David R. Markham (SBN 71814) 1 dmarkham@markham-law.com Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles Maggie Realin (SBN 263639) 2 mrealin@markham-law.com Lisa Brevard (SBN 323391) OCT 27 2023 3 lbrevard@markham-law.com THE MARKHAM LAW FIRM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of C 4 888 Prospect St., Suite 200 By: A. Ortiz, Deputy La Jolla, California 92037 5 Tel.: 619.399.3995 / Fax: 619.615.2067 6 Walter L. Haines (SBN 071075) walter@whaines.com 7 UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP 5500 Bolsa Avenue, Suite 203 8 Huntington Beach, California 92649 Tel.: 310.234.5678 / Fax: 310.652.2242 Q 10 List of counsel continued on next page. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 12 13 Case No.: 20STCV47361 ALDIBERT ESTEBAN, an individual, on 14 behalf of himself and all others similarly situated. Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Mel Red 15 Recana, Dept. 45 16 Plaintiff. (PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR 17 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION 18 AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., a Delaware SETTLEMENT Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, 19 inclusive, IRESERVATION ID: 398399669257 20 Hearing Information: Defendants. Date: September 13, 2023 21 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: 45 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

ı	
1	Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776). Email: jlavi@lelawfirm.com Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483)
2	Email: vgranberry@lelawfirm.com LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
3	8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200 Beverly Hills, California 90211
4	Telephone: (310) 432-0000 Facsimile: (310) 432-0001
5	Matthew R Bainer, Esq. (SBN 220972)
6	THE BAINER LAW FIRM 1901 Harrison St., Suite 1100 Oakland. California 94612
7	Telephone: (510) 922-1802
8	Facsimile: (510) 844-7701 Email: mbainer@bainerlawfirm.com
9	Au Car Disings Co. Aldibart Estaban Maisha Sukas Daharah Barans
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Aldibert Esteban, Maisha Sykes, Deborah Berens, and Linda Hinkley
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class and Representative Action Settlement came before the Court on September 13, 2023.

Having considered the proposed settlement agreement and class notice; the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement filed by Plaintiffs; and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

The Court grants preliminary approval of the settlement and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at the final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the settlement, the Court finds that the proposed settlement class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following "Settling Class Members" defined as: All individuals employed by American as California-based Flight Attendants during the period from January 18, 2015, until September 30, 2023.

- 1. For purposes of the settlement, the Court further designates named Plaintiffs Aldibert Esteban, Deborah Berens, Linda Hinkley, Maisha Sykes as Class Representatives, and Joseph Lavi and Vincent C. Granberry of Lavi & Ebrahimian LLP; Matthew Bainer of the Bainer Law Firm; David Marham, Maggie Realin, and Lisa Brevard of the Markham Law Firm; and Walter Haines of United Employees Law Group as Class Counsel.
 - 2. The Court confirms CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 3. Within three (3) court days of this Order, Plaintiffs shall file their consolidated Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") attached as **Exhibit 3** to the Declaration of Vincent Granberry filed in support of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval. Defendant will be deemed to have generally denied the allegations of the SAC without the need to file and serve an Answer thereto. Should, for whatever reason, the settlement set forth in this Settlement Agreement not become final, the SAC shall be deemed stricken without further order of the Court.
- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Settlement Class is scheduled in Department 45 of this Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California

90012, on <u>fel. 16</u>, 2223/2024 at <u>8.70</u>. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all dates provided for in this Order without further notice to Class Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications

- At the final fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the class; (b) whether a judgment granting approval of the settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiffs' application for an award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and class representative enhancement should be granted.
- The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice attached to the Settlement
- The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the members of the Class on or before the deadline to mail the notice packet pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
- The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency of the action and of this settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Class Members to constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class. The notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to participate in, opt out of, or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the settlement agreement and class notice. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the fairness hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class
- Pending the Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement and this Order, are stayed. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, this Court hereby enjoins Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings

27

28