	Envelope: 13174835		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Raul Perez (SBN 174687) Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Bevin Allen Pike (SBN 221936) Bevin.Pike@capstonelawyers.com Daniel Jonathan (SBN 262209) Daniel.Jonathan@capstonelawyers.com Trisha K. Monesi (SBN 303512) Trisha.Monesi@capstonelawyers.com CAPSTONE LAW APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 Attorneys for Plaintiff Martin Asencio Rojas	HE STATE OF CALIEODNIA	
	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
10	FUR THE COUNT	I OF SANTA CLAKA	
11 12 13	MARTIN ASENCIO ROJAS, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,	Case No.: 21CV376481 Assigned to the Hon. Theodore C. Zayner	
14 15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiff, vs. PULMUONE FOODS USA, INC., an Iowa corporation; PULMUONE U.S.A., INC., a California corporation; NASOYA FOODS USA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.	[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT Date: August 23, 2023 Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: Department 19	
20		Complaint Filed: February 26, 2021	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		Page 1	

8

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

ORDER

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Expenses, and a Class Representative Enhancement Payment (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having reviewed the Motions, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.
- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by

Plaintiff's Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiff's case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendants or by any other Released Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendants or any other Released Party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, waiver of defenses, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendants or any of the other Released Parties.
- 10. Final approval shall be with respect to: All persons who were employed by Defendants in any non-exempt hourly position in the State of California at any time during the period from February 26, 2017 up to April 13, 2023.

- 11. Plaintiff Martin Asencio Rojas is an adequate and suitable representative and is hereby appointed the Class Representative for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiff's investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that his interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class. The Court hereby awards Plaintiff a Class Representative Enhancement Payment of \$10,000 for his service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to a general release of all claims arising out of his employment with Defendants.
- 12. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC and Daily Aljian LLP have the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Class Counsel, and hereby appoints Capstone Law APC and Daily Aljian LLP as counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 13. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$51,868.08 is hereby approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$38,901.06, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$12,967.02, will be paid to PAGA Members.
- 14. The Court hereby awards \$166,667 in attorneys' fees and \$14,739.64 in costs and expenses to Capstone Law APC and Daily Aljian LLP. The Court finds that the requested award of attorneys' fees is reasonable for a contingency fee in a class action such as this; i.e., one-third of the common fund created by the settlement. Counsel have also established the reasonableness of the requested award of attorneys' fees via their lodestar crosscheck, and the Court finds that the rates, hours billed, and risk multiplier are fair and reasonable. The award of attorneys' fees and costs will be divided as follows:

 (i) \$133,333.60 in attorneys' fees and \$14,739.64 in litigation costs to Capstone Law APC; and (ii) \$33,333.40 in attorneys' fees to Daily Aljian LLP.
- 15. The Court approves settlement administration costs and expenses in the amount of \$10,000 to CPT Group, Inc.
- 16. All Class Members were given a full and fair opportunity to participate in the Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. Members of the

Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed settlement and the class. Accordingly, the terms of the Settlement Agreement and of the Court's Order and Judgment shall be forever binding on all Participating Class Members. These Participating Class Members have released and forever discharged the Released Parties for any and all Released Class Claims during the Class Period:

All claims asserted in the Action by Plaintiff and the Settlement Class or any other claims that could reasonably have been asserted in the Action based on the facts alleged, including but not limited to: (a) failure to pay overtime in violation of Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198; (b) failure to pay minimum wages in violation of Labor Code §§ 1182.12, 1194, 1197, 1197.1, and 1198; (c) failure to provide meal periods in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512(a), 516, and 1198; (d) failure to authorize and permit rest periods in violation of Labor Code §§ 226.7, 516, and 1198; (e) failure to provide compliant wage statements and maintain payroll records in violation of Labor Code §§ 226(a), 1174(d), and 1198; (f) failure to provide timely wages upon termination in violation of Labor Code §§ 201 and 202; (g) failure to timely pay wages during employment in violation of Labor Code § 204; (h) failure to reimburse for business expenses in violation of Labor Code § 2802; and (i) a violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq. based on the preceding claims for failure to provide overtime wages, minimum wages, meal and rest periods, accurate and complete wage statements, maintain accurate payroll records, timely pay all wages earned during employment and reimburse for business-related expenses; (j) a violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq. for failure to pay overtime wages, minimum wages, meal and rest period premiums pursuant to Labor Code § 226.7, accurate and complete wage statements, maintain accurate payroll records, timely pay all wages earned during employment, and reimburse for business-related expenses; and (k) civil penalties pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, Labor Code §§2698 et seq.

- 17. Additionally, all PAGA Members and the LWDA have released and forever discharged the Released Parties for any and all Released PAGA Claims during the PAGA Period: All claims for civil penalties under California Labor Code §§ 2698, *et seq.*, that were brought or could reasonably have been brought based on the facts alleged in Plaintiff's letter to the LWDA and the Released Class Claims during the PAGA Period.
- 18. Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with the above fundings. Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the parties, including all Participating Settlement Members and PAGA Members, for purposes of enforcing the terms of the Judgment entered herein.

27 || ///

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 || ///

1			
2	19. Plaintiff shall file a declaration from the Settlement Administrator regarding the		
3	completion of settlement administration activities no later than June 5, 2024, as well as an amended		
4	judgment concerning the payment of unclaimed residuals to the cy pres beneficiary, Worksafe. The Final		
5	Accounting Hearing is set for June 12, 2024, at 2:30, m.		
6			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.		
8			
9	Dated: October 6, 2023 Hon. Theodore C. Zayner		
10	Santa Clara County Superior Court Judge		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Page 6		

ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT

1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000		
3	Los Angeles, California 90067.		
4	On October 2, 2023, I served the document described as: [AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION		
5	SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT on the interested parties in this action		
6	by sending [] the original [or] [✓] a true copy thereof [✓] to interested parties as follows [or] [] as stated on the attached service list:		
7		. Geller (SBN 168149)	Attorneys for Defendant
8	00	fisherphillips.com Shin (SBN 306347)	PULMUONE FOODS USA, INC. and NASOYA FOODS USA, LLC
9	vshin@fisherphillips.com FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP		
10	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2050		
11	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 490-9000		
12	Facsimi	le: (415) 490-9001	
13 14	[] BY MAIL (ENCLOSED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE): I deposited the envelope(s) for mailing in the ordinary course of business at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for		
15		mailing. Under that practic	ce, sealed envelopes are deposited with the U.S. Postal e ordinary course of business with postage thereon fully
16 17	BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known e-mail address or e-mail of record in this action.		
18 19	BY FAX: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by facsimile delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent fax number of record in this action.		
20 21	[] BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I delivered the document, enclosed in a sealed envelope, by hand to the counsel for Defendant.		
22 23	[X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: I caused the document(s) to be transmitted electronically via One Legal eService to the individuals listed above, as they exist on that database. This will constitute service of the document(s).		
24		¥	rjury under the laws of the State of California that the d on October 2, 2023, at Los Angeles, California.
25		tl Tapia	***
26	Type/I	Print Name	Signature
27			
28			
			Page 7

ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND A CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT