of themselves and as Private Attorney Generals or "aggrieved employees" acting on behalf of themselves, the State of California and all aggrieved employees in the Action, the Settlement Class hereby release the Released Parties, from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, penalties (including penalties under California's Private Attorney General Act, Labor Code Section 2698 et seq. (the "PAGA"), and damages arising from the claims pled in the Action, specifically claims arising from allegations of misclassification of employees as exempt employees, including expressly the following claims pled in the Action for: (i) unpaid overtime or failure to pay minimum wage under Labor Code section 510, 1194, and 1198; (ii) failure to provide meal periods under Labor Code sections 226.7 and 512; (iii) failure to provide rest periods under Labor Code sections 226.7; (iv) claims for the above alleged violations under California Business & Professions Code section 17200 et seq.; (v) violations under Labor Code section 2699 et seq. as well as any and all wage and hour claims that were asserted or could have been asserted based on the factual or legal allegations contained in the Action, arising at any time during the Class Period including, but not limited to, any additional claims under the Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 226(a), 226.3, 558, 1174(d) and 2802. 111 111

20 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 ///

24

23

25

26

9.

27

28

Plaintiff Kamada McDaniel will generally release and forever discharge the Released Parties, to the fullest extent permitted by law, of and from any and all claims, known and unknown,

Pursuant to the Settlement, and in consideration for the Enhancement Payment,

8

11 12

27

28

22

23

24

25

26

asserted and not asserted, which Plaintiff has or may have against the Released Parties as of the date of the execution of the Settlement. For the purpose of implementing a full and complete release and discharge of the Released Parties, Plaintiff expressly waives all rights provided by California Civil Code § 1542, or any other similar provisions of applicable law, which are as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

- Upon entry of this Final Order and Judgment, the Court permanently enjoins and 10. forever bars Plaintiff and the Participating Class members in the Action from instituting or prosecuting any action against the Released Parties which was resolved as part of the Settlement. Neither the Settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement is an admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, nor is this Final Order a finding of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties. Neither this Final Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission by or against Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, and shall not be offered in evidence in any action or proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to enforce the provisions of this Final Order, the Settlement Agreement, the Released Claims, or any related agreement or release.
- 11. The Court hereby enters judgment in the entire Action as of the filing date of this Final Order, pursuant to the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement. Without affecting the

11

12

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

finality of this Final Order in any way, the Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation and enforcement of the Settlement and all orders entered in connection therewith pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

- The Declaration of Class Counsel provide sufficient information about counsel's 12. experience in litigating class action lawsuits and find that Class Counsel are adequate. The Court hereby confirms Douglas Han, Esq., Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh, Esq., and Daniel J. Park, Esq. of Justice Law Corporation as Class Counsel in the Action.
- Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, and the authorities, evidence and 13. argument submitted by Class Counsel, the Court hereby awards Class Counsel attorneys' fees in the amount of One Hundred and Ninety-Two Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars (\$192,500), and attorneys' costs in the amount of Nine Thousand Six Hundred and One Dollars and Three Cents (\$9,601.03), from the Gross Settlement Amount as final payment for and complete satisfaction of any and all attorneys' fees and costs incurred by and/or owed to Class Counsel and any other person or entity related to the Action. The Court further orders that the award of attorneys' fees and costs set forth in this Paragraph shall be administered pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and transferred and/or made payable to Class Counsel in the Action.
- Consistent with the Court's custom and practice, Settlement Administrator shall 14. withhold 10% of the attorneys' fees granted in the amount of \$19,250 in an interest bearing account, pending the submission and approval of a final compliance status report and proposed amended judgment after completion of the distribution process. Pursuant to Code Civ. Proc. §384, no later than March 10, 2021, the parties shall submit a report to the court specifying the total amount paid to class members, and the residual of unclaimed funds that will be paid to the entity(ies) identified as recipients of such funds in the proposed settlement, along with a proposed amended judgment. No later than 5 days after receipt of notice of the entry of the amended judgment, the parties shall submit the amended judgment to the Judicial Council, pursuant to Code Civ. Proc. § 384.5, and shall file a proof of service with this court confirming this.

THE PARTIES ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO COMPLY WITH THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT 3.769, THE COURT HEREBY ENTERS FINAL JUDGMENT BASED UPON THE TERMS OF THIS ORDER AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND, WITHOUT AFFECTING THE FINALITY OF THIS MATTER, RETAINS EXCLUSIVE AND CONTINUING JURISDICTION TO ENFORCE THIS ORDER, THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND THE JUDGMENT THEREON. IT IS SO ORDERED. LET JUDGMENT BE ENTERED ACCORDINGLY. HONORABLE BRAD SELIGMAN JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

Case Number: RG19001661

Case Name: McDaniel v. Royal Cup, Inc.

RE: FINAL ORDER APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND JUDGMENT

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I am not a party to this cause and that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was mailed first class, postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope, and that the mailing of the foregoing and execution of this certificate occurred at 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, California.

Executed: 06/03/2020

Ghalisa Castaneda Courtroom Clerk, Dept. 23

Douglas Han Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh Daniel J. Park Arsine Grigoryan Justice Law Corporation	Attorneys for Plaintiff Kamada McDaniel
751 N. Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 101 Pasadena, CA 91103 dhan@justicelawcorp.com statavos@justicelawcorp.com dpark@justicelawcorp.com	
Linda B. Oliver Maynard, Cooper & Gale, LLP 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 loliver@maynardcooper.com	Attorneys for Defendant Royal Cup, Inc.
Warren B. Lightfoot, Jr. Maynard, Cooper & Gale, P.C. 1901 6 th Avenue North 2400 Regions Harbert Plaza Birmingham, Alabama 35203 wlightfoot@maynardcooper.com	Attorneys for Defendant Royal Cup, Inc.