		OR' GINAL
DAVID G. S.	PIVAK (SBN 179684)	
david	@spivaklaw.com	
THE SPIVAL	K LAW FIRM	FILED
16530 Ventur	ra Bl., Ste 203	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
Encino, CA 9	01436	County of Los Angeles
	(818) 208-9236	JAN 09 2020
Facsimile:	(818) 582-2561	
		Sherri R. Carter, Lawrencer/Clerk By alfredo Moralesdeputy
	AINES (SBN 71075)	(ALFREDO MORALES
whaines@uel		
	PLOYEES LAW GROUP	
5500 Bolsa A		
	each, CA 92649	
-	(562) 256-1047	
Facsimile:	(562) 256-1006	
Attorneys for		
	JIMBY, and all others similarly s	ituated
(Additional C	Counsel on Following Page)	
	SUBEDIOD COUDT OF T	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	FOD THE COUNT	Y OF LOS ANGELES
		JURISDICTION)
	(ONEIMITED	
JEFFREY OI	JIMBY, on behalf of himself,	Case No.: BC661584
	similarly situated, and as an	<b>A</b> .
	nployee" on behalf of other	PROPOSED ORDER PRELIMINAR
	nployees" under the Labor Code	APPROVING CLASS ACTION
	neys General Act of 2004,	SETTLEMENT
	Plaintiff(s),	Action filed: 05/17/17
		Hearing Date: November 13, 2019
	vs.	Hearing Time: 11:00 a.m.
		Hearing Dept: SSC-7, Hon. Amy D.
	GEMENT COMPANY, a	Hogue
	rporation; THE ROBERTS	그는 말 이 이지의 것이 가지 않는 것 같아.
	S, a California limited	다 그렇게 걸었다. 아이들 바다 다 소
	nd DOES 1 through 50,	아이님이 많은 것이 집안 가지 않는다.
inclusive,		
		PEOP
	Defendant(s).	RECEIVED
-		LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
1000		SEP 1 8 2019
		S. DREW
		- maa 2 5
A STATE OF		1
Quimbu v PLD	B Management Company	Order Preliminarily Approving Class Action Set

M1 + 1 7 + 2 M2 M

SPIVAK

1

÷

Employee Rights Attorn 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com



Plaintiff Jeffrey Quimby's (hereafter referred to as "Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement (the "Motion") was considered by the Court, the Honorable Amy D. Hogue presiding. The Court having considered the Motion, the Second Amended Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), and supporting papers, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

5 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class 6 based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement filed as an Exhibit to the Motion for Preliminary Approval. All terms herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement. The Court 7 has determined there is sufficient evidence to preliminarily determine that (a) the terms of the 8 Settlement appear to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Settlement Class and (b) the 9 Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject 10 only to any objections that may be raised at the final hearing and final approval by this Court. The 11 Court will make a determination at the hearing on the motion for final approval of class action 12 settlement (the "Final Approval Hearing") as to whether the Settlement is fair, adequate and 13 reasonable to the Settlement Class.

14 2. For purposes of this Preliminary Approval Order, the "Settlement Class" means all 15 persons who are currently employed, or formerly have been employed, by RHB Management 16 Company, a California corporation and/or the Roberts Company, a California limited partnership 17 (collectively "Defendant") as current and former resident manager employees (collectively "Class Members"), who worked anytime during the Class Period. The "Class Period" shall mean the 18 period of time from May 17, 2013, through the date of this order. Defendant estimates that as of 19 April 11, 2019, there were 108 potential Settlement Class Members. The "Effective Date" means 20 the date by which this Agreement is approved by the Court by entry of the Judgment and the 21 Judgment becomes Final. The Judgment becomes "Final" when the later of the following events 22 occurs: (1) the period for filing any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding opposing the 23 Settlement has elapsed without any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding having been filed; 24 (2) any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding opposing the Settlement has been dismissed 25 finally and conclusively with no right by any appellant or objector to pursue further remedies or 26 relief; or (3) any appeal, writ, or other appellate proceeding has upheld the Judgment with no right 27 by any appellant or objector to pursue further remedies or relief. In this regard, it is the intention of the Parties that the Settlement shall not become effective until the Court's Judgment granting 28

SPIVAK LAW

1.001

1.4

12

12

1

2

3

4

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

Quimby v. RHB Management Company

final approval of the Settlement is completely final, and no further recourse exists by an appellant or objector who seeks to contest the Settlement. The occurrence of the Effective Date is a prerequisite to any obligation of Defendant to pay any funds into the Settlement Account.

3. This action is provisionally certified pursuant to section 382 of the California Code of Civil Procedure and Rule 3.760, et seq. of the California Rules of Court as a class action for purposes of settlement only with respect to the proposed Settlement Class.

6 4. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Settlement was the product of serious, 7 informed, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arm's length by the Parties. In making this preliminary finding, the Court considered the nature of the claims set forth in the pleadings, the 8 amounts and kinds of benefits which shall be paid pursuant to the Settlement, the allocation of Settlement proceeds to the Settlement Class, and the fact that the Settlement represents a 10 compromise of the Parties' respective positions. The Court further preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement have no obvious deficiencies and do not improperly grant preferential 12 treatment to any individual Class Member. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the 13 Settlement was entered into in good faith.

14 5. The Court finds that the dates set forth in the Settlement for mailing and 15 distribution of the Class Notice meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice 16 practicable under the circumstances, and constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled 17 thereto, and directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court orders the following implementation schedule 18 for further proceedings: 19

> a. Within thirty (30) days after this Preliminary Approval Order, Defendant shall provide CPT Group, Inc., the appointed Settlement Administrator, with: (a) An electronic database of all Class Members, last known mailing address, and Social Security number ("Class Members' Data"); (b) Corresponding to each Class Member's name, Defendant shall provide a figure indicating the total number of Pay Periods during the Class Period in which that Class Member was employed by Defendant as a Representative. That number of Pay Periods shall be referred to as that Class Member's "Individual Pay Periods;" (c) If any of the Class Members' Data are unavailable to Defendant, Defendant will so inform Class Counsel and the Parties will make their best efforts to reconstruct

> > 4

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

SPIVAK LAW

120

120

1

2

3

4

5

0

11

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Quimby v. RHB Management Company

Order Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement

or otherwise agree upon the Class Members' Data prior to when it must be submitted to the Settlement Administrator. Class Members' Data will otherwise remain confidential and will not be disclosed to anyone, except as necessary to applicable taxing authorities, or pursuant to Defendant's express written authorization or by order of the Court.

- b. Mailing of Class Notice. Within fourteen (14) days after receiving the Class Members' Data, or as soon thereafter as it can do so, the Settlement Administrator will mail the Class Notice to all identified Class Members via first-class U.S. mail using the mailing address information provided by Defendant, unless modified by any updated address information that the Settlement Administrator obtains in the course of administration of the Settlement.
- c. Returned Class Notice. If a Class Notice is returned because of an incorrect address, the Settlement Administrator will promptly, and not later than ten (10) days from receipt of the returned Class Notice, search for a more current address for the Class Member and re-mail the Class Notice to the Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will use the Class Members' Data and otherwise work with Defendant's Counsel and Class Counsel to find a more current address. The Settlement Administrator will be responsible for taking reasonable steps, consistent with its agreed-upon job parameters, court orders, and fee, to trace the mailing address of any Class Member for whom a Class Notice is returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Postal Service. These reasonable steps shall include the tracking of all undelivered mail; performing address searches for all mail returned without a forwarding address; and promptly re-mailing to Class Members for whom new addresses are found. If the Class Notice is re-mailed, the Settlement Administrator will note for its own records and notify Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel of the date and address of each such re-mailing as part of a weekly status report provided to the Parties.
- d. Declaration of Settlement Administrator. Not later than twenty-one (21) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator

5

122122 1.7 SPIVAK LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

will provide the Parties for filing with the Court a declaration of due diligence setting forth its compliance with its obligations under this Agreement. Prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator will supplement its declaration of due diligence if any material changes occur from the date of the filing of its prior declaration.

e. Requests for Exclusion from Settlement; and Objections to Settlement. Class Members may submit requests to be excluded from the effect of the Settlement, or objections to the Settlement, pursuant to the following procedures:

i. Request for Exclusion from Settlement. A Class Member may request to be excluded from the effect of this Agreement, and any payment of amounts under this Agreement, by timely mailing a letter to the Settlement Administrator stating that the Class Member wants to be excluded from this Action. This letter must include the Class Member's name, address, telephone number, and signature. To be valid and timely, the request to be excluded must be postmarked by the date specified in the Class Notice (sixty (60) days from the initial mailing of the Class Notice by the Settlement Administrator). A Class Member who properly submits a valid and timely request to be excluded from the Action shall not receive any payment of any kind in connection with this Agreement or this Action, shall not be bound by or receive any benefit of this Agreement, and shall have no standing to object to the Settlement. A request for exclusion must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided on the Class Notice. The Settlement Administrator shall transmit the request for exclusion to counsel for the Parties as follows:

# To Class Counsel:

David G. Spivak, Esq. The Spivak Law Firm 16530 Ventura Blvd, Ste. 203 Encino, CA 91436

# To Defense Counsel:

Beth A. Schroeder, Esq. Allison S. Wallin, Esq. 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Fl. 12 Los Angeles, CA 90067

SPIVAK LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

Quimby v. RHB Management Company

ii. Objections to Settlement. The Class Notice will provide that any Class Member who does not request exclusion from the Action and who wishes to object to the Settlement should submit an objection in writing to the Settlement Administrator within sixty (60) days after the Settlement Administrator mails the Class Notice, a written objection to the Settlement which sets forth the grounds for the objection and the other information required by this paragraph. The objection should be mailed to the Settlement Administrator at the address provided on the Class Notice. The Settlement Administrator shall transmit the objections to counsel for the Parties as follows:

