Ú	٩			
ġ.				
	1	André E. Jardini, Bar No. 71335 aej@kpclegal.com	19. #7 00703 Hittes	
	2	K.L. Myles, Bar No. 243272 klm@kpclegal.com KNAPP, PETERSEN & CLARKE Superior Count CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Count of Count Superior Count of Count Superior Count Supe		
		Sol Norm Dianu Boulevalu, Suite 1900App 99	2019 ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
		Telephone: (818) 547-5000		
		Facsimile: (818) 547-5329	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	
	6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARIAELENA SPRUNK, on behalf of herself others similarly situated	SV. OLELANE Uportion	
	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
	9			
	10			
	11	MARIAELENA SPRUNK and SEBRINA BOW, on behalf of herself and all others	) NO. BC471171 [CLASS ACTION] ) [DESIGNATED COMPLEX]	
	12	similarly situated,	) Date: April 19, 2019 ) Time: 3:00 p.m.	
	13	Plaintiffs,	) Time: 3:00 p.m. ) Dept: 11	
	14	V.	) Hearing Judge: The Hon. Ann I. Jones Date Action Filed: October 7, 2011	
U		PLAN B CLUB, a business entity, form ) unknown, and DOES 1 through 100,	) Trial Date: None	
	16	inclusive,	) . <del>{PROPOSED</del> ] JUDGMENT	
	17	Defendants.	)	
18		The Court begins granted final approval	of the class action and $PAGA$ settlement	
	19	The Court having granted final approval		
	20	herein, pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769 and this Court's earlier order granting		
	21	preliminary approval, judgment is entered as follows:		
	22	1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, all settlement		
	23	<ul><li>class members and defendant Prisma LLC, dba Plan B.</li><li>2. Final judgment is hereby entered in conformity with the settlement, this</li></ul>		
	24 25	2. Final judgment is hereby entered in conformity with the settlement, this Court's preliminary approval order, and the order granting approval to the class action and		
	25 26			
	26 27	<ul><li>PAGA settlement and release.</li><li>3. Class certification, previously granted is hereby made final and the Court</li></ul>		
KNAPP, PETERSEN	27			
& CLARKE	28	identifies a settlement class of the following members:		
[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT			UDGMENT	

"All persons employed by defendant as exotic dancers from October 7, 2011 through July 15, 2015."

Notice was provided to settlement class members as set forth in the settlement 3 4. agreement, subject to the Court's preliminary approval order. The notice process has been 4 completed in conformity with the Court's orders. The Court finds that said notice was the 5 6 best notice practicable under the circumstances and provided the settlement class members with due and adequate notice of the proceedings in matters set forth therein otherwise fully 7 satisfied the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure, section 1781(e), 8

California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process. 9

The Court finds that given the absence of objections, and objections being a 5. 10prerequisite to appeal, this judgment shall be considered final as of the date of notice of 11 12 entry.

13 6. The settlement class members provide a full release based on the following provision in the settlement agreement: 14

"Upon the Effective Date, the Settlement Class Members (except those who 15 validly and timely opt out other than Plaintiffs who are deemed Settlement 16 Class Members), including their heirs, assigns, and estates, shall be deemed to 17 fully forever, irrevocably and unconditionally release, and discharge 18 19 Defendant and the Released Parties from any and all claims, debts, liabilities, 20demands, obligations, guarantees, penalties, premiums, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, liquidated damages, action or causes of action 21 22 whatever kind or nature that were or could have been alleged in the Action, 23 whether known or unknown, contingent or accrued, against Defendant or the 24 Released Parties or any of them, under any federal (except FLSA claims), state or municipal statute, ordinance, regulation, order or common law, 25 arising out of or related to the factual allegations in the Action ('Released 26 Claims')." 27

