1	D Vl		
	Bruce Kokozian, Esq. (SBN 195723) Alex DiBona, Esq. (SBN 265744)		
2	KOKOZIAN LAW FIRM, APC		
3	10940 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90024		
4	Telephone (323) 857-5900		
5	Fax (310) 275-6301 bkokozian@kokozianlawfirm.com		
6	dibona@kokozianlawfirm.com		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff HECTOR JIMENEZ, individually and on behalf of		
8	others similarly situated		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE		
11	HECTOD HMENEZ on Individual on behalf) CASE NO. 21STCV33810	
12	HECTOR JIMENEZ, an Individual, on behalf) of himself and all others similarly situated		
13		(Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable Stuart M.) Rice - SSC Dept. "1"]	
14	Plaintiffs,	CLASS ACTION	
15	v.	NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING	
	OLDCASTLE BUILDINGENVELOPE,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR	
16 17	INC., a Delaware Corporation; CRH AMERICAS, INC., a Delaware Corporation and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
18	Defendants.) Action Filed: September 14, 2021) Trial Date: None Set	
19		, That Bate. Trone Set	
20	TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUN	SEL OF RECORD:	
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April	3, 2023, the Honorable Stuart M. Rice signed an Order	
22	Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement in the above-entitled		
23	matter. A true and correct copy of said Order is attached hereto.		
24	DATED: April 2, 2022	VOVOZIANI AW EIDM ADC	
25	DATED: April 3, 2023	KOKOZIAN LAW FIRM, APC	
26		By:	
27		Bruce Kokozian, Esq. Alex DiBona, Esq.	
		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
28		1	
	NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION		

SETTLEMENT

1	Bruce Kokozian, Esq. (SBN 195723) Alex DiBona, Esq. (SBN 265744)	FILED
2	KOKOZIAN LAW FIRM, APC	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90024	04/03/2023
4	Telephone Number: (323) 857-5900	David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Cou
5	Fax Number: (310) 275-6301 bkokozian@kokozianlawfirm.com	By Deputy
	dibona@kokozianlawfirm.com	
6	HECTOR JIMENEZ, individually and on behalf	of all others
7	similarly situated	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE	
10		
11	HECTOR JIMENEZ, an Individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated	CASE NO. 21STCV33810
12		[Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable
13	Plaintiffs,	Stuart M. Rice - SSC Dept. "1"]
14	v.	CLASS ACTION
15	OLDCASTLE DUILDINGENVELODE INC	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
16	OLDCASTLE BUILDINGENVELOPE, INC., a Delaware Corporation; CRH AMERICAS,	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
17	INC., a Delaware Corporation and DOES 1 through 100, Inclusive	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
18		
19	Defendants.	Date: April 3, 2023 Time: 10:30 a.m.
		Dept.: SSC-1
20		
21		Action Filed: September 14, 2021 Trial Date: None Set
22		
23	On September 14, 2021 Class Representative Hector Jimenez individually and on behalf of	
24	a class of similarly situated individuals, filed a Class Action complaint against Defendants	
25	OLDCASTLE BUILDINGENVELOPE, INC. ar	nd CRH AMERICAS, INC. pursuant to the
26	California Labor Code ("Labor Code") and California Business & Professions Code ("B&PC")	
27	Camornia Labor Code (Labor Code) and Cam	offila Busiliess & Floressions Code (B&FC)
28	§17200 as set forth in the class action case entitled <i>Hector Jimenez v. Oldcastle BuildingEnvelope</i> ,	
		1
- 1	I PROPOSEDI ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIEF'S I	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS

ACTION SETTLEMENT

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 Inc. et al. - Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 21STCV33810 ("Action"). Plaintiff's operative first amended complaint asserts claims against Defendants for 1. Failure To Pay Overtime Wages; 2. Failure To Pay Minimum Wages; 3. Failure To Provide Meal Periods; 4. Failure To Provide Paid Rest Periods; 5. Failure To Timely Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements; 6. Violation of Labor Code Section 203; 7. Unfair Business Practices; and 8. Penalties Pursuant To Labor Code §2698 et seq.

Defendants deny Plaintiff's allegations in their entirety and deny liability on all claims. On or about December 2022 the Parties reached a settlement subject to Court approval as represented in the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Stipulation (the "Settlement"/"Stipulation"/ "Settlement Agreement").

