BARIZ LAW GROUP, APC  5151 California Avenue, Suite 100  1rvine, CA 92617-3205  Telephone: (949) 504-4413  Facsimile: (949) 656-7760  By:	- 1			
aaron@bartzlawgroup.com 5151 California Avenue, Suite 100 1rvine, CA 92617-3205 Telephone: (949) 504-4413 Facsimile: (949) 656-7760  Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSE GONZALEZ and ANTONIO SERRANO, on behalf of and all others similarly situated  Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502) greg@bartclawfirm.com John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611) john@barklawfirm.com BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6647 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  LOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; an behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, Vs.  Plaintiff, Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	1	Aaron A. Bartz, (SBN 198722)	EU ED	
BARIZ LAW GROUF, APC  SIST California Avenue, Suite 100  Irvine, CA 92617-3205  Telephone: (949) 504-4413  4 Facsimile: (949) 656-7760  Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSE GONZALEZ and ANTONIO SERRANO, on behalf of and all others similarly situated  Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502)  greg@barklawfirm.com     John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611)     john@barklawfirm.com     BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC     6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor     Culver City, CA 90230     Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarl  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; antionidal on the similarly situated,  SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  County of Los  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hon Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEY SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024  Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022		aaron@bartzlawgroup.com	Superior Court of California	
Treipchone: (949) 504-4413 Facsimile: (949) 656-7760  Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSE GONZALEZ and ANTONIO SERRANO, on behalf of and all others similarly situated  Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502) greg@barklawfirm.com BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6647 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarl  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, Vs. ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	2		County of Los Angeles	
4 Facsimile: (949) 656-7760  5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSE GONZALEZ and ANTONIO SERRANO, on behalf of and all others similarly situated  6 Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502)  7 greg @barklawfirm.com     John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611)     john@barklawfirm.com     BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC     6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor     Culver City, CA 90230     Telephone: (323) 450-2777     Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  10 Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  11 Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  13 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  15 JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  16 Plaintiff,     ys.  17 PROSED J ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLY PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  18 Defendants.  19 Defendants.  10 Defendants.  11 Date: May 13, 2024     Time: 8:30 a.m.     Location: Dept. 19     Action Filed: October 25, 2022	3		05/13/2024	
Attorneys for Plaintiffs JOSE GONZALEZ and ANTONIO SERRANO, on behalf of and all others similarly situated  Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502) greg@barklawfirm.com John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611) john@barklawfirm.com BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  15 JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hon Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022			David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cour	
and all others similarly situated  Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502) greg@barklawfirm.com John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611) john@barklawfirm.com BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarl  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  LOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hor. Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLL PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	4	Facsimile: (949) 656-7760	By: R. Duarte Deputy	
Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502) greg@barklawfirm.com John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611) john@barklawfirm.com BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, Plaintiff, vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hon Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS REPRESENT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLY PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	5		ANTONIO SERRANO, on behalf of themselves	
Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502) greg@barklawfirm.com John F. Litwin (SBN: 301611) john@barklawfirm.com BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416 Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarl  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, Vs. ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CRSE NO.: 22STCV34334 Assigned for all purposes to Hon Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SETVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	6	and all others similarly situated		
7   greg@barklawfirm.com	0	Gregory P. Wong (SBN: 204502)		
Solution   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhawfirm.com   Sarkhaway, Second Floor   Culver City, CA 90230   Telephone: (323) 450-2777   Facsimile: (310) 215-3416   Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly   Superior Court of the State of California   County of Los Angeles	7	greg@barklawfirm.com		
BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC 6047 Bristol Parkway, Second Floor Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416 Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarl  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated, Plaintiff, Vs. ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hor Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLE PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	R			
Culver City, CA 90230 Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly strate of CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hondowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	0	BARKHORDARIAN LAW FIRM, PLC		
Telephone: (323) 450-2777 Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  15 JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hon Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	9			
Facsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly similarly situated,  Plaintiff, Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Plaintiff, Defendants.  Pacsimile: (310) 215-3416  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly similarly of LOS ANGELES  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hondowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAM PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLE PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Defendants.  Defendants.  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	10	Telephone: (323) 450-2777		
Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hon Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLE PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024  Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022				
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  VS.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	11	Attorneys for Plaintiff IGNAIN PEREZ on beha	If of himself and all others similarly situated	
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hore Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	12	Theorie ys for Flament Forvan's Likez, on bend	if of fillinger and all others similarly steaded	
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Hore Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	12	CUDEDIOD COUDT OF THE CTATE OF CALLEODNIA		
JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff, vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  CASE NO.: 22STCV34334  Assigned for all purposes to Horn Bowick, Dept. 19  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLE PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
JOSE GONZALEZ, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Noto-Rooter Services Company, an Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Pofendants.  Defendants.  Jose Gonzalez, an individual; IGNAIN PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; On behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  PROPOSED JORDER GRAN PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  Vs.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  PEREZ, an individual; ANTONIO SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	15	LOCE CONTALET in the latest Local AIN	CASE NO.: 22STCV34334	
SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  Plaintiff,  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an lowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated,  Plaintiff,  PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024  Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	1.			
17 18 19 19 20 ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  21 22 23 24 25 26 27 Internserves and an other similarly situated, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	16	SERRANO, an individual; on behalf of		
Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff, Plaintiff's MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLE PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	17	themselves and all other similarly situated,	*	
Plaintiff,  VS.  ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACT SETTLEMENT AND SETTLI PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	10		[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING	
PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Defendants.  Defendants.  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	10	Plaintiff,		
20 21 ROTO-ROOTER SERVICES COMPANY, an Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendants.  PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	19	VS.	SETTLEMENT AND SETTLEMENT OF	
21   Iowa corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,   Defendants.   COSTS, CLASS REPRESENT SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT    22   Date: May 13, 2024   Time: 8:30 a.m.   Location: Dept. 19    Action Filed: October 25, 2022    23   Action Filed: October 25, 2022	20		PAGA CLAIM, ATTORNEYS' FEES,	
Defendants.   SERVICE AWARD, AND FINAL JUDGMENT			COSTS, CLASS REPRESENTATIVE	
Defendants.  Date: May 13, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022  Action Filed: October 25, 2022	21		,	
23 24 25 26 27  Date: May 15, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022	22		FINAL JUDGMENT	
24 25 26 27  Location: Dept. 19 Action Filed: October 25, 2022		Defendants.	Date: May 13, 2024	
25 Action Filed: October 25, 2022 26 27	23			
25 26 27	24		Location: Dept. 19	
26 27	25		Action Filed: October 25, 2022	
27				
	26			
	27			
28				
	28		1	