To Class Counsel:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

David G. Spivak, Esq. The Spivak Law Firm 16530 Ventura Blvd, Ste. 203 Encino, CA 91436

## To Defense Counsel:

Beth A. Schroeder, Esq. Allison S. Wallin, Esq. Raines Feldman LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Fl. 12 Los Angeles, CA 90067

The written objection should state the objecting Class Member's full name, address, and the approximate dates of HIS OR HER employment with Defendant. The written objection should state the basis for each specific objection and any legal support in clear and concise terms. The written objection also should state whether the Class Member intends to formally intervene and become a party of record in the action, and upon formally intervening, appear and argue at the Final Approval Hearing. However, the objectors will be provided with the opportunity to speak at the final approval hearing regardless of whether they have filed an appearance or submitted a written opposition beforehand. If the objecting Class Member does not formally intervene in the action and/or the Court rejects the Class Member's objection, the Class Member may still be bound by the terms of this Agreement.

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax

SpivakLaw.com

SPIVAK LAW

1.47

52

Quimby v. RHB Management Company

f. Report. Not later than fourteen (14) days after the deadline for submission of 1 requests for exclusion, the Settlement Administrator will provide the Parties 2 with a complete and accurate list of all Class Members who sent timely requests 3 to be excluded from the Action and all Class Members who objected to the 4 settlement. 5 6. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice in substantially the 6 form attached as Exhibit A to the Settlement. 7 7. The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, David Spivak of The Spivak 8 Law Firm and Walter Haines of the United Employees Law Group as Class Counsel. 8. The Court approves, for settlement purposes only, Jeffrey Quimby as the Class 9 Representative. 10 9. The Court approves CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator. 11 10. The Court preliminarily approves Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees and 12 costs subject to final review by the Court. 13 11. The Court preliminarily approves the estimated Settlement Administrator costs 14 payable to the Settlement Administrator subject to final review by the Court. 15 12. The Court preliminarily approves Plaintiff's Class Representative Payment subject 16 to final review by the Court. 17 A Final Approval Hearing shall be held on 5/15/2020 at 10:00 a.m. in 13. Department SSC-7 of the Superior Court for the State of California, County of Los Angeles, 18 located at 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California to consider the fairness, adequacy and 19 reasonableness of the proposed Settlement preliminarily approved by this Preliminary Approval 20 Order, and to consider the application of Class Counsel for attorneys' fees and costs and the Class 21 Representative Payment to the Class Representative. The notice of motion and all briefs and 22 materials in support of the motion for final approval of class action settlement and motion for 23 attorneys' fees and litigation costs shall be served and filed with this Court sixteen (16) court days 24 before the Final Approval Hearing. 25 14. If for any reason the Court does not execute and file a final approval order and 26 judgment, or if the Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement, does not occur for any reason, the 27 proposed Settlement that is the subject of this order, and all evidence and proceedings had in connection therewith, shall be without prejudice to the status quo ante rights of the Parties to the 28 8

en. Level 1.47 82) La 127

SPIVAK LAW

**Employee Rights Attorneys** 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

Quimby v. RHB Management Company

litigation, as more specifically set forth in the Settlement.

15. The Court expressly reserves the right to adjourn or continue the Final Approval Hearing from time to time without further notice to members of the Class. The Plaintiff shall give prompt notice of any continuance to Settlement Class Members who object to the Settlement.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** 

DATE

HONORABLE AMY D. HOGUE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Employee Rights Attorneys 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 582-3086 Tel (818) 582-2561 Fax SpivakLaw.com

Quimby v. RHB Management Company

Order Preliminarily Approving Class Action Settlement

### PROOF OF SERVICE

3 State of California, County of Los Angeles

1

2

4

5

6

1. I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is 16530 Ventura Blvd., Suite 312, Encino, California 91436.

7 2. I am familiar with the practice of The Spivak Law Firm, for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United
8 States Postal Service. It is the practice that correspondence is deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day it is submitted for
9 mailing.

10 On Wednesday, September 18, 2019, I caused to have electronically served the following document described as [PROPOSED] ORDER PRELIMINARILY 11 APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT with the third-party cloud service listed below. In doing so, notifications of such filings were electronically mailed to the interested parties which appear on the third-party cloud service's service list in this action as follows: 13

Beth A. Schroeder, Esq. 14 Allison S. Wallin, Esq. Raines Feldman LLP 15 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Fl. 12 Los Angeles, CA 90067 16 bschroeder@raineslaw.com awallin@raineslaw.com 17

18 XXXX (BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service CASE ANYWHERE.

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

EXECUTED on Wednesday, September 18, 2019, at Encino, California.

21 XXXX (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State 22 of California that the above is true and correct.

(Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

BRECK OYAMA

1.001 15. Lenete 1,4) in line (2) Levi 127