**NAPP** PETERSEN CLARKE

1

2

(Settlement Agreement at paragraph 2.6.1.) 28

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

7. Plaintiffs Mariaelena Sprunk and Sebrina Bow provide the following
 additional release, as follows:

"Subject to Court approval and in exchange for his general release including a full section 1542 release, Plaintiffs will receive a Service Award. More specifically, Plaintiffs agree to release, in addition to the Released Claims by Settlement Class Members described above, all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, guarantees, penalties, premiums, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, liquidated damages, action or causes of action of any type whatsoever, between or among Plaintiffs and any of the Released Parties, whether known or unknown, to the greatest extent permitted by state or federal law, including, but not limited, to any and all claims (whether state, federal, or administrative) for compensation, salaries, wages, bonuses, commissions, overtime, benefits, sick pay, holiday pay, vacation, paid time off, paid leave benefits, penalties, interest, damages, back or front pay, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, employee benefits, promises on any and all of the above, remedies of any other type, breach of contract or duty, fraud, misrepresentation, defamation, disparagement, blackballing, discrimination or harassment in any form, wrongful discharge of employment; termination in violation of public policy; discrimination; harassment; retaliation; failure to provide reasonable accommodation; failure to engage in a good faith interactive process; breach of contract, both express and implied; breach of covenant of good faith and fair dealing, both express and implied; promissory estoppel; negligent or intentional infliction of emotional distress; negligent or intentional interference with contract or prospective economic advantage; unfair business practices; libel; slander; negligence; personal injury; assault; battery; invasion of privacy; false imprisonment; conversion; and disability benefits: any and all claims for violation of any federal, state, local, municipal or other governmental statute or ordinance, including, but not limited to, Title

> -3-[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

KNAPP, PETERSEN & CLARKE 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; the Civil Rights Act of 1991; the National Labor Relations Act; the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990; the Equal Pay Act; the Fair Labor Standards Act, except as prohibited by law; the Fair Credit Reporting Act; the Occupational Safety and Health Act; the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985; the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974; the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act; the Family and Medical Leave Act, except as prohibited by law; the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002; the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended; HIPAA, the California Family Rights Act; the California Labor Code; the California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders; the California Business & Professions Code; and the California Fair Employment and Housing Act; the California Civil Code; the California Government Code; the California Constitution; and all claims for punitive damages, exemplary damages, attorneys' fees and costs, as well as any other statutes, laws regulations or damages of similar effect; all state or local laws or administrative orders, and any other related claims and/or penalties of any nature whatsoever including a general release of all claims including a Civil Code § 1542 waiver, any and all claims for monetary recovery and personal or individual relief, except as prohibited by law (collectively, "General Release").

Plaintiffs understand that his General Release includes unknown claims and that they are, as a result, waiving all rights and benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Section 1542 of the Civil Code provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his

KNAPP, 27 PETERSEN & CLARKE 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

## or her settlement with the debtor."

2 (Settlement Agreement at paragraph 2.6.3.)

The following settlement class members have timely requested exclusion from the
class. Shelly Anderson, Dana Elder, Yuka Abiru and Adela Jandova.

5

8.

The Court finds that no settlement class members objected to the settlement.

6 9. The Court has approved the Class and PAGA action settlement, and each of
7 the releases and other terms, as set forth in the settlement agreement, as fair, reasonable and
8 adequate.

9 10. All of the claims asserted in the action are dismissed with prejudice as to the
10 class representatives, plaintiffs Mariaelena Sprunk and Sebrina Bow, and all settlement
11 class members, except those who have timely requested exclusion from the settlement,
12 permanently barring them from pursuing any of the release claims as defined in the
13 settlement agreement.

14 11. Defendant Prisma LLC, dba Plan B shall pay the amount of \$450,000 as the
15 settlement fund to be distributed as discussed in this Court's order, and this judgment.

16 12. The expenses of class administration occurred by CPT Group, Inc. in the
17 amount of \$11,750 are approved for payment. These expenses are to be paid from the
18 settlement fund.

