

1 SOLOUKI | SAVOY, LLP
SHOHAM J. SOLOUKI (SBN 278538)
2 GRANT JOSEPH SAVOY (SBN 284077)
316 W. 2nd Street, Suite 1200
3 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 814-4940
4 Facsimile: (213) 814-2550

5 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
Ana Maria Guido and other
6 *Class Members Similarly Situated*

7
8
9 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO**

11 ANA MARIA GUIDO, an individual,
12 on Behalf of Herself and All
13 Other Similarly situated Former
and Current Non-Exempt
Employees,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 MKP HOSPITALITY INC, a
California Corporation; MMP
17 GRANT, INC., a Corporation of
Unknown Jurisdiction; and DOES 1
18 through 10, inclusive,

19 Defendants.

Case No.: CIVDS16026925

[Assigned for all purposes to the
Honorable David Cohn, Dept. 26S]

CLASS ACTION

**NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: July 31, 2018

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept.: 26S

Judge: Hon. John Shepard Wiley, Jr.

Action Filed: February 24, 2016

Trial Date: None Set

22
23
24
25
26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on July 31, 2018, the Court in the above-
3 entitled matter entered an Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final
4 Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Order").

5 A true and correct copy of the Court's Order is attached hereto
6 as Exhibit "A".

7 Dated: July 31, 2018



8
9 SHOHAM J. SOLOUKI, ESQ.
10 GRANT JOSEPH SAVOY, ESQ.
11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12 Ana Marie Guido, individually and
13 on behalf of those Similarly
14 situated
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT "A"

1 SOLOUKI | SAVOY, LLP
SHOHAM J. SOLOUKI (SBN 278538)
2 GRANT JOSEPH SAVOY (SBN 284077)
316 W. 2nd Street, Suite 1200
3 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 814-4940
4 Facsimile: (213) 814-2550

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

JUL 31 2018

BY NADYA AVAKIAN
NADYA AVAKIAN, DEPUTY

5 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*
6 *Ana Maria Guido and other Class*
7 *Members Similarly Situated*

8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO – CIVIL

10 ANA MARIA GUIDO, an individual,
11 on Behalf of Herself and All
12 Other Similarly situated Former
and Current Non-Exempt
Employees,

Case No.: CIVDS16026925

[Assigned for all purposes to the
Honorable David S. Cohn, Dept. S26]

13 Plaintiffs,

CLASS ACTION

14 vs.

15 MKP HOSPITALITY INC, a
16 California Corporation; MMP
17 GRANT, INC., a Corporation of
Unknown Jurisdiction; and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

18 Defendants.

Date: July 31, 2018
Time: 8:30 a.m.
Dept.: S26
Judge: Hon. Judge David S. Cohn

Action Filed: February 24, 2016
Trial Date: None Set

26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 On April 2, 2018, the Court issued an order granting preliminary
3 approval of the proposed class action settlement between Plaintiff Ana
4 Maria Guido ("Guido" or "Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of
5 all others similarly situated, and Defendant MKP Hospitality, Inc.
6 ("MKP" or "Defendant"). Due and adequate notice having been given to
7 the Class Members, as defined below, and the Court having considered
8 Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
9 ("Motion"), the supporting declarations and exhibits thereto, all
10 papers filed in support of and in opposition to the Motion, and the
11 complete files and records in these proceedings, and for good cause
12 appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

13 1. The Court adopts all defined terms as set forth in the Class Action
14 Settlement Agreement ("Settlement") filed in the Action.

15 2. The Court has jurisdiction over all claims asserted in the Actions,
16 Plaintiff, the Settlement, Class Members, and Defendant.

17 3. Solely for purposes of effectuating the Settlement, this Court has
18 certified a Class defined as all "current and former non-exempt
19 employees of MKP [Hospitality, Inc.] in the State of California at any
20 time from February 24, 2012 through April 2, 2018".

21 4. The Court finds that the Settlement was made and entered into in
22 good faith and hereby approves the Settlement as fair, adequate, and
23 reasonable to all Class Members.

24 5. By operation of this Order, all Participating Class Members who did
25 not opt out of the Settlement shall be deemed to have released their
26 respective Released Claims against the Released Parties. "Released
27 Parties" means Defendant and any parent, subsidiary, affiliate,
28 predecessor or successor, and all agents, employees (current and

1 former), officers, directors, insurers, and attorneys." "Released
2 Claims" means any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands,
3 obligations, guarantees, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages,
4 action or causes of action, contingent or accrued, or which were
5 asserted or could have been asserted based on the factual allegations
6 in the First Amended Complaint, including, to the extent based
7 therein, claims arising from or related to California Labor Code
8 Sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.6, 226.7, 510, 512,
9 558, 1174, et seq., 1194, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1199, 2802, applicable
10 Wage Order(s), violations of the Private Attorneys General Act, and
11 Business and Professions Code Sections 17200, et seq."

12 6. The Notice provided to Class Members conforms with the requirements
13 of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil
14 Code section 1781, rules 3.766 and 3.769 of the California Rules of
15 Court, the California and United States Constitutions, and any other
16 applicable law, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the
17 circumstances, by providing individual notice to all Class Members who
18 could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due
19 and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth
20 therein to the other Class Members. The notice fully satisfied the
21 requirements of due process.

22 7. Zero class members opted out of the Settlement and therefore all
23 Class Members are bound by the terms of this Judgment.

24 8. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorney's fees and
25 costs, except as otherwise provided for in the Settlement Agreement
26 and approved by the Court. The Court finds that Maximum Settlement
27 Amount (also known as the "Gross Settlement Amount") and the
28 methodology used to calculate and pay each Participating Class

1 16. The Settlement Administrator shall post notice of this Order and
2 the Court's Judgment on its website within ten (10) days after entry
3 of this Order and Judgment.

4 17. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters
5 related to the administration and consummation of the Settlement, and
6 any and all claims, asserted in, arising out of, or related to the
7 subject matter of the lawsuit, including but not limited to all
8 matters related to the Settlement and the determination of all
9 controversies relating thereto.

10 18. A non-appearance Case Review Re: Final Report and Distribution of
11 Settlement Funds is set for March 29 at
12 8:30 AM. April 26, 2019

13 19. Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement
14 is hereby GRANTED and the Court directs that a separate judgment shall
15 be entered in accordance with the terms of this Order.

16
17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 DATED: JUL 31 2018

DAVID COHN

HONORABLE DAVID S. COHN
Judge of the Superior Court

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California, over eighteen years of age, and not a party to this action. My business address is 316 W. 2nd Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

On, July 31, 2018, I served the following documents:


NOTICE OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

- BY FAX: by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the following fax number(s) listed below.
- BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the email address listed below via email, as ordered by the court. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.
- BY PERSONAL DELIVERY: by causing personal delivery (by hand) of the documents listed above to the person(s) at the address set forth below.
- BY MAIL: by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Los Angeles, CA, addressed as set forth below.
- BY MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the office's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. It is deposited in a sealed envelope with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid, at Los Angeles, CA, in the ordinary course of business, addressed as set forth below.

[SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST]

I certify (or declare), under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 31, 2018, at Los Angeles, California.



Shoham Solouki

SERVICE LIST

Ana Guido v. MKP Hospitality Inc

Case No.: CIVDS1602692

<p>Law Offices of Christian J. Albut 2514 Jamacha Road, Suite 502-111 El Cajon, CA 92019 Email: CAlbutLaw@gmail.com Telephone: (619) 335-0491 Facsimile: (909) 786-1827 <i>By US Mail</i></p>	<p><i>Attorneys for Defendant MKP HOSPITALITY INC.</i></p>
--	--