NOW THEREFORE, having read and considered the Settlement Agreement and Exhibits thereto, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Order hereby incorporates by reference the definitions of the Settlement Agreement as though fully set forth herein, and all terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court conditionally certifies and approves, for settlement purposes only, the Settlement Class as defined as follows: all current and former non-exempt employees of Oldcastle BuildingEnvelope, Inc. employed in California during the Class Period. The "Class Period" means September 14, 2017 to the date of this Preliminary Approval Order.
- 3. Bruce Kokozian, Esq. of Kokozian Law Firm, APC shall represent the Settlement Class in this Action ("Class Counsel"). Any Member of the Settlement Class may enter an appearance in the Action, at their own expense, either individually or through counsel of their own choice. However, if they do not enter an appearance, they will be represented by Class Counsel.
 - 4. The Class Representative shall be Hector Jimenez.
 - 5. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the proposed Settlement upon the terms,

conditions, and all release language set forth in the Settlement Agreement which is Exhibit "1" to Bruce Kokozian declaration as part of the motion for preliminary approval. The Court finds that the Settlement (including the Plaintiff's Service Payment, Attorneys' Fees and Attorneys' Costs, the Settlement Administration Costs, the PAGA Award, and the allocation of payments to class members) appears to be within the range of reasonableness necessary for preliminary approval by the Court. It appears to the Court that the Settlement terms are fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation, given the risks relating to liability and damages. It further appears that extensive and costly investigation and research has been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are reasonably able to evaluate their respective positions. It further appears to the Court that the Settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all parties, as well as the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the Action. It appears that the Settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, arms-length negotiations utilizing an experienced third-party neutral.

- 6. The Court confirms CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator and preliminarily approves that settlement administration costs shall be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount (as that term is defined in the Settlement) up to a maximum of \$20,000. Any amount awarded for costs of administration to CPT Group, Inc. less than the amount requested, will become part of the Net Distribution Fund. The cost of administration includes all tasks required of the Settlement Administrator as set forth in the Agreement, including the issuance of the Class Notice ("Notice of Class Action and PAGA Settlement). At least sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall provide the Court, and all counsel for the Parties, with a statement detailing the costs of administration and the claims administration statistics. CPT Group, Inc. is directed to perform all other responsibilities set forth for the Settlement Administrator in the Settlement.
- 7. A Final Approval and Fairness Hearing (the "Hearing") shall be held on the date as indicated in the Implementation Schedule below before the Honorable Stuart M. Rice in Department SSC 1 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, Spring Street Courthouse located at

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

8

24 25 26

27

28

312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. The purpose of such Hearing will be to: (a) determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable and adequate; (b) determine the reasonableness of Class Counsel's request for attorney's fees and costs; (c) the reasonableness of the Service Payment requested for the Named Plaintiff; and (d) Order entry of Judgment in the Action, which shall constitute a complete release and bar with respect to the Settled Class Claims and Settled PAGA Claims as described in the Settlement Agreement.

- 8. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action and PAGA Settlement which is attached as Exhibit "A" [hereinafter Notice] to the Settlement Agreement which is attached as Exhibit "1" to Bruce Kokozian's declaration as part of Plaintiff's motion for preliminary approval. The Court directs the mailing of the Court approved Notice via first class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the schedule and procedures set forth in the Settlement. The Court finds that the mailing and distribution of the Notice of Class Action Settlement in the manner set forth in the Settlement meets the requirements of due process and are the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 9. Class Members may request exclusion from the release of Settled Class Claims by submitting a timely written request to be excluded from the Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement. In order to be valid, the Request for Exclusion letter must be postmarked no later than the date indicated in the Implementation Schedule below. Any Class Member who submits a valid and timely Request for Exclusion will not be entitled to any portion of the Net Distribution Fund, and will not be bound by the release of Settled Class Claims, or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon. Class Members who fail to submit valid and timely requests for exclusion shall be Settlement Class Members and shall be bound by all terms of the Settlement and any Final Judgment. Settlement Class Members and Non-Participating Class Members, who are also PAGA Members, however, cannot opt out of the release of Settled PAGA Claims, will receive an Individual PAGA Payment if eligible, and will be bound and subject to the Settled PAGA Claims.