19 13. The Court confirms the appointment of Mariaelena Sprunk and Sebrina Bow
20 as class representatives for the purposes of settlement. The class representative
21 enhancement award payable from the settlement in the amount of \$9,250 is approved for
22 payment to Mariaelena Sprunk. The class representative enhancement award payable from
23 the settlement fund in the amount of \$2,500 is approved for payment to Sebrina Bow.

14. The PAGA penalty of \$35,000 payable from the settlement fund is approved
with 75% of this amount payable to the State, LWDA, and 25% payable, \$2,500 to each
plaintiff, Mariaelena Sprunk and Sebrina Bow, and the remainder to the settlement class.

KNAPP, PETERSEN & CLARKE 27

28

15. Class counsel's attorneys' fees in the amount of \$190,000, payable from the settlement fund, are approved for the services of class counsel, Knapp, Petersen & Clarke.

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

Class counsel's costs in the amount of \$25,000 payable from the settlement
 fund, are approved to be paid to Knapp, Petersen & Clarke.

17. Except as otherwise set forth herein, each party is to bear its own attorneys'
fees and costs. The consideration and payment set forth in the settlement agreement and
this judgment are the only consideration, fees and expenses, that defendant Prisma LLC,
dba Plan B and released parties shall be obligated to provide or otherwise fund or pay in
connection with the settlement.

8 18. Neither the settlement nor any of the terms set forth in the settlement
9 agreement constitute an admission by defendant Prisma LLC, dba Plan B or any of the
10 other released parties of liability to the class representative or any settlement class member
11 nor does this judgment constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims
12 alleged in the lawsuit, or of any liability of defendant Prisma LLC, dba Plan B or any
13 released parties.

14 19. This document shall constitute a final judgment pursuant to California Rule of
15 Court 3.769(h) which provides:

"If the court approves the settlement agreement after the final approval
hearing, the court must make and enter judgment. The judgment must include
a provision for the retention of the court's jurisdiction over the parties to
enforce the terms of the judgment. The court may not enter an order
dismissing the action at the same time as, or after, entry of judgment."

21 20. Without affecting the finality of this judgment in any way, the Court will
22 retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement agreement in this judgment and its order.

23 A JUDGMENT AS DESCRIBED ABOVE IS ENTERED:

KNAPP, PETERSEN & CLARKE 24

25

26

27

28

Hon. Ann I. Jones

For Hon. Ann I. Jones Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California

RAFAEL A. ONGKEKO. JUDGE

<u>-6-</u> [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

3611024.1 08000/00947

Dated: MAY 1 3 2019

1		
1	PROOF OF SERVICE Sprunk v. Plan B Club	
2	BC471171	
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES:	
4	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18	
5	and am not a party to the within action. My business address is 550 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 1500, Glendale, California 91203-1922. On April 22, 2019, I caused the foregoing document(s)	
6	described as [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT to be served on the interested parties in this action as follows:	
7		
8	<b>X</b> BY ELECTRONIC MAIL THROUGH CASE ANYWHERE: On interested parties set forth on the attached service list.	
9	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
10	Executed on April 22, 2019, at Glendale, California.	
11		
12	<u>Mindy Menahen</u> (Type or print name) (Signature)	
13		
. 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3611517.1 08000/00947	

	n	
1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u> <u>Sprunk v. Plan B Club</u> BC471171	
2	BC4/11/1           Nabil Abu-Assal, Esq.         Tel: 424-901-0123           Tel: 424-901-0123         Tel: 424-901-0123	
3	Nabil Abu-Assal, Esq.Tel: 424-901-0123Doug P. Roy, Esq.Fax: 424-750-5100CYPRESS LLPnabil@cypressllp.com	
	CYPRESS LLPnabil@cypressllp.com11111 Santa Monica BoulevardAttorneys for Defendant and Cross-ComplainantSuite 500Prisma, LLC dba Plan B	
	Los Angeles, CA 90025	
6		
7	·	
8		
• 9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3611517.1 08000/00947	