1 2 3 4 5	Eric B. Kingsley (SBN 185123) eric@kingsleykingsley.com Kelsey M. Szamet (SBN 260264) kelsey@kingsleykingsley.com KINGSLEY & KINGSLEY, APC 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200 Encino, California 91436 Telephone: (818) 990-8300 Facsimile: (818) 990-2903	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 02/15/2024 David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court
6	Joseph Tojarieh (SBN 265492)	By: I. Arellanes Deputy
7	jft@tojariehlaw.com TOJARIEH LAW FIRM, PC	
8	10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 100 Los Angeles, California 90067	
9	Telephone: (310) 553-5533 x 1001 Facsimile: (310) 553-5536	
10	Bevin Allen Pike (SBN 221936)	
11	Bevin.Pike@capstonelawyers.com Daniel Jonathan (SBN 262209)	
12	Daniel.Jonathan@capstonelawyers.com Trisha K. Monesi (SBN 303512)	
13	Trisha.Monesi@capstonelawyers.com CAPSTONE LAW APC	
14	1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90067	
15	Telephone: (310) 556-4811 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396	
16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class	
17	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
18	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
19	SERG RAMIREZ and FRITZGERALD DE ROXAS, individually, on behalf of other	Case No.: 21STCV07572
20	members of the general public similarly situated, and as aggrieved employees pursuant to the	Assigned to the Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman
21	Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), on behalf of the State of California and other	[AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER AND
22	aggrieved employees,	JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
23	Plaintiffs,	AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND
24	VS.	EXPENSES, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE PAYMENTS
25	BAXALTA US INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	Date: February 13, 2024
26	Defendants.	Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Department 14
27	Defendants.	Complaint Filed: February 26, 2021
28	Trial Date: None Set	
	Page 1 Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action and PAGA Setti ement and	

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

ORDER AND JUDGMENT

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs and Expenses, and Class Representative Service Payments (collectively, the "Motions"). Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as required by the Court's Preliminary Approval Order, which required Notice be given to the Class, and the Court having reviewed and considered the Motions and all papers filed and proceedings in this action, and determining that the settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and otherwise being fully informed and GOOD CAUSE appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED **AS FOLLOWS:**

- 1. For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, which are adopted and incorporated herein by reference, this Court finds that the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied.
- 2. This Order hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement"), together with the definitions and terms used and contained therein.
- 3. The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Class Notice fully and accurately informed Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to opt out or object; was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; was valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Class Members; and complied fully with the laws of the State of California and due process. The Class Notice fairly and adequately described the settlement and provided Class Members with adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information.
- 5. Class Members were given a full opportunity to participate in the Final Approval hearing, and all Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have been heard. There were no objections to the settlement and two Class Members opted out. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Class Members who did not timely and properly opt out of the settlement are bound by this Order and by the terms of the Parties' Settlement Agreement including the releases provided for in the Settlement and this

Order. As of the date provided in the Settlement, by operation of the entry of this Final Approval Order, each Settlement Class Member, including Plaintiffs, shall be deemed to have fully released, waived, relinquished, and discharged, to the fullest extent permitted by law, all Released Class Claims that he or she may have against the Released Parties.

- 6. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the settlement and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In particular, the Court finds that the settlement was reached following meaningful discovery and investigation conducted by Plaintiffs' Counsel; that the settlement is the result of serious, informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the Parties; and that the terms of the settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Court accordingly approves the Settlement and directs the Parties to effectuate the Settlement Agreement according to its terms.
- 7. In so finding, the Court has considered all evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of Plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of counsel. The Parties have provided the Court with sufficient information about the nature and magnitude of the claims being settled, as well as the impediments to recovery, to make an independent assessment of the reasonableness of the terms to which the Parties have agreed.
- Agreement and expressly finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class and hereby directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement Agreement. The Court also finds that settlement now will avoid additional and potentially substantial litigation costs, as well as delay and risks if the Parties were to continue to litigate the case. Additionally, after considering the monetary recovery provided by the settlement in light of the challenges posed by continued litigation, the Court concludes that the settlement provides Class Members with fair and adequate relief.
- 9. The Settlement Agreement is not an admission by Defendant or by any other Released Party, nor is this Order a finding of the validity of any allegations or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or

any other Released Party. Neither this Order, the Settlement Agreement, nor any document referred to herein, nor any action taken to carry out the Settlement Agreement, may be construed as, or may be used as, an admission of any fault, wrongdoing, omission, concession, waiver of defenses, or liability whatsoever by or against Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.