1 2		postmark Requests for Exclusions	Mailing (plus an additional 5 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed).
3	d.	Deadling for the postmerk of any	June 16, 2022, Forty Five Days (45) ofter
4		Deadline for the postmark of any Objections to the Settlement	June 16, 2023, Forty Five Days (45) after Mailing (plus an additional 5 days for Class Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed).
5			,
6	e.	Deadline for the postmark of any dispute of the information on which their Individual	June 16, 2023, Forty Five Days (45) after Mailing (plus an additional 5 days for Class
7		Settlement Payment was calculated	Members whose Class Notice is re-mailed).
8	f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to File Motion	Per Code
9		for Final Approval of Settlement Including	161 0040
10		the requested attorneys' fees and expenses including any expenses associated with the	
11		Settlement	
12	 σ	Final Approval Hearing and Final	Ù^]c^{à^¦ÁGÌÉÉG€GHaF EKHEÁCH am /n m
13	g.	Approval	<u> </u>
14		Арргочаг	

- 13. The Court reserves the right to adjourn the date of the Final Approval and Fairness Hearing without further notice to the Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.
- 14. Pending final determination as to whether the settlement contained in the Settlement Agreement should be approved, the Class Representative, whether directly, representatively, or in any other capacity, whether or not such persons have appeared in the Action, shall not institute or prosecute any claims against Defendants or the Released Parties, which have been or could have been asserted in the Action based upon the acts and transactions alleged therein, including any claims related to or arising out of the allegations in the Action.
- 15. All further proceedings in this Action shall be stayed except such proceedings necessary to review, approve, and implement this Settlement.
- 16. In the event: (i) the Court does not finally approve the Settlement as contemplated by the Settlement; (ii) the Court does not enter a Final Approval Order as contemplated by the

1	Settlement, which becomes final as a result of the occurrence of the Effective Date (as that term		
2	defined by in the Settlement); or (iii) the Settlement does not become final for any other reason,		
3	the Settlement shall be null and void and any order or judgment entered by this Court in		
4	furtherance of the Settlement shall be deemed as void from the beginning. In such a case, the		
5	Parties and any funds to be awarded under this Settlement shall be returned to their respective		
6	statuses as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of the Settlement, and the		
7	Parties shall proceed in all respects as if the Settlement had not been executed.		
8			
9	17. Neither the Settlement, preliminarily approved or not approved, nor any exhibit,		
10	document or instrument delivered hereunder, nor any statement, transaction or proceeding in		
11	connection with the negotiation, execution or implementation of this Settlement, shall be		
12	admissible in evidence for any purpose except as provided in the Settlement.		
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15			
16	Dated: OI I A LEO CH Stuart M. Rice / Judge The Honorable Stuart Rice		
17	Judge of the Superior Court		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
∠ 0			

PROOF OF SERVICE

Jimenez v. Oldcastle BuildingEnvelope, Inc.	LASC Case No. 21STCV33810
I, the undersigned, declare as follows:	
I am over the age of 18 years and employed in the am employed in the office of Kokozian Law Firm, APC, their direction. My business address is 10940 Wilshire B	and I made the service referred to below at
On April 3, 2023, I served true copies of NOTICI MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CI INTERESTED PARTIES as stated on the attached Service	LASS ACTION SETTLEMENT on
[X] CASE ANYWHERE: A true and correct on counsel of record by transmission to C Court Order Authorizing Electronic Service	CASE ANYWHERE pursuant to
SEE ATTACHED SERVICE	LIST
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of true and correct.	of the State of California that the foregoing is
Executed on April 3, 2023 in Los Angeles, California	rnia
Glenn Dwig	gins
PROOF OF SERV	VICE

1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>
2	
3	Hopkins & Carley
4	Sean Bothamley, Esq. (sbothamley@hopkinscarley.com) Karin Cogbill, Esq. (kcogbill@hopkinscarley.com)
5	Karin Cogbill, Esq. (kcogbill@hopkinscarley.com) Elaisha Nandrajog, Esq. (enandrajog@hopkinscarley.com) 70 South First Street
6	San Jose, CA 95113 Phone: (408) 286-9800
7	Fax: (408) 998-4790
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2