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

- Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the Class Notice was mailed to all 1. members of the Class by first-class U.S. mail. The Notice informed the Class of the terms of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), of their right to receive their proportional Individual Settlement Payment, of their right to request exclusion from the Class and the Settlement, of their right to comment upon or object to the Settlement and to appear in person or by counsel at the final approval hearing and of the date set for the Final Approval hearing. Adequate periods of time were provided by each of these procedures.
- 2. In response to the Notice, no member of the Class has requested exclusion from the settlement, filed written objections to the Settlement, or stated an intention to appear at the final approval hearing.
- 3. The Court finds and determines that this notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' response. The Court finds and determines that the Notice provided in the Action was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 4. The Court further finds and determines that the terms of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class and to each Class Member, and each Aggrieved Employee under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") and that the Settlement is ordered finally

8

10 11

12 13

15 16

14

17

19

18

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

approved, and that all terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement should be and hereby are ordered to be consummated.

- 5. The Court has certified a Class, as that term is defined in and by the terms of the Settlement, and the Court deems this definition sufficient for purposes of California Rule of Court, Rule 3.765(a). For purposes of clarity, "Class" means all current or former non-exempt persons employed by Defendant in California who do not opt out of the Settlement. The class expressly includes any non-exempt employee paid on an alleged commission or piece- rate compensation system. However, the class shall exclude all persons who were part of the Lax v. Roto-Rooter Services Co. ("Lax") No. 18CV338652, through the end of the class period in the Lax case. The Class Period is from May 10, 2018 to August 7, 2023. For purposes of clarity, "Aggrieved Employee" means all current or former non-exempt persons employed by Defendant in California during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Group expressly includes any non-exempt employee paid on an alleged commission or piece- rate compensation system. The PAGA Period is from May 10, 2021 to August 7, 2023.
- 6. The Court hereby approves the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the Settlement was reached as a result of informed and non-collusive arm's-length negotiations facilitated by a neutral mediator. The Court further finds that the Parties conducted extensive investigation, research, and discovery and that their attorneys were able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that Settlement will enable the Parties to avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. The Court has reviewed the monetary recovery provided as part of the Settlement and recognizes the significant value accorded to the Class.
- 7. The Court hereby confirms Barkhordarian Law Firm, PLC and Bartz Law Group, APC as Class Counsel in this action.
- 8. The Court hereby confirms the Plaintiffs Jose Gonzalez, Ignain Perez, and Antonio Serrano as the Class Representatives in this action.

[PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT

The Court finds and determines that the Individual Settlement Payments provided for

1

9.

14 15 16

17

18

11

12

13

26 27

28

24

25

provided for by the Settlement Agreement. The Court hereby orders the Settlement Administrator to make these payments in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

- 16. The Court finds and determines that the releases contained in the Settlement Agreement as to Released Class Claims as to Defendant and Released Parties are appropriate and shall bind all Class Members who did not timely opt out of the Settlement. For purposes of clarity, the Released Class Claims is as follows: All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release the Released Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the factual allegations and primary rights stated in the Operative Complaint and any amendments thereto, including all claims for: 1) failure to pay all minimum wages; 2) failure to pay all overtime wages (including failure to pay at the regular rate of pay); 3) meal period violations; 4) rest period violations; 5) wage statement violations (including Lab. Code § 226(a)(1)-(9)) and failure to keep required records (Lab. Code § 1174); 6) failure to timely pay wages during employment and upon separation of employment; 7) failure to provide written commission agreements; 8) illegal non-compete / non-solicit agreements; 9) failure to reimburse expenses, and 10) Unfair Competition Law violations.
- 17. The Court further finds and determines that the releases contained in the Settlement Agreement as to Released PAGA Claims as to Defendant and Released Parties are appropriate and shall bind all Aggrieved Employees. There shall be no right to opt out of participation in the PAGA settlement. For purposes of clarity, the Released PAGA Claims is as follows: All Aggrieved Employees, the State of California, and the LWDA are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from all claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged based on the factual allegations and primary rights stated in the Operative Complaint and the PAGA Notices and any amendments thereto, including all claims for: 1) failure to pay all minimum wages; 2) failure to pay all overtime wages (including failure to pay at the regular rate of pay); 3) meal period violations; 4) rest period violations; 5) wage statement violations (including Lab. Code § 226(a)(1)-(9)) and failure to keep required records (Lab. Code § 1174); 6) failure to timely

pay wages during employment and upon separation of employment; 7) failure to provide written commission agreements; 8) illegal non-compete / non-solicit agreements; and 9) failure to reimburse expenses.

- 18. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any action to enforce the Parties' obligations pursuant to the Settlement Agreement or pursuant to this Order, including the requirement that Defendant make payments to Participating Class Members in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- 19. The Court finds and determines that nothing in the Settlement Agreement, this Order, or the Judgment Neither the Agreement nor this Settlement is an admission by Defendant, nor is this Order and Judgment a finding, of the validity of any claims in the Action or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or that this Action is appropriate for class or representative treatment (other than for settlement purposes). Neither this Order and Judgment, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement is, may be construed as, or may be used as an admission by or against Defendant of any fault, wrongdoing or liability. The entering into or carrying out of the Settlement Agreement, and any negotiations or proceedings related thereto, shall not in any event be construed as, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession with regard to the denials or defenses by Defendant. Notwithstanding these restrictions, Defendant may file in the Action or in any other proceeding this Final Approval Order and Judgment, the Agreement, or any other papers and records on file in the Action as evidence of the Settlement to support a defense of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, or other theory of claim or issue preclusion or similar defense as to the Released Class Claims and/or Released PAGA Claims.
- 20. The Court hereby enters final judgment in this case in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Preliminary Approval Order, and this Order.
- 21. The Parties shall bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as otherwise provided for by the Settlement Agreement and this Court's Order Granting Final Approval.
- 22. Without affecting the finality of this Order in any way, the Court retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this order and the Settlement.

## **JUDGMENT**

Ô[ ` } • ^ |Á; |ÁÚ|æð; cã-• Á; Á; ãç ^ Á; [ cð& ÞÁ

DATED: 05/13/2024

The Honorable Stephanie M. Bowick Judge of the Superior Court

Stephane W. Famil