- 10. The Court certifies and approves, for settlement purposes only, the following Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement: All persons employed by Defendant in California and classified as non-exempt employees at any time during the period from July 11, 2019 to November 13, 2022 ("Participating Class Members").
- 11. The Court hereby finds the Settlement Payments provided to Settlement Class Members under the terms of the Settlement to be fair and reasonble in light of all the circumstances. The Court, therefore, orders the calculation and payments to be made and administered in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 12. Plaintiffs Fritzgerald De Roxas and Serg Ramirez are adequate and suitable representatives and are hereby appointed the Class Representatives for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Plaintiffs' investment and commitment to the litigation and its outcome ensured adequate and zealous advocacy for the Settlement Class, and that their interests are aligned with those of the Settlement Class.
- 13. The Court hereby awards Plaintiffs Class Representative Enhancement Payments of \$7,500, each, for their service on behalf of the Settlement Class, and for agreeing to general releases of all claims arising out of their employment with Defendant.
- 14. The Court finds that the attorneys at Capstone Law APC; Kingsley & Kingsley, APC; and Tojarieh Law Firm have the requisite qualifications, experience, and skill to protect and advance the interests of the Settlement Class. The Court therefore finds that counsel satisfy the professional and ethical obligations attendant to the position of Settlement Class Counsel, and hereby appoints Capstone Law APC; Kingsley & Kingsley, APC; and Tojarieh Law Firm as counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 15. The settlement of civil penalties under PAGA in the amount of \$200,000 is hereby approved. Seventy-Five Percent (75%), or \$150,000, shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency. The remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%), or \$50,000, will be paid to Aggrieved

Employees. As of the date provided in the Settlement, by operation of the entry of this Final Approval Order, the Aggrieved Employees shall be deemed to release the Released PAGA Claims against the Released Parties in their entirety.

- 16. The Court hereby awards \$1,583,333 in attorneys' fees and \$24,698.95 in costs and expenses to Capstone Law APC; Kingsley & Kingsley, APC; and Tojarieh Law Firm. The Court finds that the requested award of attorneys' fees is reasonable for a contingency fee in a class action such as this, *i.e.*, one-third of the common fund created by the settlement. The award of attorneys' fees and costs will be divided as follows: (a) \$949,999.80 in attorneys' fees and \$11,751.63 in litigation costs to Capstone Law APC; (b) \$379,999.92 in attorneys' fees and \$11,626.22 in litigation costs to Kingsley & Kingsley, APLC; and (c) \$253,333.28 in attorneys' fees and \$1,321.10 in litigation costs to Tojarieh Law Firm, PC.
- 17. The Court finds that the services provided by the Settlement Administrator were for the benefit of the Class, and the cost of \$13,500 is fair, reasonable, and appropriate for reimbursement. The Court approves payment to CPT Group, Inc. in the amount of \$13,500, which includes all costs and fees incurred to date as well as estimated costs and fees involved in completing the administration of the Settlement.
- 18. As of the date provided in the Settlement and by operation of this Order: All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from:

All Participating Class Members, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, release Released Parties from (i) all claims that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the Class Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint and ascertained in the course of the Action, including, e.g., failure to pay wages (including minimum wages and overtime), improper adoption of alternative work schedule, unlawful rounding, failure to properly pay regular rate, failure to compensate for time spent in pre or post-shift screenings, failure to provide meal periods, failure to provide rest periods, failure to pay meal and/or rest period premiums at the appropriate rate, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements and maintain payroll records, failure to timely pay wages during employment, failure to timely pay wages upon termination of employment, failure to provide reporting time pay, unpaid sick leave, failure to provide appropriate safety devices and safeguards, unreimbursed business expenses, unfair and unlawful business practices and which were raised or that reasonably could have been raised under the applicable Wage Orders and Labor Code including sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 245.5, 246, 246.5, 247, 247.5, 248.5, 249, 256, 510, 511, 512, 516, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2802, 6401, 6403, as well as

2

corresponding claims under title 8 section 11010 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200 *et seq.*, and California Civil Procedure Code section 1021.5, and any applicable Wage Order. Except as set forth in Section 6.4 of this Agreement, Participating Class Members do not release any other claims, including claims for vested benefits, wrongful termination, violation of the Fair Employment and Housing Act, unemployment insurance, disability, social security, workers' compensation, or claims based on facts occurring outside the Class Period.

19. Additionally, all Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are further deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from:

All claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, the PAGA Notice, and ascertained in the course of the Action, including, e.g., failure to pay wages (including minimum wages and overtime), improper adoption of alternative work schedule, unlawful rounding, failure to properly pay regular rate, failure to compensate for time spent in pre or post-shift screenings, failure to provide meal periods, failure to provide rest periods, failure to pay meal and/or rest period premiums at the appropriate rate, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements and maintain payroll records, failure to timely pay wages during employment, failure to timely pay wages upon termination of employment, failure to provide reporting time pay, unpaid sick leave, failure to provide appropriate safety devices and safeguards, unreimbursed business expenses, unfair and unlawful business practices and which were raised or that reasonably could have been raised under the applicable Wage Orders and Labor Code including sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 245.5, 246, 246.5, 247, 247.5, 248.5, 249, 256, 510, 511, 512, 516, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2802, 6401, 6403, as well as corresponding claims under title 8 section 11010 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seg., and California Civil Procedure Code section 1021.5, and the applicable IWC Wage Order(s).

20. All Non-Participating Class Members who are Aggrieved Employees are deemed to release, on behalf of themselves and their respective former and present representatives, agents, attorneys, heirs, administrators, successors, and assigns, the Released Parties from:

All claims for PAGA penalties that were alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged, based on the PAGA Period facts stated in the Operative Complaint, the PAGA Notice, and ascertained in the course of the Action, including but not limited to failure to pay wages (including minimum wages and overtime), improper adoption of alternative work schedule, unlawful rounding, failure to properly pay regular rate, failure to compensate for time spent in pre- or post-shift screenings, failure to provide meal periods, failure to provide rest periods, failure to pay meal and/or rest period premiums at the appropriate rate, failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements and maintain payroll records, failure to timely pay wages during employment, failure to timely pay wages upon termination of employment, failure to provide reporting time pay, unpaid sick leave, failure to

provide appropriate safety devices and safeguards, unreimbursed business expenses, unfair and unlawful business practices and which were raised or that reasonably could have been raised under the applicable Wage Orders and Labor Code including sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 245.5, 246, 246.5, 247, 247.5, 248.5, 249, 256, 510, 511, 512, 516, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 2802, 6401, 6403, as well corresponding as claims under title 8 section 11010 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Unfair Competition Law, Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq., and California Civil Procedure Code section 1021.5,

- Settlement checks shall be valid for 180 days after mailing pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement. The Administrator will cancel all checks not cashed by the void date. For any Class Member whose Individual Class Payment check or Individual PAGA Payment check is uncashed and canceled after the void date, the Administrator shall transmit the funds represented by such checks to the California Controller's Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Class Member, thereby leaving no "unpaid residue" subject to the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 384(b).
- Judgment in this matter is entered in accordance with the above findings in accordance with California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769. Plaintiffs shall comply with California Rules of Court, Rule
- Without affecting the finality of the Judgment, the Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the above-captioned action and the parties under Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 664.4, including all Participating Settlement Members and Aggrieved Employees, for purposes of enforcing the
- Plaintiffs shall file a declaration from the Settlement Administrator regarding the

Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman

Los Angeles County Superior Court Judge Kenneth R. Freeman/Judge

2	I am employed in the State of California, County of Los Angeles. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within suit; my business address is 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000, Los Angeles,		
3	California 90067. My electronic address is Xochitl.Tapia@capstonelawyers.com.		
4	On February 13, 2024, I served the document(s) described as: [AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS		
5	ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE PAYMENTS on the interested parties in this action by sending [] the original [or] [] a true copy thereof [] to interested parties as		
	follows [or] [] as stated on the attached service list:		
7	Timothy L. Hix (SBN 184372) Bailey K. Bifoss (SBN 278392)		
8	thix@seyfarth.com <u>bbifoss@seyfarth.com</u>		
9	Peter J. Choi (SBN 306763) SEYFARTH SHAW LLP		
	pchoi@seyfarth.com 560 Mission Street, 31st Floor Bernard D. Olshansky (SBN 336251) San Francisco, California 90017-5793		
10	bolshansky@seyfarth.com SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Start rancisco, Camorina 90017 9793 Telephone: (415) 397-2823		
11	601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3300		
12	Los Angeles, California 90017-5793 Telephone: (213) 270-9600		
13	Facsimile: (213) 270-9601		
14	Eric B. Kingsley (SBN 185123) Joseph Tojarieh (SBN 265492)		
	eric@kingsleykingsley.com jft@tojariehlaw.com		
15	Kelsey M. Szamet (SBN 260264) TOJARIEH LAW FIRM, PC		
16	kelsey@kingsleykingsley.com kelsey@kingsleykingsley.com 10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 100 Los Angeles, California 90067		
17	KINGSLEY & KINGSLEY, APC KINGSLEY & KINGSLEY, APC Telephone: (310) 553-5533 x 1001		
17	16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 1200 Facsimile: (310) 553-5536		
18	Encino, California 91436		
10	Telephone: (818) 990-8300		
19	Facsimile: (818) 990-2903		
20	[X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: I caused the document(s) to be transmitted electronically via One Legal eService to the individuals listed above, as they exist on that database. As well		
21	as CaseAnvwhere. This will constitute service of the document(s).		
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is		
23	true and correct.		
23	Executed this February 13, 2024 , at Los Angeles, California.		
24	Voshitl Tonio		
25	Xochitl Tapia Type or Print Name Signature		
	-yp		
26			
27			
28			

PROOF OF SERVICE

Page 8