Raul Perez (SBN 174687) Raul.Perez@capstonelawyers.com Orlando Villalba (SBN 232165) Printers (Printers Printers Pr 2 Orlando. Villalba@capstonelawyers.com 3 Helga Hakimi (SBN 257381) FEB 26 2024 Helga.Hakimi@capstonelawyers.com 4 CAPSTONE LAW APC 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 SHASTA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 5 Los Angeles, California 90067 BY: M. SAECHAO, DEPUTY CLERK Telephone: (310) 556-4811 6 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff Kiana Anderberg 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA 10 KIANA ANDERBERG, individually, and on Case No. CVCV21-0197480 behalf of other members of the general public 11 similarly situated, Assigned to the Hon. Tamara L. Wood PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT 12 Plaintiff, GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL 13 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND VS. PAGA SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR NORTH STATE GROCERY INC., a California 14 ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND corporation; HOLIDAY RANCHES, INC., a **EXPENSES, AND CLASS** 15 California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT inclusive. **PAYMENTS** 16 Date: February 26, 2024 17 Defendants. Time: 8:30 a.m. Place: Department 8 18 Complained Filed: June 4, 2021 19 Trial Date: None Set 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on the Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Expenses, and Class Representative Enhancement Payments (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having reviewed the Motions, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Joint Stipulation of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and Release of Claims ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order.
- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation

conducted by Plaintiffs' Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.

- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendant or by any other Released Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or any other Released Party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, waiver of defenses, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 10. With the exception of the six individuals who opted out of the Settlement Class, final approval shall be with respect to: All persons who worked for Defendant as non-exempt, hourly paid employees in the State of California at any time from June 4, 2017 through February 1, 2023.
 - 11. Plaintiffs Kiana Anderberg and Mary Napoles are adequate and suitable representatives

 Page 3

and are hereby appointed the Class Representatives for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiffs' investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that their interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.

- 12. The Court hereby awards Plaintiffs Class Representative Enhancement Payments of \$10,000, each, for their service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to general releases of all claims arising out of their employment with Defendant.
- 13. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC and James Hawkins APLC have the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Class Counsel, and hereby appoints Capstone Law APC and James Hawkins APLC as counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 14. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$100,000 is hereby approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$75,000, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$25,000, will be paid to PAGA Members.
- 15. The Court hereby awards \$1,041,667 in attorneys' fees and \$36,936.90 in costs and expenses to Capstone Law APC and James Hawkins APLC. The Court finds that the requested award of attorneys' fees is reasonable for a contingency fee in a class action such as this; i.e., one-third of the common fund created by the settlement. Counsel have also established the reasonableness of the requested award of attorneys' fees via their lodestar crosscheck, and the Court finds that the rates, hours billed, and risk multiplier are fair and reasonable. The award of attorneys' fees and costs will be divided as follows: (a) \$927,083.63 in attorneys' fees and \$21,879.67 in litigation costs to Capstone Law APC; and (b) \$114,583.37 in attorneys' fees and \$15,057.23 in litigation costs to James Hawkins APLC.
- 16. The Court approves settlement administration costs and expenses in the amount of \$26,000 to CPT Group, Inc.
- 17. All Class Members were given a full and fair opportunity to participate in the Approval Hearing, and all members of the Settlement Class wishing to be heard have been heard. Members of the Settlement Class also have had a full and fair opportunity to exclude themselves from the proposed

Page 5

1 I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000, Los 2. Angeles, California 90067. 3 On June 26, 2023, I served the document(s) described as: [PROPOSED] ORDER AND 4 JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE ENHANCEMENT PAYMENTS on the 5 interested parties in this action by sending [] the original [\checkmark] a true copy thereof [\checkmark] to interested parties as follows [or] [] as stated on the attached service list: 6 7 Jennifer E. Duggan - SBN: 183833 jennifer@dugganmchugh.com Attorneys for Defendant 8 Katie Collins NORTH STATE GROCERY, INC. katie@dugganmchugh.com 9 Chrystal Wade chrystal@dugganmchugh.com 10 Christina Bucci Hamilton - SBN: 292047 11 christina@dugganmchugh.com Melanie Jagla 12 melanie@dugganmchugh.com Shelby Wilson 13 shelby@dugganmchugh.com DUGGAN McHUGH LAW 14 CORPORATION 15 641 Fulton Ave., Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95825 16 Telephone: 916.550.5309 Facsimile: 916.404.5900 17 BY MAIL (ENCLOSED IN A SEALED ENVELOPE): I deposited the envelope(s) 18 for mailing in the ordinary course of business at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for 19 mailing. Under that practice, sealed envelopes are deposited with the U.S. Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business with postage thereon fully prepaid at 20 Los Angeles, California. 21 BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, [X]California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known e-22 mail address or e-mail of record in this action. 23 BY FAX: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by facsimile delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent fax number of record 24 in this action. 25 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 26, 2023, at Los Angeles, California. 2.6 27 Riley McIntire Type or Print Name Signature 28 Page 1

PROOF OF SERVICE

PROOF OF SERVICE